Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alex	xander		Email
Response Type	Residents Or	ganisation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's M	lains and Silverknowes	Association	

## Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response	Yes
Explanation	Access to green open space has an important very positive effect on wellbeing and quality of life .

## Choice

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response Yes

1 B

**Explanation** Green and blue infrastructure provides important and sustainable linkage to the natural environment

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexa	ander		Email	
Response Type	Residents Org	anisation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Ma	ains and Silverknowes	Association		
Choice	1 C				
We want to ident	ify areas that ca	an be used for future	water management to enable	e adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response Explanation	Yes Water manager	ment is an important	actor in maximising natural st	torage and minimising flooding .	
Explanation	water manager				
Choice	1 D				
We want to clear Yes / No	ly set out under	what circumstances	he development of poor qua	ality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? -	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	With increasing used land areas		of open space for developmen	nt it is very important that appropriate consideration is given to using poor quality or under	
Choice	1 E				
We want to intro you agree with th		ra-large green space s	tandard' which recognises th	nat as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Edinburgh has s for new develo		uction over time of green ope	en space. I would support a reversal of the trend to ensure significant areas of open space	

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexand	ler		Email	
Response Type	Residents Organi	sation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains	and Silverknowes	Association		
-					
Choice	1 F				
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	tify specific sites for	r new allotments a	ind food growing, both as	s part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree wit	h
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation			<b>-</b>	e . Proposals for additional spaces about ten years ago generated a high level of interest but the ay some sites out . The site at the former Lauriston Farm was one site that would have strong	
Choice	1 F				
We want to ident this? - Upload (m		r new allotments a	and food growing, both as	s part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree wit	h
Short Response	No				
Explanation					
Choice	1 G				
We want to iden	tify space for additi	ional cemetery pro	ovision, including the pote	ential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	It is a choice for so	me which should b	e maintained.		

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	f: 01383 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexander Email	
Response Type	Residents Organisation	
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains and Silverknowes Association	
Choice	1 H	
		in place
	vise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements vith this? - Yes/No	in place.
Short Response	e Yes	
Explanation	I support the Council's policy of ensuring private developments have managed factoring arrangements for the maintenance of open space.	
Choice	2 A	
We want all deve to climate change	2 A velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout	-
We want all deve	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an	-
We want all deve to climate change	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an	-
We want all deve to climate change	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout	-
We want all deve to climate change No	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout	-
We want all deve to climate change No Short Response	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout example. e Yes These requirements are essential . The response to the need to change building standards to adapt to climate change has been very slow . All new	-
We want all deve to climate change No Short Response	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout example. e Yes These requirements are essential . The response to the need to change building standards to adapt to climate change has been very slow . All new	-
We want all deve to climate change No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revise	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout e Yes These requirements are essential . The response to the need to change building standards to adapt to climate change has been very slow . All new developments should ensure maximum accessibility for those with limited mobility .	ts Yes /
We want all deve to climate change No Short Response Explanation Choice	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout e Yes These requirements are essential . The response to the need to change building standards to adapt to climate change has been very slow . All new developments should ensure maximum accessibility for those with limited mobility .	ts Yes /
We want all deve to climate change No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revise	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout e Yes These requirements are essential . The response to the need to change building standards to adapt to climate change has been very slow . All new developments should ensure maximum accessibility for those with limited mobility .	ts Yes /
We want all deve to climate change No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revise	velopment (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle an age, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layout experiments are essential. The response to the need to change building standards to adapt to climate change has been very slow. All new developments should ensure maximum accessibility for those with limited mobility. 2 B vise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with the space in our city and that sites are not under-developed.	ts Yes /

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info				
Name	Roderick Alex	kander		Email				
Response Type	Residents Or	Residents Organisation						
On behalf of:	Davidson's M	lains and Silverknowes	Association					
Choice	2 C							
We want to revise	e our design an	d layout policies to ac	hieve ensure their layouts del	iver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No				
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation		tivity for active travel is pedestrians and cyclists	•	uptake . The policy should support separation from road traffic and where possible				
Choice	2 D							
We want all deve densities. Do you	•		to deliver quality open space	and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing				
Short Response	No							
Explanation	The policy app	ears to give too high a	priority to high density . An ap	ppropriate balance has to be struck between housing density and the quality of open space .				
Choice	3 A							
	-		•	ls as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new ew development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?				
•								
Short Response	Platinum (zero	car						
Explanation	I support polic	ies towards being carbo	on neutral by 2030. The platir	num standard will be a difficult enough target to meet in that timescale .				

