Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency		
On behalf of:	Sustrans			

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response Yes

Explanation Sustrans Scotland firmly believes that access to green space is a human right. In the current context of the Covid-19 pandemic, it has never been clearer how closely physical health, mental health, and access to public green spaces are interlinked. The pandemic has also clearly shown how unequal access to such spaces is, with those on lower incomes losing out. To be more resilient, and to improve the everyday quality of life, health, and wellbeing of Scotland's citizens, we must make our public spaces more liveable – that is, greener, less polluted, and easier to move around by non-motorised means. We see green space as central to an attractive, healthy, liveable and sustainable city. Networking green spaces increases their impact for both people and wildlife, and must extend throughout the city, not just in the new developments. We hope that this will encourage much greater use of small-scale green interventions as part of wider infrastructure changes and the reallocation of road space, including street trees, pocket parks and play areas, and SUDS. We would also like to propose some additional points for greater strength and clarity: a requirement for increased biodiversity; providing a mix of sports and play areas and of more varied vegetation; ensuring that there is green space within easy access of all housing and office areas; emphasis on accessibility for allWe would like the council to look at how private shared gardens in the New Town might be opened up to public use.

Choice 1 B

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response Yes

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info	
Name	Sarah Glynn		Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency			
On behalf of:	Sustrans			

Choice

1 C

1 D

We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	creating liveable no neighbourhoods, d below as priorities: of optionsoEinks to commercialoReduc •Development inco travelled•Develop walking, cycling & v	change, Sustrans Scotland believes we must ensure streets prioritise people over motor vehicles, by investing in the public realm and eighbourhoods. All communities should have access to quality greenspace and community led spaces. We should develop car-free lesigning to ensure active travel and public transport are accessible and simple options. In order to achieve this we should consider the endevelop vacant and derelict land within towns and cities Develop sustainable, integrated transportation infrastructure and range o sustainable transport hubs Develop urban and community woodlands Reduce the amount of motor vehicle travel including ce car dependency rather than just move to e-vehiclesoInvest in public transportoPrioritise public and active transport proporating or creating sustainable urban drainage systems Increase urban density to reduce need for car travel and distances low traffic neighbourhoods, with more greenspace and access to key facilities within a 20 minute walk Develop infrastructure for wheeling, including segregated cycle lanesoEnsure social barriers to active travel are removed – consider sense of safetyoEnsure o active and public transport modes are removedoEnsure economic barriers to active and public transport modes are removedoSafe &
		age integrated into public and private realmsoE-bike charging points
	<u> </u>	

Choice

We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? -Yes / No

 Short Response
 Yes

 Explanation
 The important issue is that we shouldn't lose open space as a whole. It need not necessarily be in exactly the same places that it is currently – though each situation would need to be considered on its own merits. Everyone needs to be able to access greenspace nearby, but we shouldn't necessarily be constrained by what areas are open space now if these are poor quality.

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info		
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glynn	@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / P	ublic Agency				
On behalf of:	Sustrans					
Choice	1 E					
We want to intro you agree with tl		-large green space s	standard' which recognises the	at as we grow communities wi	II need access to green spaces more the	an 5 hectares. Do
Short Response	Not Answord	\neg				
Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	1 F					
We want to iden this? - Yes / No	tify specific sites fo	or new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part	t of new development sites an	d within open space in the urban area.	Do you agree with
	M					
Short Response						
Explanation	Demand for allotr	ments is growing an	d likely to increase			
Choice	1 F					
We want to iden this? - Upload (m		or new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part	t of new development sites an	d within open space in the urban area.	Do you agree with
		_				
Short Response	No					

