May 2021

Introduction

The indicative National Programme timeline is for LEZs to be implemented in the four largest Scottish Cities between February and May 2022. Most of the capital funding from Transport Scotland to facilitate enforcement of the scheme is available in the current financial year. At the implementation date, grace periods begin for each of the different vehicle types involved in the Scheme, to allow time to prepare. Grace periods can be a minimum of one year and maximum of four. Residents are allowed up to an additional two years. Enforcement of the LEZ begins after the grace periods expire.

Over the summer (2021) CEC will consult on the preferred scheme. Autumn and early winter will allow time for consideration of the consultation feedback and proceed through the new legal process to declare a LEZ, prior to the Local Authority or Scottish Ministers considering approval of the scheme. Both bodies have the power to call the scheme in for an *examination* which would mean the national timeline dates could not be achieved.

Appraisal Approach

The Edinburgh LEZ options appraisal described herein, has been undertaken with regard to the <u>National Low Emission Framework</u> (NLEF). NLEF is an **evidence-based** appraisal process developed to help local authorities consider transport related actions to improve local air quality.

The primary aim of the NLEF is to **improve local air quality** in areas where Scottish Air Quality Objectives (AQOs) are exceeded, or likely to be exceeded, and transport is identified as the key contributor. LEZ Schemes in Scotland are also mandated to reduce the contribution of traffic to local pollution.

Actions to improve air quality could potentially result in a reduction in CO₂ emissions due to vehicle owners switching to more sustainable modes of transport, hence as a secondary objective, local authorities are encouraged to consider whether actions identified through the NLEF appraisal process can help support reductions in emissions of CO₂ within their areas.

The National Modelling Framework (NMF) provides a significant proportion of the quantitative evidence required within the NLEF appraisal process. It links traffic modelling outputs with air quality modelling, to allow for consideration of the wider traffic management measures in the context of improving local air quality. SEPA have standardised data collection, analysis and presentation of model outputs for each of the four Scottish Cities delivering LEZ schemes, and have produced Air Quality Evidence reports and detailed analysis to this affect. These take account of traffic analysis from 2016, 2019 and 2020.

The Scottish Government's recently published LEZ regulations and emerging guidance is also considered as part of this appraisal.

Key Principles and Objectives

A number of Key Principles (KPs) were considered to help develop high level outline appraisal and in further detail, the Primary and Secondary Objectives were assessed against strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats and related mitigations.

The Key Principles have been established using the NLEF process and the LEZ objectives in consultation through the governance structure of the Scheme Development – the Delivery Group which includes representatives from SEPA, Transport Scotland and SEStran.

The KPs and objectives consider LEZ impacts regarding air quality and traffic management in particular. Wider impacts are also considered (Feasibility and Deliverability) in the context of the geographical extent of the LEZ, the vehicles affected with each Option and the grace periods.

Options Appraised

This Appraisal examines the following **three options** for the LEZ scheme in Edinburgh in terms of the boundary, types of vehicles included, and the grace periods (see appendix for explanation of terms and definitions):

	Option 1	Option 2	Орі	ion 3
Scheme	City Centre LEZ	City Centre LEZ	Extended Urban Are	a LEZ with City Centre
Description	Originally proposed City Centre boundary as presented in 2019 for consultation, with minor amendments. Grace period two years, which is different from the 2019 proposal, where one year was to be allowed for commercial-type vehicles (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis) and four years for cars.	Revised City Centre boundary - amended following NMF assessment of the traffic and air quality impacts.	boundary') is as p	formally named 'Citywide resented in 2019 for ther city centre option
	Original	Revised	Option 1 or 2	Extended Urban Area
Boundary	2 00 322 000 120 000 1300 data □ □ LEZ Option 1	Liz Option 2	\$ 5 30% 1600 330% 1600 530%	— EZ Estanded Urban Area — Option 1
Vehicle types included	All	All	All	HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
Grace Period (years)	2	2	2	3

2019 Consultation

The Council ran a consultation from 27 May to 21 July 2019 regarding the proposed Low Emission Zones (LEZs) which focused on the proposed boundaries, vehicle types, grace periods and any unintended consequences. The proposed boundaries comprised a city centre boundary (referred to in this Appraisal as Option 1) and an extended urban area boundary formally referred to as the 'citywide' boundary (referred to in this Appraisal as Option 3). The consultation did not include the revised City Centre boundary (referred to as Option 2 in this Appraisal) - this has been explored in response to updated NMF assessment of traffic and air quality impacts.

Overall, findings from the consultation showed that cleaner air is important to all, but there were mixed views as to the suitability of the LEZ and to its specific aspects. General public and commercial audiences agree, albeit with differing priorities. For all however, vital questions to consider are the cost of LEZ compliance to them; the cost to life in Edinburgh (clean air, goods/services); and looking at a bigger, city and regional picture to tackle underlying issues (traffic flow, public transport, etc).

Summary of 20	19 consultation responses
City Centre LEZ	(Option 1)
Boundary	Mixed views: 54% agreed, 46% disagreed with boundary Most disagreement related to the LEZ overall – desiring a better approach, a better public transport offer, and voicing worries about the financial effect on businesses and individuals. Main issues included worry about increased traffic and pollution in neighbouring streets/parks; the desire to make the area larger; and to include New Town/up to Ferry Road.
Vehicle types	Most said each vehicle type should be included, comments were mainly about considering exemptions, like motorbikes/scooters, buses/public transport, private cars, deliveries/ tradesmen
Grace periods	Mixed views, with more acceptance for 1 year for buses and coaches and commercial vehicles, albeit only just over 50% saying 'about right' and evenly mixed views for 4 years for private cars and 5 years for city centre residents with cars.
Action taken	34% said their vehicle would comply, so no action was needed The Top 5 most mentioned actions as a result of the LEZ were: 30% use public transport more; 24% walk more; 20% bike more; 18% upgrade vehicle; and 16% change route.
Extended Urba	n Area with City Centre (formally referred to as 'Citywide' Boundary) (Option 3)
Boundary	More in favour: 62% agreed, 37% disagreed with boundary Again, most comment regarding disagreement related to the LEZ and that it will negatively affect business/trade/deliveries. Main issues cited were that it should be smaller, should only be the City Centre, and should include the airport.
Vehicle types	Comments reflected the same exemptions as City Centre, but more felt all private cars should be included, 9% (v. 3% exempt)
Grace periods	Again, mixed views with an evenly mixed response for both 3 year periods between 'too short', 'about right' and 'too long'.