Name	01383 Respo	onse Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-		Supporting Info					
		EIIIdii								
Response Type	Residents Organisation									
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains and Silv	verknowes A	Association							
Choice	4 A									
	with local communities to althcare infrastructure dev					lighting the	e key elem	ents of desig	gn, layout, a	ind transport,
		relopment s	siloulu deliver. Do yo							
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	It is important that local pe few years with the dismant		,	•					been the cas	se over the last
				•						
Choice	4 B									
		prepared b	v our communities. (	City Plan 2030	will set out how Loca	al Place Pla	ns can hel	p us achieve	great place	es and support
We want to suppo	4 B ort Local Place Plans being   ions How should the Cou		•	•		al Place Pla	ns can hel	p us achieve	great place	es and support
We want to suppo	ort Local Place Plans being		•	•		al Place Pla	ns can hel	p us achieve	great place	es and support
We want to suppo community ambit	ort Local Place Plans being		•	•		al Place Pla	ns can hel	p us achieve	great place	es and support
We want to suppo community ambit	ort Local Place Plans being ions How should the Cou Not Answered I know that communities ta	ake a strong	with local communities	es to prepare	Local Place Plans?					
We want to suppo community ambit Short Response	ort Local Place Plans being ions How should the Cou Not Answered	ake a strong	with local communities	es to prepare	Local Place Plans?					
We want to suppo community ambit Short Response	ort Local Place Plans being ions How should the Cou Not Answered I know that communities ta	ake a strong	with local communities	es to prepare	Local Place Plans?					
We want to suppo community ambit Short Response Explanation Choice	ort Local Place Plans being ( ions How should the Cou Not Answered I know that communities ta will and resources to suppo	ake a strong ort local eng	yith local communities g interest in plans for gagement	es to prepare	Local Place Plans?	chance to (	engage . T	he issue is wl	hether the C	Council have th
We want to suppo community ambit Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Plar	ort Local Place Plans being ( ions How should the Cou Not Answered I know that communities ta will and resources to suppo	ake a strong ort local eng ent to wher	vith local communities g interest in plans for gagement e there is existing inf	es to prepare their area wh	Local Place Plans? en they are given the apacity, including edu	chance to e	engage . T althcare a	he issue is wl	hether the C	Council have th
We want to suppo community ambit Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Plar	ort Local Place Plans being r tions How should the Cou Not Answered I know that communities ta will and resources to suppor 5 A n 2030 to direct developme	ake a strong ort local eng ent to wher	vith local communities g interest in plans for gagement e there is existing inf	es to prepare their area wh	Local Place Plans? en they are given the apacity, including edu	chance to e	engage . T althcare a	he issue is wl	hether the C	Council have th
We want to suppo community ambit Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Plar	Not Answered I know that communities ta will and resources to suppor <b>5</b> A <b>1 2030 to direct developme</b> rastructure will be accomm	ake a strong ort local eng ent to wher	vith local communities g interest in plans for gagement e there is existing inf	es to prepare their area wh	Local Place Plans? en they are given the apacity, including edu	chance to e	engage . T althcare a	he issue is wl	hether the C	Council have th