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info	
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glynn@sustr	ans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation /	Public Agency			
On behalf of:	Sustrans				
Choice	1 G				
We want to ident	ify space for add	ditional cemetery pro	ovision, including the potentia	for green and woodland burials. Do	you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	4 11				
choice	1 H				
[e our existing po	licies and green spac	e designations to ensure that	new green spaces have long term m	aintenance and management arrangements in place.
We want to revise	e our existing po	licies and green spac	e designations to ensure that	new green spaces have long term m	aintenance and management arrangements in place.
We want to revise Do you agree wit	e our existing po	licies and green spac	e designations to ensure that	new green spaces have long term m	aintenance and management arrangements in place.
We want to revise Do you agree wit	e our existing po h this? - Yes/No Yes	licies and green space		new green spaces have long term m	aintenance and management arrangements in place.
We want to revise Do you agree with Short Response	e our existing po h this? - Yes/No Yes			new green spaces have long term m	aintenance and management arrangements in place.
We want to revise Do you agree with Short Response Explanation	e our existing po h this? - Yes/No Yes It will be useful			new green spaces have long term m	aintenance and management arrangements in place.
We want to revise Do you agree with Short Response Explanation Choice	e our existing po h this? - Yes/No Yes It will be useful 2 A	to have that provisior	n from the start		
We want to revise Do you agree with Short Response Explanation Choice We want all deve	e our existing po h this? - Yes/No Yes It will be useful 2 A lopment (includ	to have that provision ing change of use), th	n from the start hrough design and access state	ments, to demonstrate how their do	esign will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt d mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
We want to revise Do you agree with Short Response Explanation Choice We want all deve to climate change	e our existing po h this? - Yes/No Yes It will be useful 2 A lopment (includ	to have that provision ing change of use), th	n from the start hrough design and access state	ments, to demonstrate how their do	esign will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt
We want to revise Do you agree with Short Response Explanation Choice We want all deve to climate change No	e our existing po h this? - Yes/No Yes It will be useful 2 A lopment (includ	to have that provision ing change of use), th	n from the start hrough design and access state	ments, to demonstrate how their do	esign will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-N	A Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / P	ublic Agency		
On behalf of:	Sustrans			
Choice	2 B			
We want to revis Yes / No	e our policies on d	ensity to ensure th	at we make best use of	the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? -
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation		ace doesn't become		ances – which will need to be accompanied by a planned shift away from car use so that the on and car parking. Plans for reducing car use, while maintaining essential access for those who
	L			
Choice	2 C			
We want to revis	e our design and la	yout policies to ac	hieve ensure their layo	uts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	response to the Ci arterial routes, wi	ity Mobility Plan, w th clearly differenti evelopments should	e would hope to see the ated local access roads	h active travel and connectivity improvements to existing areas. As we have set out in our e development of a city-wide active travel plan that combines segregated paths along the main forming low traffic neighbourhoods between. We see this as key to a successful active travel f this. There will also need to be requirements for secure cycle storage as part of new housing

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn	Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Sustrans	
Choice	2 D	
We want all devel	opment, including student housing, to deliver quality open space an	d public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing

densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	planned shift away	miting car use under 2B) (We welcome plans for a compact city with shorter travel distances – which will need to be accompanied by a from car use so that the limited ground space doesn't become overrun with congestion and car parking. Plans for reducing car use, while ial access for those who need it, will need to be worked out.)
Choice	3 A	
	-	ns to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new ver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?
Short Response	Platinum (zero car	

Explanation New building should be to the highest environmental standards, though requirements for conversions of existing buildings need to respond to the nature of the building. It is important that blanket requirements don't encourage people to carry out work that looks good on paper but which, in practice, increases risks of cold bridging and interstitial condensation. Embodied energy should also be taken into consideration.

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency
On behalf of:	Sustrans
Choice	4 A
	k with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, ealthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes
Explanation	We support local engagement and the strengthening of local community structures that enable this to happen. At the same time, we recognise the potential difficulties, and the need to overcome inequalities of access, and look forward to seeing greater detail on how this will work. It is vital that development briefs make clear demands for active travel, public transport and green/blue infrastructure so that these inform and constrain the design from the start.
Choice	4 B
•••	oort Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support itions How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?
Short Response	Yes
Explanation	This will require dedicated work to maximise involvement and ensure that ideas generated really do feed into the final plans. Plan generation will require an ongoing discussion between professionals and community organisations, carried out in a spirit that allows both parties to raise the aspirations of the other.

Customer Ref:	01429 Res	ponse Ref: AN	ION-KU2U-GWZU-M	Suppor	rting Info			
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email	sarah.glynn	@sustrans.org.uk		
Response Type	Organisation / Public Ag	gency						
On behalf of:	Sustrans							
Choice	5 A							
	-		ere is existing infrastructu eliverable within the plan p		-		stainable transport, or w	<i>i</i> here
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	It is also important to en to ensure good safe activ	-	d infrastructure to meet the round schools.	e needs of existing	g areas as we	ell as of new developme	ntsSpecial care needs to	be taken
Choice	5 B							
		•	facilities are needed, and t Do you agree with this? - \		e well conne	ected to active travel ro	outes and in locations wi	ith high
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation								
Choice	5 C							
	ct the desire to co-locate ree with this? - Yes / No	our community s	services close to the comm	unities they serv	ve, supportin	g a high walk-in popula	ition and reducing the n	eed to
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	We would like to see the	City Plan commi	tted to the idea of the 20 n	ninute neighbour	hood			

