

Report authorised by Executive Director of Place under Delegated Powers

27, May, 2025

TRO/24/22 Objection Report - Proposed Disabled Persons Parking Places, Edinburgh

Routine

Wards – 5 Inverleith, 15 Southside/Newington.

1. Recommendations

1.1 It is recommended to:

- 1.1.1 note the two objections received to the advertising of Traffic Regulation Order (TRO)/24/22 in relation to the introduction of Disabled Persons Parking Places (DPPP) at twenty four locations across the city, which included Clark Avenue and Cameron Park,
- 1.1.2 set aside the sole remaining objection received to the Clark Avenue proposal within the advertisement to TRO/24/22 and proceed to introduce the DPPP at this location and all others as advertised and detailed in this report.

Gavin N Brown

Gavin Brown

Interim Service Director, Operational Services

Contact: Gavin Graham, Parking and Traffic Regulation Manager



Report

2. Executive Summary

- 2.1 Proposals to introduce new DPPP's and formalise advisory DPPP's were formally advertised to the public through Traffic Regulation Order TRO/24/22 over a three week period in April 2025. This report addresses the objections received through the public advertisement stage of the TRO process.

3. Background

- 3.1 The Council, having received requests from the public and considered its duties under the terms of The Disabled Persons Parking Places (Scotland) Act 2009 Act, identified locations where new, or the formalisation of existing advisory, Disabled Persons Parking Places (DPPP's) are required.

4. Main report

- 4.1 TRO/24/22 proposed twenty four locations to be introduced and/or formalised across the city. Clark Avenue and Cameron Park were the only locations to receive objections to the proposals. Clark Avenue received one objection, and Cameron Park also received one objection, although the Cameron Park objection was later withdrawn after further communication and an explanation was provided to the resident who had objected.
- 4.2 The nature of the remaining objection received for Clark Avenue claimed the information provided in the TRO/24/22 was confusing and the objection claimed uncertainty about the meaning of the proposal for the existing DPPP's at this location.
- 4.3 The remaining objection and the Council's response to this objection are included in Appendix 1 of this report. The Council, having taken into consideration the objection concerning Clark Avenue, and having carried out a new investigation have confirmed that there is demand from Blue Badge holders for this Disabled Persons Parking Place, and now seeks authority to conclude the legal process with changes required to formalise the existing advisory DPPP.

5. Next Steps

- 5.1 The resident who submitted the objection will be contacted via email and advised about this decision, and the Council will proceed to make TRO/24/22 as advertised.

6. Financial impact

- 6.1 All costs associated with the changes proposed within this report will be met from the existing budget allocation for the Parking Team.

7. Stakeholder/Community Impact

- 7.1 The statutory TRO process entails a consultation period allowing anyone to make representations in support or in opposition to the proposals. The formal advertisement of this traffic order to the general public was undertaken between 4 and 29 April 2025. Comments from the public were taken into consideration however the decision taken is to proceed with the original proposal, as detailed in this report.

8. Background reading/external references

- 8.1 [Public Consultation](#)

9. Appendices

- 9.1 Appendix 1 – Clark Avenue objection detail.
- 9.2 Appendix 2 – Proposals to be progressed to Made Order stage.

Appendix 1 – Clark Avenue objection detail

Location	No. of objections	Objections	Response
Clark Avenue	1	<i>...There is no clear explanation that the DPPP parking space outside our house is unaffected – the notice should be clear as to exactly what change is proposed- it may be clear to traffic department experts but is not clear to residents from the information on the lamppost or the on-line documentation. The bay opposite would appear to be 30cm too narrow -does that mean this parking space will be removed</i>	This has been investigated, and we can confirm that we are not removing any DPPP as there is demand for the existing DPPPs on this street. This proposal is to formalise the existing advisory DPPP outside number 28 Clark Avenue.

Appendix 2 – Proposals to be progressed

The Council has considered the single objection to Clark Avenue however the recommendation of Officers is to set aside this objection and continue with the advertised proposal:

Ref no.	Location	Addition
1	Clark Avenue (TRO/24/22-Loc.2)	Progress with the proposal as advertised at 28 Clark Avenue.

Ref No1 – Clark Avenue – retain proposal in TRO/24/22