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01383 Response F	Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alexander		Email
Response Type	Residents Organisation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains and Silverkno	owes Association	
Choice	5 B		
		nmunity facilities are needed, and t services. Do you agree with this? - Y	that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high Yes / NO
Short Response	Yes		
Explanation	The policy is supported although	its application has to be wider than	n the designated traffic corridors .
Choice	5 C		
	t the desire to co-locate our con ree with this? - Yes / No	nmunity services close to the comm	nunities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to
Short Response	Yes		
Short Response Explanation		est placed within the communities th	hey serve and should ideally be within active travel distance for all residents .
		est placed within the communities th	hey serve and should ideally be within active travel distance for all residents .
		est placed within the communities th	hey serve and should ideally be within active travel distance for all residents .
Explanation Choice	Good community services are be		hey serve and should ideally be within active travel distance for all residents . Dward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Explanation Choice	Good community services are be		
Explanation Choice	Good community services are be		
Explanation Choice We want to set o	Good community services are be <b>5 D1</b> <b>ut in the plan where development</b> Yes	nt will be expected to contribute to	

Customer Ref:	01383	<b>Response Ref:</b>	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alexa	nder		Email
Response Type	Residents Orga	nisation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mai	ns and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	5 D2			
We want to use c	umulative contri	bution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	The principle wo	ould appear to be fair	and sound .	
Choice	5 E			
•		tary guidance and se	et out guidance for developer	r contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
you agree with th	is? - Yes / No			
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	The guidance sh	ould be unambiguou	s and be clearly set out in the	plan.
Choice	6 A			
		at assesses develop	ment against its ability to me	et our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary
		•	- ·	e travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation		•		ic transport and active travel routes , although there are other factors such as chieving targets , as could be inferred from the question .

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alex	-		Email
Response Type				
		-		
On behalf of:	Davidson's M	ains and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	6 B			
			by walking, cycling and public c transport. Do you agree wit	c transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine th this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	The policy shou	uld be a driver to reduc	e private car use .	
Choice	7 A			
		-	• • •	valking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	The policy is su	pported but there hav	e to be realistic opportunities	and choices for public transport and active travel .
Chains	7.0			
Choice	7 B			
We want to prote you agree with th	-	development of additi	onal car parking in the city ce	ntre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	I support the p	rogressive reduction ir	car use in the city centre . Thi	is policy is consistent with the objective .

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexand	ler		Email	
Response Type	Residents Organi	sation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains	s and Silverknowes	Association		
Choice	7 C				
We want to upda agree with this? -		cies to control den	nand and to support parking fo	or bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you	
Short Response	Yes	]			
Explanation	This policy is consi	stent with the obje	ctive to reduce car use in the c	ity .	
Choice	7 D				
Mobility Plan or i	ts action plan. Do y	ou agree with this		new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and ts action plan.	ty
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	New park and ride	sites and extensio	n of the existing ones is essenti	ial to minimise the effect of the large volumes of commuter traffic from outside the city	
Choice	8 A				
We want to upda	te our policy on th	e Cycle and Footpa	ath Network to provide criteria	a for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	The current netwo from road traffic .	ork is fragmented a	nd non connected . The long te	erm aim should be to have a completely integrated network separated as far as possible	

Customer Ref:	01383 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alexander	Email
Response Type	Residents Organisation	
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains and Silverknowes Association	
Choice	8 B	
		to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the
following routes	(along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active trav	vel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	8 C	
to include any ne		ts within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 hcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		the city whch are not listed under those to be given priority under 8a
Choice	8 C	
		s within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030
to include any ne	w strategic active travel links which may be identified in the fort	hcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified
through this cons	sultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes	
Short Response	No	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	01383 Res	ponse Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW	79-R	Supporting Info					
Name	Roderick Alexander			25 11	Email					
Response Type	Residents Organisation									
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains and S	Silverknowes A	Association							
Choice	9 A									
	ult on designating Edinbu perties for short-term lets				Let Control Area' where pl o	lanning pe	rmission wil	l always be req	uired for the cha	inge of
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation		-		-	ry detrimental to the interearcher and the interearcher and the interests of lo			n parts of the ci	ty . Regulation is	
Choice	9 B									
				•	icy will be used when plan u agree with this? - Yes / N		ission is req	uired for a char	ige of use of resid	dential
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	There is a need for clear	er specificatio	n of when short st	ay commercia	al leasing is acceptable .					
Choice	10 A									
	e our policy on purpose-l nunities and looks after s		-		at student housing is deliv - Yes / No	vered at the	e right scale	and in the right	t locations, helps	s create
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	I support the principles a	although it is i	mportant to have o	other housing	, within the development m	nix and the	ese levels are	e not defined .		