Since the 2019 consultation:

- The Draft Low Emission Zones (Scotland) Regulations 2021 were presented to Scottish Parliament in January 2021 and will become law in May 2021;
- The Council published its <u>City Mobility Plan</u> in February 2021 which sets out the strategic approach to the sustainable, safe and effective movement of people and goods and a strong commitment to meeting the net zero carbon target by 2030 including through behaviour change, infrastructure provision and network management tools. It confirms a commitment to developing a LEZ scheme along with many other related measures such as electric vehicle charging infrastructure, expansion of Controlled Parking Zones, Workplace Parking Levy, and a 'Pay as you Drive' scheme, if necessary, to tackle congestion and support cleaner air;
- COVID-19 pandemic has and continues to have a significant impact on travel behaviour and the economy;
- Air quality improvements across the City are being realised with natural fleet turnover and bus upgrades progressed to date;
- Funding from the Scottish Government has included;
 - £2.4 million from public transport (PTP) funding, used to implement bus priority measures.
 - Bus Emissions Abatement Retrofit (BEAR) Phases 1 and 2 were awarded to allow 130 vehicles to be retrofitted across Scotland. BEAR Phase 3 funding (£9.75 million) was fully subscribed in the 2020/21 financial year. Lothian Buses obtained funding 20/21 to retrofit 188 Euro V buses. Other buses and coaches that are likely to operate in Edinburgh will also be retrofitted.
 - Sept 20 LEZ Mobility Fund announced offering cash incentives (Support Fund) and Travel Better vouchers (encouraging the switch to more sustainable modes of transport). Funding awards for the 20/21 financial year since September included;
 - Low income households just over £80,000
 - Small/micro businesses £282,500
 - Retrofitting (nearly all taxis) £300,000
- The NMF air quality and traffic modelling that supported the 2019 consultation has been updated by SEPA to support this Appraisal, in terms of emission analysis and interim air dispersion modelling.

Appraisal - Summary of Conclusions

Key Principles:

- The City Centre area has the greatest magnitude of traffic related pollution problems and breaches of **statutory Air Quality Objectives (AQOs).** Options 1 and 2 support compliance with AQOs and are supported by a **strong evidence-base** which highlights the Central Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) as the focus for targeted interventions. SEPA recommends the Central AQMA as a priority for a LEZ scheme. This evidence-based approach lies at the centre of the appraisal and the resultant *Preferred Scheme* recommendation.
- Option 3 extended urban area plus city centre boundary is expected to have limited impact on air quality when taking into consideration current fleet composition and indicative trends air quality improvements across the City are being realised with natural fleet turnover and bus upgrades progressed to date.
- Options 1 and 2 are the most feasible and deliverable taking account of the timescales for implementation and the funding available:
 - Option 3 is the least **deliverable** due to scale of proposals and limited timescale in which to deliver key infrastructure. Development of LEZ schemes are supported by grant funding from Transport Scotland, which must be spent in the financial year 21/22, to meet workstream objectives
 - Option 3 is the least **feasible** due to revenue budgetary implications for the Council in respect to operational costs. The penalty charge approach for Scottish
 LEZs could be offset by any revenue collected from penalty charges; however, this is likely to be limited due to the deterrent nature of the scheme. Option 1
 and 2, with moderate infrastructure quantities, are preferred for minimising operational costs.
- Opportunities to align with Edinburgh City Centre Transformation (ECCT) are maximised in Options 1 and 2.
- Option 3 extended urban area boundary has least impact on meeting this Appraisal's Key Principles and Objectives.

Primary Objective:

Option 1 is preferred over Option 2 for delivering air quality improvement benefits since it includes a wider population and a larger portion of the City Centre, including greater coverage of the Central AQMA, highlighted by SEPA as LEZ priority. Future (NMF) scenarios analysis predicts any modelled air quality impacts, related to traffic displacement for Option 1, are short-lived.

Secondary Objectives:

- Option 1 is preferred over options 2 and 3 to support positive **behaviour change (modal shift from private car)**, since it includes a wider population and a larger portion of the City Centre where interventions to **reduce car dominance will have the greatest cumulation of positive impacts**, in tandem with other measures (e.g. Controlled Parking Zone, Workplace Parking Levy, and other potential demand management initiatives, such as 'Pay as you Drive').
- Option 1 is preferred over options 2 and 3 for the **contribution towards net zero** greenhouse gases target which will predominantly occur as a result of a shift to sustainable travel modes, rather than from fleet compliance.
- All options will require the implementation of network management mitigation measures;
 - Localised traffic network impacts modelled for option 1, are short term, effect a smaller population and not present in the future year scenario.
 - However, pre-existing localised modelled exceedances are exacerbated, effect a larger population and continue to show exceedances in the long term if option 2 is selected.
 - Option 2 has the potential to conflict with development of the City Centre (CCWEL) strategic Active Travel corridor, with increase vehicular demand expected
 on same parts of the network.
- All option impacts can be limited via a 2-year grace period.

Preferred LEZ Scheme Recommendation:

Option 1 – City Centre (original boundary) is recommended as the preferred LEZ scheme boundary. It is also recommended that all vehicles be included in the Scheme and that a grace period of 2-years should apply.

DETAILED APPRAISAL

Summary of Key Principles and Objectives

Key Principles (KPs)				
KP1 Improve Air Quality KP1.1. Compliance with statutory Air Quality Objectives KP1.2. AQ Improvement in Central AQMA KP1.3. AQ Improvement in other AQMA KP1.4. Complementary Measures KP1.5. General Fleet Compliance Trends KP2.1. NMF Assessment KP2.2. NMF Reporting	KP3: Feasibility and Deliverability KP3.1 Impact Assessment KP3.1.1 Equality, Health and Wellbeing and Human Rights KP3.1.2 Economic including socio-economic disadvantage KP3.2 Costs KP3.2.1 Implementation costs KP3.2.2 Operational Costs KP3.2.3 Associated Cost KP3.4 Design principles KP3.4.1 Street clutter KP3.4.2 Heritage impact KP3.4.3 Enforcement system design KP3.4.4 COVID-19 impact KP3.5 Communications & Engagement			
KP2.3. Detailed analysis with Spotfire software KP2.4. Taking account of COVID-19 impacts	KP3.5.1 Scheme complexity KP3.5.2 Public opinion KP4: Strategic Placemaking & Sustainable Travel KP4.1 Placemaking KP4.2 Mobility & Transport KP4.3 Climate Change			
	ectives			
Primary Objective P1. Improve Air Quality - Contribute towards reduction of NO_X emissions	Secondary Objectives S1. Reduce Carbon Emissions S2. Network Management S3. Behaviour Change			

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
KP1: Improve Air Quality	KP1.1 Compliance with statutory Air Quality Objectives	Quality realised with natural fleet turnover and bus upgrades progressed to date (Ref. CEC Air Quality Annual Progress Report (2021)). However, the City Centre area has the greatest magnitude of traffic related pollution problems and breaches of the Air Quality Objectives (AQO). A targeted LEZ City Centre intervention is required. See Complementary measures KP1.4.		Air quality improvements have been realised across the whole of the City. (See left.) Amendment of Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) order for the St John's Road AQMA is being progressed due to the <i>hourly</i> Air Quality Objective being met for the past four consecutive years. Revocation of the Inverleith Row (Ferry Road) and Great Junction Street AQMAs is also being considered due to compliance with the statutory AQO for the past two and three years respectively.
		supports development to address breaches. The report recommended Central AQMA be investigated to reduce he as quickly as possible	narmful levels of air pollution e remains a priority (Ref. nce), therefore a City Centre	A LEZ for the City Centre must be included in an Edinburgh scheme. The addition of an Extended Urban Area LEZ that affects all vehicles except cars, will have limited added air quality benefit (see KP1.5).
	KP1.2. AQ Improvement in Central AQMA	improved air quality predominately cover Buses are the major of repeat nature of trips vehicle, however, due	will contribute towards in the City Centre which is ed by the Central AQMA. contributing factor due to the s and the high-emitting e to the scale of the area all vehicle types will need	Further improvement from Extended Urban Area LEZ on the Central AQMA will be limited due to geographical differences. Limited additional benefit from bus and coach sector as majority already impacted by the City Centre boundary. High percentage of HGV in traffic found on arterial routes. Note Cars are not included in the Extended Urban Area boundary as only a marginal improvement in pollution is

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
				forecasted. This is predominately due to the Euro 6 performance - tighter emissions testing criteria for the newer Euro 6c and 6d vehicles are predicted to give more pollution reduction benefit, than early Euro 6's (Ref, 2019 Initial Report).
	KP1.3. AQ improvement in other AQMAs	predicted pollution of away from the City C Glasgow Road (Newl	res not significantly change concentrations for AQMA's centre (e.g. St John's Road, bridge), Inverleith Row/Ferry	Further improvement from Extended Urban Area LEZ expected to be limited taking into consideration the impact of current fleet composition and indicative trends (see KP1.5).
		Street) due to displaced traffic. No new exceedances are predicted in these areas. However, it is expected air quality will improve as 'cleaner' vehicles enter the fleet quicker than natural turnover and hence emissions are reduced over time.		Although there is uncertainty on what travel will look like post the COVID-19 pandemic (see KP3.4.4), there is also concern about the impact on LGV owners, in particular (KP3.1.2).
	KP1.4 Complementary Measures	Despite the potential for improvement by vehicle fleet changes with a LEZ, it will be difficult to meet the statutory Air Quality Objectives in some areas of the Central AQMA (REF 2019 SEPA Initial		The Council's revised Air Quality Action Plan will address traffic emissions across the City but can also include targeted interventions in the other AQMAs.
		Report). Busy narrow be particularly challe other measures to re required. It will be in Councils strategic tra	streets with tall buildings will inging. In these locations, educe emissions will be inportant to align with the affic and public realm its with the LEZ work (see	Feasibility work has been undertaken for junction improvements that would reduce traffic queueing and pollution concentrations further in the St John's Road AQMA. Part-funding has been awarded from Scottish Government to progress this work in 2021/22.
		KP4.1 & KP4.1). The Council is also concluded Quality Action Plan in	ommitted to revising the Air n 2021-22.	Glasgow Road (Newbridge) AQMA was scoped outside the Extended Urban Area boundary. Feasibility work through the AQAP process highlighted targeted interventions at this location, which has already seen

Key Principle (KP)	1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
			improvements in air quality through the installation of an urban traffic control system (MOVA).
KP1.5. General Fleet Compliance Trends	overall compliance ra improvements in the Current levels of vehi entire Edinburgh flee ensure a faster turno turnover, LEZs need t manner to realise effo	included in scheme, increasing ates and supporting AQ Central AQMA. Icle compliance across the at is 68%. With LEZs design to over of fleet than the natural to be implemented in a timely ectiveness of such a scheme. The scheme would support this	Commercial fleet compliance data shows potential for limited air quality improvements across the wider City area, due to high percentage of complaint HGV's and buses & coaches, which are high-emitting vehicles. The effectiveness of the Extended Urban Area LEZ could be limited. Below is traffic survey data obtained February 2020 for Euro VI vehicles or better (compliant vehicles); HGVs: 76-95% Euro VI or better Buses & coaches: 61% operators - excluding Lothian Buses Lothian Buses commitment to be 100% LEZ compliant by the end 2021. LGV: 48% Euro VI or better (increase from 7% in 2016) LGVs could be disproportionately affected with the Extended Urban Area LEZ taking account of the level of non-compliance and the economic impacts associated with the commercial-type vehicles sector (KP3.1.2.) in the Extended Urban Area LEZ, in particular. Notes. Majority of buses and coaches will need to upgrade with City Centre option, in any case. Taxi and private hire car compliance will be met through licensing conditions.

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
KP2: Evidence-based, targeted approach	KP2.1. NMF Assessment	SEPA National Modelling Framework Initial Air Quality Evidence Report (2018) recommends that LEZ should focus on City Centre to maximise AQ impacts. All vehicle types to be included.		SEPA NMF Initial Air Quality Evidence Report (2018) considered the impact of whole City improvements in fleet. However, report recommended targeted approach on City Centre.
	KP2.2. NMF Reporting	SEPA Interim Air Quality Evidence and Analysis Report (2021) focuses on AQ impact of the City Centre boundary Options, due to traffic displacement that might arise from manoeuvres to avoid the LEZ. Traffic modelling was undertaken to inform the air quality modelling.		Traffic modelling for the Extended Urban Area boundary was screened out - displacement of traffic is less of an issue for the Extended Urban Area boundary, as commercial vehicles are more likely to need to upgrade their vehicles in order to continue operations.
	KP2.3. Detailed analysis with Spotfire software	Detailed analysis using Spotfire software of traffic surveys in 2016, 2019 and 2020 was undertaken by SEPA. Analysis of the bus sector shows a general pattern to eradicate the older buses from the main operator's fleet (Euro III) however the percentage composition of Euro classes in the fleet does tend to change on a year to year basis. A Low Emission Zone will be an important tool in setting consistent standards on the environmental performance of the bus fleet.		Detailed analysis using Spotfire software of traffic surveys in 2016, 2019 and 2020 was undertaken by SEPA. As per above in KP1.3. commercial fleet analysis shows increasing trend in compliance and hence likely limited impact of Extended Urban Area LEZ.
	KP2.4. Taking account of COVID-19 impacts	LEZ scheme development work was considered as a part of COVID-19 impact analysis by Transport Scotland. (REF) Four identified plausible futures (with varying traffic demand and vehicle compliance levels) were considered against the NMF model assessments. The assessment work was found to be robust to variations in network conditions that may occur in a post-pandemic world. The work also concludes LEZs are still		Post-COVID-19 impact uncertainty is greater with addition of a Extended Urban Area boundary due to increased scale of scheme.

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis		
		required to improve Centres.	AQ and protect the City			
KP3: Feasibility and Deliverability	KP3.1 Impact Assessment	Funding was sought from Transport Scotland to undertake a detailed Impact Assessment Study. This coupled with the Council's Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) approach, the following information is useful for the appraisal process. <i>Note</i> . The Environment and Sustainability aspects of the IIA are covered elsewhere as major features of the appraisal.				
	KP3.1.1 Equality, Health and Wellbeing and Human Rights	LEZs will reduce emissions and improve air quality and in turn have a positive effect on health on everyone, particularly of those most at risk of respiratory illness including older people and children (including unborn children). This is the most significant positive impact of the LEZ and will have health and wellbeing benefits for a large population of residents, workers, and visitors to the area over a long period of time; therefore, the magnitude of the effect is substantial.				
		resulting health bene private vehicle travel	npact of the LEZ may be the efits from a mode shift from to active travel or public elevant to the City Centre LEZ.			
		impacted by the City costs in public transp any costs in upgradii	ciety could be more adversely Centre LEZ due to increasing bort, should operators pass ng/replacing their fleet onto ted businesses could also see his regard.	The Extended Urban Area LEZ will provide wider effect for LGVs that are minibuses providing community transport services (care providers, youth groups, school groups, elderly care providers). Any impacts experienced by those providing care support could adversely affect those receiving care, for example, if the cost of care is increased.		
		restricted for journey These impacts can be	a non-compliant and be as within the City Centre LEZ. e part-offset with the available port to assist vehicles owners heir vehicles or by	This can be part-offset with the available grants/financial support to assist vehicles owners replace or upgrade their vehicles or by encouraging more sustainable travel (financial support also available here see S3).		