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alexa	ander		Email
Response Type	Residents Org	anisation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's Ma	ains and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	10 B			
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	e a new policy f	ramework which sets	out a requirement for housin	g on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with
Short Response	Yes			
	L	orts mixed use develo	pment of active sustainable co	ommunities .
Choice	10 C			
	• • • •	promoting the better u orted. Do you agree w		re retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	Much more nee	eds to be done to supp	ort out of town commercial ce	entres .
Choice	11 A			
We want to amer	nd our policy to	increase the provisior	of affordable housing requir	ement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation			ble homes is essential to mee evelopers to aim to keep the p	t market requirements. A concern would be that the 50% threshold for the proportion of roportion below that level .

Customer Ref:	: 01383 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexander Email	
Response Type		
On behalf of:		
Choice	11 B	
•	lan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percenta	ge requirement for
amily housing an	and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	e Yes	
Explanation	This is very prescriptive but it is justified by the need to ensure more affordable homes in sustainable environments.	
Choice	12 A	
Which option do		
	o you support? - Option 1/2/3	
	o you support? - Option 1/2/3	
Short Response		
-	e Option 1 (Council/	
-		
Short Response Explanation	e Option 1 (Council/	
Explanation	e Option 1 (Council/ I support the use of brown field sites and am strongly opposed to the use of green belt land .	
Explanation	e Option 1 (Council/	
Explanation	e Option 1 (Council/ I support the use of brown field sites and am strongly opposed to the use of green belt land .	
Explanation	<ul> <li>Option 1 (Council/</li> <li>I support the use of brown field sites and am strongly opposed to the use of green belt land .</li> <li>12 B1</li> </ul>	
Explanation Choice Do you support o	Population 1 (Council/ I support the use of brown field sites and am strongly opposed to the use of green belt land .           12 B1           cor object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood	

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9	9-R Si	pporting Info				
Name	Roderick Alex	ander		Er	nail				
Response Type	Residents Org	ganisation			L				-
On behalf of:	Davidson's M	ains and Silverknowes	Association						
Choice	12 B2								
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please t	tick all that apply) - Su	pport Greenfiel	ld - Support -	Kirkliston		
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	12 B3								
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please t	tick all that apply) - Su	pport Greenfiel	ld - Support - '	West Edinbur	gh	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	12 B4								
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please t	tick all that apply) - Su	pport Greenfiel	ld - Support -	East of Riccart	ton	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alexande	er		Email
Response Type	Residents Organis	sation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains	and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	12 B5			
Do you support o	r object to any of th	he proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick	all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	12 B6			
Do you support o	r object to any of th	he proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick	all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation				
Choice	12 B7			
Do you support o	r object to any of th	he proposed greer	ifield areas? (Please tick	all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation				