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
		encouraging more su support also available	istainable travel (financial e here see S3).	
		Low income househor literacy/numeracy, m (including non-Englist impacted if there is low rules and receive a perfor car users that might Centre LEZ. It will be communication of the		
		which is non-complai journey to the City Co opportunity to access facilities negatively in This impact is to be of grants available in ad Blue badge will be in-	ity who must use their car int may have forgone their entre adversely affecting is community and leisure inpacting on social activity. off-set with the financial Idition to the proposal that cluded in the list of national across Scotland (emerging	
	KP3.1.2 Economic including socio-economic disadvantage	in Edinburgh's travel estimated costs assoc financial outlay will b fewer vehicles will be first place therefore a an upgraded vehicle, vehicles such as cons respectively. As before	to work area (as a reasonable reciated with upgrading this nume significantly lower for two rear required to upgrade and some avoiding the need to be upgrade there are other potential economics welfare loss and asset val	ndertaken, looking at around 20,000 non-compliant vehicles near-future year projection) if all vehicles were affected. The ber of vehicles to be compliant is around £120m. This asons: not every vehicle type will be subject to the LEZ, so a non-compliant vehicles will not interact with the LEZ in the led. In addition to the financial outlay required to purchase somic costs associated with replacing a large number of lue loss which can be as much as £43m and £65m are will be realised but it is an impact assessment on the nent work in Edinburgh.

Key Principle (KP)	1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis			
	Increased economic activity for a number of sectors: second-hand car traders, vehicle scrappage, vehicle leasing operators, active-travel distributors/repairers, City car club and public transport operators through increased patronage. Although, some sectors and industries that are reliant on vehicles and have a fleet of non-compliant vehicles may be adversely affected by the LEZ and may be forced to reduce operations.					
	replacing vehicles. Pr	potential economic costs of ivate car owners will most a lesser degree as they are City Centre LEZ.	The IIA identified the potential economic costs of replacing vehicles a high priority. Commercial-type vehicles will be most significantly affected due to their inclusion in the Extended Urban Area LEZ.			
			According to Federation of Small Businesses figures, Scottish SMEs are heavily reliant on cars, vans and lorries for their daily operations and travelling into work. The introduction of a LEZ would impact SMEs in different ways due to the varied nature of the businesses			
	The IIA also consider and provision of goo businesses are impac		The wider Extended Urban Area LEZ will have more of an impact in this regard.			
	restrictions in how th Individuals are given have to reconsider ho good/service or the o being offered. This w	ey can operate. fewer options as they either ow they access the good/service is no longer ill especially affect who are nicle transport but do not have	Small enterprises represent over 90% of businesses in Edinburgh. Sixty three percent of companies rely upon vehicles, most likely LGVs, to deliver goods or drive to clients to provide a service, therefore, this sector where non-compliance rates are at 48% could be disproportionately affected by the Extended Urban Area LEZ.			
	Centre may cause ce (lower income house using or working in t	poliant cars from the City rtain members of society holds) to be dissuaded from he City Centre. However, the support funds and other	Vehicle users, especially LGV, bus, coach, minibus and HGV, have relatively long turnover periods, requiring users to change earlier than anticipated. The need to purchase compliant vehicles and sell/scrap their non-compliant vehicle means that the users could incur additional			

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – 2. City Centre – Original Revised All vehicle types All vehicle types wider council policies and support, encourage the shift to more sustainable forms of transport.		3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis financial cost. This will also affect the City Centre LEZ, however the Extended Urban Area boundary is more extensive in geographic area.	
		Through the changing environment of the city centre with less pollution, some people and businesses may be more attracted to the area, generating more economic activity.			
	KP3.2 Costs (see appendix)		ensure value for money in terr vith a view to achieving the AQ	ns of capital spend and as low additional revenue cost to the objectives.	
	KP3.2.1 Implementation costs	for enforcement infra Centre LEZ is £550k.	e of the implementation costs astructure involved for a City It is expected that this cost is t Scotland grant funding.	In addition to the costs mentioned left, a high-level estimate of the implementation costs for enforcement infrastructure involved for a Extended Urban Area LEZ is double – approximately £1m. It is also expected that this cost would be covered by Transport Scotland grant funding.	
		investment for enfor the 2021/22 financia installation would ha financial year. The pr challenging, with sta summer and legal pr	cort Scotland for capital cement system is available in I year. Design, purchasing and ve to be receipted this ogramme timeline is very tutory consultation over the ocessing towards the end of e are risks with the funding.	See left – in addition for the Extended Urban Area boundary, the added complication is with respect the infrastructure which would have to be installed but not operational for the longer grace period (3 years). This would incur maintenance costs, which would have to be met by the Council.	
			logue with Transport Scotland es, however targeting the City I to be a priority.		

Key Principle (KP)	1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
KP3.2.2 Operational Costs	systems that could be	ly has existing software and e used for enforcement of the ted to be in the region of ear.	See left. The addition of the Extended Urban Area boundary will mean increased operational costs, which will have further budgetary implications.
	Council in respect to could be offset by an penalty charges; how limited due to the de (see KP3.4.3). Additio	ary implications for the these operational costs. They y revenue collected from ever, revenue is likely to be terrent nature of the scheme nal or external funding ble to cover these costs.	
KP3.2.3 Associated Cost	measures to deal with traffic. This forms par	ee Objective S2 below). These	In addition to the City Centre costs, it is expected there would be no major additional cost for the Extended Urban Area boundary in dealing with network mitigations measures. This is due to the fact that displaced traffic for Extended Urban Area LEZ would be limited due to the nature of the fleet (and the need for the majority of it to be upgraded). Also see S2 objective below. If any additional costs are identified through unintended consequences, these costs would have to be met by the Council.
	will also incur costs, s	e Network Management Plan such as the signage and traffic ading any scope for Intelligent	See left. Additional costs for the Extended Urban Area boundary in terms of signage are expected to be significantly higher due to the presence of the trunk road network on the Extended Urban Area boundary.
	Scotland for signage	pected from Transport in 2022/23 financial year. to be met by the Council.	Again, capital funding is expected from Transport Scotland for signage in 2022/23 financial year. Any other costs will have to be met by the Council.

Key Principle (KP)	1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
KP3.4 Design principles KP3.4.1 Street clutter	poles or other infrast	ructure where possible. In addi orcement approach, reduces th	mera in the urban realm will be minimised by use of existing tion, the preference to use mobile enforcement vehicles be need for multiple-camera infrastructure. The Edinburgh
KP3.4.2 Heritage impact	around the City Cent appropriate Planning		The additional implications for the Extended Urban Area boundary are limited due to lack of relevant sensitive designations in the vicinity of the boundary.
KP3.4.3 Enforcement system design	non-compliant vehic enforcement approa- cover main routes or detected by a mobile option ensures that f targeted where requ routes) but provides	cement principle is to deter les. Therefore, the preferred ch is for ANPR cameras to aly, with other infringements e enforcement vehicle. This inancial resources are ired the most (on the main the desired flexibility and an factor for the scheme, creating	Similar design principles could be applied to the enforcement of a Extended Urban Area LEZ to ensure costs are keep to a minimum. However, as air quality improvement are likely to be limited, the value of the scheme may also be limited (see KP1.5).
	•	burden can be mitigated proach with City Centre LEZ	
	projects in respect to the use of the chose	CCTV upgrade, Smart Cities pro n enforcement technology prov	offorcement infrastructure can be considered for current rogramme and bus lane enforcement work. Future proofing rides valuable investment choice. Mobile enforcement and are easily re-deployable unlike fixed camera

Key Principle (KP)	1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
CO	impact impacts and their cor impact Emerging Transport S	nsideration in the design (and p Scotland LEZ guidance). ments of the initial (2019) LEZ p	ottish Minister will need to take account of COVID-19 possibly operational) phase(s) of LEZ development (Ref. proposals in Edinburgh has been undertaken, in respect to
	A two-year grace per to account for the ecof the COVID-19 pan the City Centre LEZ. The proposal which included commercial type veh should be noted that	iod is being proposed in-part conomic recovery coming out demic, for all vehicle types in this differs to the 2019 ded a 1-year grace period for icles and 4-years for cars. It residents can get up to an extension to the chosen grace	The Extended Urban Area element of the Edinburgh 2019 scheme proposed a 3-year grace period. This approach was deemed reasonable to allow vehicle owners time to prepare for the LEZ. Should additional time be considered necessary having respect to COVID impacts. the maximum 4-years grace period could be applied; however, they may affect the effectiveness of the scheme due to the fact that enforcement would not begin until 2026.
	implementation by endevelop network man develop network man measures to deal with boundary of the City	upports the LEZ programme insuring sufficient time to nagement mitigation in traffic displacement at the Centre LEZ (See S2 Threats) all shift with private car usage	
	Centre LEZ has taken	undertaken for the City accounted of a post-COVID travel demand and fleet (See KP2.4).	The work predominately focused on the city centres of the four major Scottish Cities.

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
				Post-COVID-19 impact uncertainty is greater with addition of an Extended Urban Area boundary due to increased scale of scheme. LGV fleet has the highest proportion of non-compliant vehicles (48% compliant in 2020). Increased risk of negative impacts disproportionately felt by microbusinesses/businesses operating across wider area (see IIA KP3.1.2).
	KP3.5 Communications & Engagement		d engagement planning will nee h messaging to be priority with	ed to take account of the national strategy and campaigning. communications.
	KP3.5.1 Scheme complexity	communication and stakeholders due to	end themselves to clearer engagement with public and simplicity. nplexity of the scheme can be	The addition of the Extended Urban Area LEZ, with different vehicle types affected and grace periods, adds a level of complication for public engagement and understanding.
		achieved by presentivehicles included in to 2019 proposal, where	ng one grace period for all the LEZ. This differs from the e different vehicle types had ds. (Commercial-type vehicles	Buses, coaches, minibuses, HGVs, LGVs and taxis crossing Extended Urban Area and City Centre boundaries – adds complexity in enforcement and communication of scheme.
	KP3.5.2 Public opinion	a step-change appro the pubic engageme	all geographical area, provides ach to emissions control from nt point of view. It builds lder support for future	A large geographical area intervention provides less of a step-change approach to emissions control, which might undermine key principles of LEZs.
	KP4.1 Placemaking	2 2 ,		e city's spatial strategy to 2030. One of the aims will be to cling by creating streets and public spaces for people over

Key Principle (KP)	1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis			
KP4: Strategic placemaking, sustainable travel	cars and improving ar movement across the		ic transport. It will also be supportive of rationalising freight			
	choices in Choices for car dominance: • Choice 6 – con people, not on the car use (focus additional can be considered as a cycling route) Choices for CP2030 (Choices for CP2030)	upporting the reduction in using on protecting against or parking in City Centre, uptake of P&R facilities) elivering new walking and es (below) Choice 16) support the provisionalise freight of	 Extended Urban Area boundary addition has limited impact due to the exclusion of cars, against choices 6,7 and 8 in City Plan 2030: Choice 7 – Extended Urban Area boundary likely to have negligible impact on modal shift away from car use beyond City Centre options, since it only applies to commercial-type vehicles and buses In of city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. perations and support good placemaking. All LEZ options 			
	objectives the Edinbu Transformation (ECCT enhance public space	aburgh's LEZ plans aligns with rgh City Centre) programme which aim to s to better support life in the ovement on foot, by bike and	The Extended Urban Area LEZ aligns less with ECCT due to the geographical differences.			
	atmosphere in the Cit	y may lead to higher quality of	the Councils strategic plans, with associated cleaner public spaces in the City. This could lead to more ure, human capital development) as more people are			
	The LEZ plans will have a complementary benefit to noise control policies. Quieter new (especially alternatively fuelled) vehicles and reduced traffic flows caused by modal shift towards public transport and active travel, are					

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – Original	2. City Centre – Revised	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles
		All vehicle types	All vehicle types	Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis
		likely to lead to a rec and productivity ben		d noise. Lower noise pollution is anticipated to have health
	KP4.2 Mobility & Transport	The Council's newly a	agreed City Mobility Plan (CMP	supports the implementation of a LEZ in the City.
	·		egrated transport measures are les that may arise from LEZs.	maximised through the CMP, which can address equality
		In turn, the LEZ princ	iples and objectives support m	any of the CMP measures and overall direction.
			iled operational plan, will help t	eighbourhood goods distribution hubs. This policy direction, o rationalise freight operations. All LEZ options would
		healthier. The Edinbu decarbonising transp	urgh scheme includes broad hig	f actions to make our transport system cleaner, greener and ph-level objectives (see P1 to S3 below) around issues such as t, encouraging behaviour change and freight rationalisation. d below.
		transport infrastructu	evelopment of the public ure (including park and ride rages modal shift from the car	With the addition of the Extended Urban Area LEZ, which includes commercial-type vehicles, there is less support for infrastructure development associated with modal shift from cars to sustainable travel.
		improvement of infra (including, but not lin pedestrian pathways	will further contribute to the astructure and facilities mited to, cycle lanes, and park-and-ride facilities), om car to sustainable travel.	

Key Principle (KP)		1. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre - All vehicles Extended Urban Area - HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis		
		to restrict traffic grow zones, explore Workp Synergies with these i	mand management measures of the (e.g. controlled parking blace Parking Levy etc). measures are more likely with which addresses all vehicles.	There are less synergies with demand management tools with the addition of the Extended Urban Area boundary as fleet replacement is more likely with HGVs or commercial vehicles as opposed to private cars.		
	KP4.3 Climate Change	on changing behavior management such as	ur, provision of infrastructure to the implementation of control	system wide', place-centred policies and actions, that focus to support clean and sustainable travel, and network Illed parking zones, and Workplace Parking Levy/'Pay as you EZs should also be considered as one part of this system		
		Emergency has placed has also raised the pro- deliver a more sustain	d sustainability and climate cha ofile of Edinburgh as one of the nable and inclusive city. The LEZ	t zero carbon city by 2030 and declaration of a Climate inge at the centre of strategic and policy discussions. This is most ambitious cities seeking to tackle climate change to Z regulations set a mandatory requirement to ensure the ures. This is covered in greater detail below (S1).		