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info		
Name	Roderick Alex	ander		Email		
Response Type	Residents Org	anisation				
On behalf of:	Davidson's Ma	ains and Silverknowes	Association			
	<u> </u>			*		
Choice	12 B8					
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Obj	ject - West Edinburgh	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	12 B9					
		of the proposed green	field areas? (Places tick all the	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Obj	iant East of Discorton	
	I Object to any	of the proposed greet	field aleas: (Flease tick all the	t apply) - Support Greenneid - Obj		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	12 B10					
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Obj	ject - South East Edinburgh	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	01383 Respons	se Ref: ANON-KU	U2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexander			Email	
Response Type	Residents Organisation				
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains and Silver	knowes Associatio	n		
	<u></u>				
Choice	12 BX				
Do you support o	object to any of the propos	ed greenfield areas	s? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Explain why	
Short Response	Not Answered				
-	I am opposed to the use of gr	roon holt land while	a brown field dougla	mont citos ara availabla	
Explanation	i ani opposed to the use of gi		e brown neid develo	Silles are available.	
Choice	12 C				
Do you have a gre	enfield site you wish us to co	onsider in the prop	osed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload	
Short Response	No				
Explanation					
	12 C				
Do you have a gre	enfield site you wish us to co	onsider in the prop	osed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload	
Short Response	No				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alex	ander		Email
Response Type	Residents Org	ganisation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's M	ains and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	12 C			
Do you have a gr	eenfield site yo	u wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Green	field file upload
Short Response	No			
Explanation				
Choice	12 D			
Do you have a br	ownfield site yo	ou wish us to consider	in the proposed Plan? - Brow	nfield sites upload
Short Response	No			
Explanation				
Choice	13 A			
			for social enterprises, start-u I agree with this? - Yes / No	ps, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation		, .		rected at large scale enterprises . In addition to this strategy much more needs to be done nots level where the city has an appalling record )
	ior small and e	merging pusitiesses di	iu activities ( eg , at a glass 100	ous level where the city has an appaining record )

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	R Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alexar	ıder		Email
Response Type	Residents Organ	nisation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's Main	ns and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	14 A			
inclusive, sustaina	able growth. We v		'an area of search' whic	infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support ich allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to
Short Response	No			
Explanation	The proposal , de	scribed as an "area	of search" is not well ex	xplained ,and seems like a recipe for randomised development .
Choice	14 B			
	ove the safeguard nis approach? - Ye	• ·	for the Royal Highland	d Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do
Short Response	No			
Explanation	No explanation is	; given as to why the	e safeguard should be re	removed .
Choice	14 C			
-		e the Airport's conti is approach? - Yes /		rosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	If the crosswinds	runway is no longe	r required for operation	nal use this would seem to be appropriate.

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexa	nder		Email	
Response Type	Residents Orga	inisation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mai	ins and Silverknowes	Association		
Choice	15 A				
				2030 will protect and enhance thes. Do you agree with this? - Yes	ne city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Support for this	policy is essential for interests of local citi	, , ,	The issue has been whether the p	policy has in recent years been over promoted to the
Choice	15 B				
	•	•			ew local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. ood shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes /
L					
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Much stronger a	iction is needed to ma	aintain and support local c	centres.	
Choice	15 C				
	-			or new identified centres and bo Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yo	undary changes where they support walking and cycling es / No
				, , , , ,	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	I support the pol	licy but there are man	ny clearly defined out of to	own existing village centres which	h require policies directed at supporting them .

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alex	kander		Email
Response Type	Residents Or	ganisation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's M	ains and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	15 D			
balance of uses v	vithin our centr	es to maintain their vi		centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate od placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres
Short Response	The use of Sup	ple		
Explanation	The rapidly cha	anging retail market m	akes the flexible option for sup	pplementary guidance more appropriate.
Choice We want to supp this approach? - `		provision in local, towr	n, commercial centres and oth	ner locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	Good transpor	t access is an importar	t requirement for hotel location	ons .
Choice	15 G			
			orspace within centres in favo with this approach? - Yes / No	our of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	With falling de	mand for retail floor s	pace and a national over provi	sion this policy seems appropriate and essential .