Objectives

The LEZ regulations oblige local authorities to include two mandatory objectives in their LEZ Scheme that relate to contributing towards meeting the statutory air quality standards (P1) and carbon emission reductions (S1) – see below.

In accordance with the draft LEZ guidance improving local air quality should be considered the primary objective.

The Council has taken on-board guidance to integrate discretionary objectives for the Edinburgh Scheme to ensure successful delivery and operation. These include Network Management (S2) and Behaviour Change (S3) matters.

P1. Improve Air Quality (AQ)	Contribute towards reduction of NOx emissions
S1. Reduce Carbon Emissions	Contribute towards reduction of greenhouse gas emissions
S2. Network Management	 Minimise the impact from traffic displacement across network Complementary/mitigation measures linking with S3 (below)
S3. Behaviour Change	Strategically align with sustainable transport, active travel and placemaking objectives
	S1. Reduce Carbon Emissions S2. Network Management

Appraisal – Primary Objective

P1: Improve Air Quality (AQ) Contribute towards reduction of NO_X emissions

SWOT	3. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) +Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
Strengths	Option 1 will improve air quality over a larger geographical area of the City Centre than the option 2.1	Option 2 will improve air quality over a smaller geographical area of the City Centre than the option 1. ¹	Emissions reductions over a wide geographic area
	If option 1 was selected in preference to option 2, there are new exceedances predicted from modelling on the boundary (diversion route) at Chester Street/Palmerston Place in the short-term. However, in the long term (future year scenario) they are not predicted. ¹ This is due to less non-compliant traffic now needing to use the diversion route and improvements made with natural fleet turnover.	If Option 2 was selected in preference to Option 1, the impact on Palmerston Place and Chester Street is lower, however existing modelled exceedances are exacerbated on Lothian Road and continue show exceedances in the long term. ¹ See below Weaknesses.	Displacement of traffic is less of an issue for the Extended Urban Area boundary, as commercial vehicles are more likely to need to upgrade their vehicles in order to continue operations.
	Population exposure to local air pollution can be ass an Area. An analysis utilising data from the Council Anumber of residential addresses was considered. Coretail survey, shops are identified separately which in Details are shown below/overleaf;	Address Gazetteer (CAG) was undertaken. The mmercial addresses are also included and from a	Arterial routes are predominantly affected by this commercial type of vehicular traffic.

¹ SEPA Air Modelling Interim (April 2021)

SWOT	3. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) +Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
Weaknesses	No. of addresses; Residential 12,536 Commercial 4,262 Shops* 1,923 *included in commercial count The Original City Centre boundary includes a wider geographical area and greater number of residential addresses compared to the Revised boundary. Likely significant increase in pollution concentrations and new model exceedances (see Appendix) on boundary/diversion route locations at Palmerston Place and Chester Street. However, the future scenario suggests these new model exceedances are not long term.¹ Model exceedances are also predicted along Cowgate and Abbeyhill, however, concentrations are only slightly higher than Option 2.¹	No. of addresses; Residential 11,586 Commercial 3,309 Shops* 1,732 Significantly higher concentrations predicted on Earl Grey Street, Lothian Road, Princes Street (west end), South Charlotte Street, when compared to the option 1, however, these are not new exceedances. There are existing model exceedances, especially on Lothian Road and these are still present in the future scenario. Therefore, they will take longer to resolve.¹ Continued model exceedances are predicted along West Port/South Bridge/Leith Street, although concentrations are only slightly higher than Option 1.¹	Displacement of traffic is less of an issue for the Extended Urban Area boundary, as commercial vehicles are more likely to need to upgrade their vehicles in order to continue operations.
	To the east and south east of the boundary there are Park Terrace. See S2 Network Management mitigation		
	The number and types of addresses from CAG (Coun streets most impacted from displaced traffic, following are shown below/overleaf;		

SWOT	3. City All vehicle ty	y Centre – O r pes	iginal		2. City Ce All vehicle	n tre – Revise types	d		3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) +Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
	No of addres	sses;							
		Residential	Commercial	Shops*	No of addr		Ci-l	Cla a -a a*	
	Palmerston Place	96	13	1	Lothian	Residential 199	Commercial 84	Shops*	
	Chester Street	67	13	0	Road Queen St /Alybn Pl	106	74	16	
	Total	163	26	1	Total	305	158	63	
	addresses or	n the streets m	l and commerc nost affected b red to the Revi	y the	streets affe relatively h	cted are busy gh levels of re	tre boundary the urban centres esidential and ompared to the	with	
Opportunities	If Option 2 boundary chosen over Option 1, the boundary could be expanded in the future, ifAQ evidence base supports the need. Also applies to option 1, where boundary could be reduced if necessary.						Extended Urban Area boundary unlikely to change since bypass already geographically discrete.		
	Arterial routes will also see AQ improvements as vehicles travelling to the City Centre become complaint faster than natural turnover of the fleet.								
	Buses are a major contributor to emissions due to their repeat trip nature and high-emitting vehicle. The majority of regular buses on the road network operate in the City Centre LEZ and will therefore be brought up to a complaint standard, across the City.					Buses are a factor to air quality issues on arterial routes, however as the majority of buses will upgrade/be retrofitted due to the City Centre LEZ, improvements will be likely, in any case.			
									Notwithstanding this, if required Traffic Regulation Conditions (TRC) on operator's license could be applied, without cost to infrastructure and operation of Extended Urban Area LEZ.

SWOT	3. City Centre – Original 2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) +Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
	At the beginning of 2020 the Transport Scotland consulted on the potential for transformative shift to zero or ultra-low emission City Centres. This type of policallow for exploration of the future use of the City Centre boundary zone. However regulations development would be needed.	cy development could Emission Zones more difficult to achieve
Threats (Mitigation)	If longer grace periods introduced, scheme effect is limited as the fleet will contable albeit there is some uncertainty from the impact of the COVIS-19 pandemic (see The emerging LEZ guidance from Transport Scotland says that given that air quimproved in the quickest time possible, application of the minimum grace perior regarded as the default unless a rationale can be provided to go beyond this. Mitigation - An additional one year is deemed acceptable taking account of the COC - Note - Up to two additional years of grace can also be given to resident.	that is indicated with the Extended Urban Area LEZ, requires longer Grace Periods for sector to prepare. However, if longer grace periods introduced, scheme effect is limited as the fleet will continue to renew naturally, as seen above with the fleet compliance trends (KP1.5). DVID impact. There is added complexity with presenting the
	Grace periods too short for vehicle owners to prepare for LEZ. The City Centre L Mitigation – a reasonable period of grace should be given taking cognises of COV	
	Scheme complexity low in comparison to Option 3, especially if grace periods a vehicles. Mitigation - Align Grace Periods for all vehicles	Scheme complexity high due to the two boundaries, different vehicle types affected with different grace periods. Not able to align Grace Periods as longer grace period needed due to wider impact
	Communications and engagement regarding case for change could be complic air quality interventions not be progressed.	Extended Urban Area boundary as a wider intervention, risks delegitimising whole LEZ

SWOT		City Centre – Revised I vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) +Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
	Mitigation - City Centre LEZ boundary progressed as a matter option	of priority the formal Edinburgh Scheme	Scheme, which would have negative effect on progressing the City Centre LEZ, where timely action required. Mitigation limited
	Annual monitoring of the LEZ's objectives, can steer furth Centre LEZ boundary. Mitigation - Good alignment with the Local Air Quality Mana improvement in air quality Ensure a robust monitoring programme in relation	gement regime to ensure continued	Annual monitoring of the LEZ's objectives, can steer further interventions across the City. Mitigation -Same to those identified leftThe LAQM process is designed to review and assess air quality in the administration and devise an Air Quality Action Plan where exceedances of the Air Quality Objectives are breached or likely to be breached.
	Emissions controls on buses could be achieved through operator's licenses. However, as other vehicles are requires specific route is deemed more appropriate.		Emissions controls on buses could be achieved through Traffic Regulation Conditions (TRCs) on bus operator's licenses, if deemed necessary to control Extended Urban Area emissions in future. This option can be progressed with the Traffic Commissioner, negating the need for specific enforcement system infrastructure. This lessens any risk from reputational damage and low return on investment from high capital cost for underutilised infrastructure., although HGVs and LGVs can not be addressed with TRC process.
	Displacement of traffic around boundaries has potential exceedances. Mitigation	or AQ increases and/or modelled	See left. Also, as mentioned above, displacement of traffic around the boundary less of a threat in Extended Urban Area LEZ. As only commercial type vehicles affected, it is expected

SWOT	3. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) +Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
	- A Network Management Strategy will include a number of elements including a signage plan, TRO/restrictions, traffic signals strategy and junction road layout changes. See S2 below - Continued AQ monitoring around the LEZ boundary and across the City Centre. Potential for		that the majority of this sector will need to upgrade/renew due to the essential nature of the sector and the deterrent nature of the schemes in Scotland.

Appraisal – Secondary Objectives (S)

S1: Reduce carbon emissions *Contribute towards reduction of greenhouse gas emissions*

SWOT	3. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) + Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)		
Strengths	The LEZ scheme as a whole supports the loc	The LEZ scheme as a whole supports the local authority's desire to achieve net-zero carbon.			
	Interventions that reduce local air pollution (NO2 and PM2.5/PM10) are also likely generate a positive effect on reducing factors contributing to climate change through reduced greenhouse gas emissions (measured in CO2 equivalent tonnes).				
	Modal shift from fossil-fuelled vehicles to zero emission (and active) travel will achieve the most significant carbon reductions. Although not a strict requirement of the LEZ schemes in Scotland, the Council will continue to promote and encourage this type of shift by aligning the LEZ principles with the CMP.				
	scheme. The support grants for people t Better vouchers, which provides financia	t objectives due to the fact that cars are included in the to dispose of non-compliant cars, also offers Travel libenefit to encourage the transition from the private ort (modal shift) to certain sectors of society.	With addition of the Extended Urban Area boundary modal shift is less supported as cars are not included in the boundary.		
Weaknesses	The regulations set minimum petrol and diesel vehicle emission standards for the LEZs - Euro 4 Petrol and Euro 6/VI – because the primary objective is to improve local air quality. Carbon reduction is a limited secondary benefit as fossil-fuels continued to be allowed.				
	Encouraging wide uptake of fossil-fuelled LEZ compliant vehicles has some medium-term implications in working towards net-zero carbon targets for 2030, due to the fuel type minimum standards.				
Opportunities	_	on zero emissions is an opportunity. See P1 above. Through changes to regulations in the future.	Due to the large geographical area, the feasibility of a potential zero emissions zone is low.		
Threats (Mitigation)	Limited reduction of carbon related emissions, with non-fossil-fuelled vehicles not specifically encouraged. Mitigation – LEZ must be considered as part of 'system wide' place-centred policies and actions to decarbonise transport, that focus on demand and behaviour first, including programmes to support a shift to sustainable modes of travel such as spaces for people (and other road space reprioritisation plans).				

S2: Network Management *Minimise the impact from traffic displacement across network*

3. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) + Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
A major consideration of a LEZ scheme is to allow a diversion route around the LEZ to provide motorists with instructions on how to avoid the LEZ. Diversion signs should be considered as an essential requirement. This will form one aspect of a Network Management plan brought forward to manage the impact of the LEZ on traffic.		
Order considerations, traffic signals strateg	y and any changes that might be necessary to	Diversions around the Extended Urban Area boundary is less of a consideration, as commercial type vehicles are more likely to need to upgrade their vehicles in order to continue operations.
There is potential to facilitate strategic transport and public realm infrastructure projects to complement LEZ implementation. Especially with regard to the City Centre Transformation programme.		
Increases in traffic at boundary in the compared to no LEZ scenario; • West End: 19–50%; Palmerston Place, 9–22% Chester Street. • East End: 15-20% Abbeyhill; 5-10% London Road	Increases in traffic at boundary, when compared with Option 1; • Charlotte Square/North/South Street • Lothian Road • Earl Grey Street. This could have a negative impact on the strategic CCWEL Active Travel infrastructure project.	
Support prioritisation of strategic transport and public realm infrastructure improvement project at Toll Cross (both boundary options).		Limited ability to support Extended Urban Area infrastructure projects.
Low risk of buses and coaches not upgrading/renewing vehicles and turnaround at LEZ boundary. Mitigation – major bus company, Lothian Buses already committed 100% LEZ compliant		
	All vehicle types A major consideration of a LEZ scheme is to Diversion signs should be considered as an manage the impact of the LEZ on traffic. Development of a network Management Strong Order considerations, traffic signals strateging junctions or road layout, without necessarily There is potential to facilitate strategic transcomplement LEZ implementation. Especially programme. Increases in traffic at boundary in the compared to no LEZ scenario; West End: 19–50%; Palmerston Place, 9–22% Chester Street. East End: 15-20% Abbeyhill; 5-10% London Road Support prioritisation of strategic transport project at Toll Cross (both boundary option Low risk of buses and coaches not upgrading boundary. Mitigation – major bus company, Lothian Business and major bus company.	All vehicle types A major consideration of a LEZ scheme is to allow a diversion route around the LEZ to provide Diversion signs should be considered as an essential requirement. This will form one aspect of manage the impact of the LEZ on traffic. Development of a network Management Strategy will also incorporate Traffic Regulations Order considerations, traffic signals strategy and any changes that might be necessary to junctions or road layout, without necessarily creating additional demand in the network. There is potential to facilitate strategic transport and public realm infrastructure projects to complement LEZ implementation. Especially with regard to the City Centre Transformation programme. Increases in traffic at boundary in the compared to no LEZ scenario; West End: 19–50%; Palmerston Place, 9–22% Chester Street. East End: 15-20% Abbeyhill; 5-10% London Road Increases in traffic at boundary, when compared with Option 1; Charlotte Square/North/South Street Lothian Road Earl Grey Street. This could have a negative impact on the strategic CCWEL Active Travel infrastructure project. Support prioritisation of strategic transport and public realm infrastructure improvement project at Toll Cross (both boundary options). Low risk of buses and coaches not upgrading/renewing vehicles and turnaround at LEZ boundary.