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexande	er		Email	
Response Type	Residents Organis	ation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains	and Silverknowes	Association		
Choice	16 A1				
	nue to support offic Do you agree? - Ye	-	office locations at Edinburgh F	Park/South Gyle, the Internatio	onal Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	The policy is essent	ial to meet demar	d for large scale office accomm	odation .	
Choice	16 A2				
We want to supp	ort office developm	ent at commercia	l centres as these also provide	accessible locations Yes / No	0
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	The policy is necess	sary to meet dema	nd when there is limited scope	for development of strategic of	ffice centres within the central area.
Explanation	The policy is necess	sary to meet dema	nd when there is limited scope	for development of strategic of	ffice centres within the central area.
Explanation	The policy is necess	sary to meet dema	nd when there is limited scope	for development of strategic of	ffice centres within the central area.
Explanation	The policy is necess	sary to meet dema	nd when there is limited scope	for development of strategic of	ffice centres within the central area.
Choice	16 A3	·			ffice centres within the central area. mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No
Choice	16 A3	·			
Choice We want to stren	16 A3	·			
Choice We want to stren Short Response	16 A3 gthen the requirem	ent within the cit	y centre to provide significant		mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexand	der		Email	
Response Type	Residents Organi	isation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains	s and Silverknowes	Association		
Choice	16 A4				
We want to ame	nd the boundary of	f the Leith strategic	office location to remove are	eas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	No				
Explanation	It is a brown field	site where priority s	should be given to developing	; it for housing .	
	4				
Choice	16 A5				
We want to conti	nue to support off	ice development in	other accessible locations els	sewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	It is necessary to s	support the market (	demand for mid to smaller offi	fices . The travel demands help to justify the cost of transport links to urban areas .	
	1				
Choice	16 A5				
We want to conti consider in the pi	••	ice development in	other accessible locations els	sewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to	
Short Response					
Explanation					
LAPIANALION					

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info						
Name	Roderick Ale	xander		Email						
Response Type	Residents Organisation									
On behalf of:	Davidson's N	Davidson's Mains and Silverknowes Association								
Choice	16 B									
We want to iden	We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No									
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	From the repo	orts it is clear this is requ	uired to meet demands							
Choice	16 C									
				tion. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than fo						
			-	ould apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city cer r we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre Yes / No	itre and					
Short Response	I support a los	is of								
Explanation	•••		or office space at locations ot	ther than the city centre						
·				· ·						
Choice	16 E1									
		or now modorn husing	ss and industrial sites to prov	vide passes are floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? Voc ( No						
We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre										
<u></u>										
Short Response	Not Answered	•								
	NOU ANSWEICU	1								
Explanation	Not Answered	1								

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alexander			Email
Response Type	Residents Organisation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains	s and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	16 E2			
We want to ident Support - Newbri		ew modern busine	ess and industrial sites to pro	vide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Vec	1		
Explanation	103			
Choice	16 E3			
	tify proposals for ne nighall Industrial Est		ess and industrial sites to pro	vide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Yes	1		
Explanation	165			
-Apronación				
Choice	16 E4			
			cs and industrial sites to pro	vide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
We want to ident Support - The Cro		ew modern busine	ss and industrial sites to pro	nde necessary noorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - fes / No -
	osswinds Runway	ew modern busine		The necessary noorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - tes / No -

Explanation

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info
Name	Roderick Alexander			Email
Response Type	Residents Orga	inisation		
On behalf of:	Davidson's Ma	ins and Silverknowes	Association	
Choice	16 E5			
We want to ident support - Leith St			s and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation				
Choice	16 E6			
We want to ident support - Newbrid		new modern busines	s and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	16 E7			
We want to ident support - Newcra			s and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				

Customer Ref:	01383 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info		
Name	Roderick Alexander	Email		
Response Type	Residents Organisation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Mains and Silverknowes Association			
Choice	16 E8			
	tify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide r osswinds Runway	necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not		
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	16 EX			
Ne want to ident	tify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide r	necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why		
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation		prown field sites is in short supply . It should be retained for that use with any shortfall		
	for business and industrial use made up from the other sites .			
Choice	16 F			
amount expected		an sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the ss space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering		
- •				
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	A much clearer definition of the criteria and requirements is needed .			

Customer Ref:	01383	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZ9-R	Supporting Info	
Name	Roderick Alexander			Email	
Response Type	Residents Organ	isation			
On behalf of:	Davidson's Main	s and Silverknowes	Association		
Choice	16 G				
We want to conti	nue to protect ind	ustrial estates that	are designated under our cu	rrent policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you	u agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	The protection is i	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle  ightarrow}{=}$ important with no r	reason to change .		
Choice	16 H				
We want to intro	duce a policy that	provides criteria fo	or locations that we would su	pport city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. D	o you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	This policy would	aid the developme	nt of efficient transport links .		