SWOT		2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) + Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
	with bus stakeholders, SEPA and Transport Scotland to consider if any further regulation would be necessary (potential TRC) (see P1 Threats). Traffic displacement on the road network boundary. Mitigation - Mitigation measure will be brought forward through the network management strategy and may include junction reconfiguration (Toll cross, Pleasance/Holyrood/St Mary's Street), road changes (two way on Morrison Street, removal of parking bays (Palmerston Place), optimised signal staging (Palmerston Place/Chester Street, Easter Road/Abbey mount, Abbeyhill), improved signing, overnight lorry ban (Great Stuart Street/Ainslie Place) and rationalisation of pedestrian crossings or link to Urban Traffic Control (Pleasance). - Junction improvements are already being developed for Drumsheugh Gardens / Lynedoch Place / Randolph Crescent and Lothian Road. These need to be reviewed to ensure LEZ demand is accommodated. - A robust monitoring regime will also form part of the network management strategy and may cover public transport journey times, traffic surveys and public opinion surveys.		
	Specific impacts caused by option 1: • Increase in traffic demand on Palmerston Place and Chester Street	 Specific impacts caused by option 2: Conflicts with the CCWEL active travel corridor on South Charlotte Street due to increase traffic demand 	
	Higher risk of network management mitigation measures not being developed in time, due to the likelihood of Traffic Regulation Orders being required for the Original boundary. Mitigation: A longer grace period would support implementation of the required measures (see also P1 Threats)	The Revised boundary follows the main City Centre trafficked route of Lothian Road to Queen Street, therefore the mitigation measures required to implement the boundary are not as significant as the Original boundary.	

S3: Behaviour Change Strategically align with sustainable transport, active travel and placemaking objectives

SWOT	3. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) + Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
Strengths	Support and complement other strategic transport and placemaking projects in the City Centre areas, at or near to the boundary or within the LEZ. Such projects include; • Edinburgh City Centre Transformation (ECCT) and other strategic projects: • Meadows to George Street • City Centre East-West Link • Princes Street/Waverley Bridge • Cockburn Street/Victoria Street/High Street • Lothian Road • Spaces for People • Trams to Newhaven • Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) review		A Extended Urban Area LEZ including commercial-type vehicles could support the development of a comprehensive city freight and servicing operations system planned, including neighbourhood delivery hubs. Some consideration would need to be given to the timing of implementation.
		e as part of a green recovery transformation, especially and the boundary areas.	
		om cars to public transport and active travel. This will ovements, as well as benefitting the health of ls.	
	Low Emission Support Fund encouraging modal shift though financial benefit received for disposal of non-compliant car or vehicle and change to more sustainable transport - Travel Better vouchers. This includes money towards a bike, e-bike or public transport. See S1 Strength.		Inclusion of buses/commercial type vehicles does not nudge towards positive modal shift. Therefore, added benefit of Extended Urban Area boundary in terms of modal shift is considered low.
	-	undary may improve access to services for those car, including public transport or active travel. This port more attractive.	

SWOT	3. City Centre – Original All vehicle types	2. City Centre – Revised All vehicle types	3. City Centre + Extended Urban Area City Centre (All vehicles) + Extended Urban Area (HGVs, LGVs, Minibus, Buses & Coaches and Taxis)
	There is more scope for Option 1 to encourage behaviour change (vehicle upgrades/renewal or modal shift) as it covers a wider geographic area with more complex diversion route.	Option 2 diversion route is a key City Centre road, which if used as a boundary is less likely to incentivise behavioural change in terms of fleet upgrade/renewal, or modal shift.	
Weaknesses	None identified	į.	Extended Urban Area boundary has limited positive knock on behaviour change impacts: • e.g. P&R is not necessarily encouraged since Extended Urban Area boundary does not include cars
Opportunities	Complement future behavioural change strategies and plans including; • Workplace Parking Levy • 20-minute neighbourhoods		
Threats (Mitigation)	Communications needs to be clear that LEZ forms part of a 'system wide' place-centred strategy to decarbonise transport, that focus on demand and behaviour change. Mitigations - An effective communication campaign shall include the system wide changes that are needed to support LEZ and encourage a decarbonised transport structure fit for the future.		

Appendix

Acronyms, terms and definitions

Term/Acronym	Definition	
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan - Every local authority that has an active Air Quality Management Area (AQMA), is required under Part IV of the	
	Environment Act 1995 to provide an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) as a means to address the areas of poor air quality.	
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area - Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) are declared when there is an exceedance or likely	
	exceedance of an air quality objective (AQO).	
AQO	Air Quality Objectives	
	Statutory	
ANPR camera	Automatic Number Plate Recognition camera	
Emission Standards	Mandatory nationally consistent emission standards for Scottish LEZs have been set for virtually all petrol and diesel vehicle	
	classifications (e.g. buses, taxis, vans, HGVs, cars, motorcycles) within the Low Emission Zones (Emission Standards, Exemptions and	
	Enforcement) (Scotland) Regulations 2021.	
Euro Standards	The Euro standards are defined in a set of European Union directives and provide a list of acceptable limits for exhaust emissions of all	
	new vehicles that are sold in the EU. They cover oxides of nitrogen (NOX), hydrocarbons (HC), carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate	
	matter (PM) emissions. The Euro emission standards are based on Nitrogen Dioxide emissions, and use Arabic (Euro 5, Euro 6 for cars)	
	and Roman (Euro V, Euro VI for heavy-duty vehicles) numbering to classify the emission standard (Holman et al 201520).	
Grace Period	The purpose of a grace period is to provide the registered keeper of the vehicle with time to prepare and plan ahead before a LEZ	
	enforcement regime starts, so that their vehicle or vehicles are compliant with the LEZ emission standards, or they are able to source	
	an alternative mode of travel into the LEZ. A grace period applies to both individuals who are:	
	• Non-residents – individuals whose registered address is not within the zone. This categorisation applies to both residents and	
	businesses. Essentially, this element covers all registered keepers of vehicles	
	• Residents – individuals whose registered address in respect of the vehicle is a residential property within the zone	
	A grace period begins 'on the day the LEZ comes into effect' and means that emission standards are not contravened until the grace	
	period has expired.	
HGV	Heavy Goods Vehicle	
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management Regime as defined by the Environment Act 1995	
LGV	Light Goods Vehicle	
Local time-limited exemptions	Exemptions which can be applied at the discretion of local authorities to individual LEZs, to cover any vehicle type that is not covered	
	by the national exemption. Different LEZs could have different local time-limited exemptions.	

Term/Acronym	Definition	
National exemptions	Exemptions which apply consistently across all Scottish LEZs, as set out in Regulations. Local Authorities must apply these exemptions	
	to their LEZ at all times; they cannot be revoked.	
New modelled exceedance	The NMF modelling work predicts future concentrations of 40ug/m-3 annual mean (NO2) at the roadside, which has not been	
	predicted in the baseline scenario. Note the location assessment differs to that required for assessment of statutory Air Quality	
	Objectives, where is in necessary to consider 'relevant receptors'.	
NMF	National Modelling Framework	
NLEF	National Low Emission Framework	
MOVA	Microprocessor Optimised Vehicle Actuation (MOVA) – traffic management system.	
TRCs	Traffic Regulation Condition – On licenses for buses there is The Public Service Vehicles (Traffic Regulation Conditions) Amendment	
	(Scotland) Regulations 2008 which allow for emission standards to be put in place.	