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info		
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glynr	n@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation /	Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Sustrans					
Choice	5 D1					
We want to set o	ut in the plan wh	ere development wi	ll be expected to contribute to	oward new or expanded com	munity infrastructure. Do you agree with t	his? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	5 D2					
We want to use c	umulative contri	bution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanisr	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
We want to use c	umulative contri	bution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanisr	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
We want to use c Short Response		bution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanisr	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
		bution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanisr	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response		bution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanisr	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response		bution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanisr	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response		bution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanisr	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered 5 E using supplemen				ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No n, Action Programme and in non-statutory	guidance. Do
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to stop	Not Answered 5 E using supplemen					guidance. Do
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to stop you agree with th	Not Answered 5 E using supplemen					guidance. Do
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to stop you agree with th	Not Answered 5 E using supplemen is? - Yes / No					guidance. Do

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn		Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency		
On behalf of:	Sustrans		
Choice	6 A		
		• •	t our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary

according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	

Short Response	Yes
Explanation	This is crucial. These things need to be right from the start and not fitted in later. See our comments on the City Mobility Plan on the importance of modal
	share targets

Choice 6 B

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes
	We are pleased to see the emphasis on walking cycling and public transport instead of cars, and that this will be used to limit space for car parking. This shift of emphasis could also allow that parking to be grouped, away from individual front doors, enabling a better use of outdoor space. We have discussed the use of targets in our response to the City Mobility Plan

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Pr	ublic Agency		
On behalf of:	Sustrans			
Choice	7 A			
				valking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	densities) as well a	d to see the removal of minimum standards for car parking. This will make a big difference to local placemaking (especially at high housing s encouraging active travel. As noted above, we have discussed targets in our response to the City Mobility Plan. Targets will have to be rcome current developer expectations.We hope to see a lot more car-free developments, as in Meadowbank.
Choice	7 B	

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

 Short Response
 Yes

 Explanation
 We would also hope to see a reversal of the recent parking TRO that introduced an additional 1200 spaces

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info	
Name	Sarah Glynn		Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency			
On behalf of:	Sustrans			

Choice

7 C

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes
Explanation	Secure cycle parking is needed in existing and new developments, and could include secure cycle parking in back greens, especially if there was scope for the council to help support this. While we recognise the need for electric vehicle charging infrastructure, all private cars need to be discouraged, including electric cars, which also take up road space Transport is the largest single contributor to Scotland's emissions, and private cars accounted for 39.5% of overall transport emissions in 2017 (Transport Scotland), so reducing car use is key to cutting Scotland's carbon emissions. In this context, it is important to recognise that transport planning and spatial planning are closely intertwined – we must ensure that places are designed to minimise the need for private vehicles. As climate change increases the likely frequency of future epidemics, the impact of Covid-19 is also important to acknowledge. Reduce the amount of motor vehicle travel including commercialoReduce car dependency rather than just move to e-vehiclesoInvest in public transport transport.

Choice 7 D

We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.

Short Response Yes

NameSarah GlynnEmailsarah.glynn@sustrans.org.ukResponse TypeOrganisation / Public AgencyOn behalf of:SustransSustrans	Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info	
	Name	Sarah Glynn	Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk	
On behalf of: Sustrans	Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency		
	On behalf of:	Sustrans		

Choice

8 A

We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short ResponseYesExplanationAs discussed in our response to the City Mobility Plan, we would hope to see a move forward from an A to B route-focussed approach, to more of a genuine
network-based approach for the whole city, built on the twin pillars of:•Arterial routes (with public transport and segregated cycling)•Eow Traffic
NeighbourhoodsThe development of a strategic transport plan for Edinburgh that incorporates these principles should be a priority. Especial care should be
taken to ensure good links to and within areas that score highly on the SIMD, and use should be made of experimental temporary infrastructure. The
creation of low traffic neighbourhoods in towns and cities will bolster local economies and regenerate town centres. As widely documented, investment in
active travel infrastructure brings multiple economic benefits. To ensure this benefits everyone, planning should work to: •Bevitalise local centres and high
street•Eonsider accessibility of town, education and employment centres removing social and physical barriers – consider sense of safety.•Emprove
roads and streets to prioritise pedestrian movement•Envest in public transport links

Choice 8 B

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supportir	ng Info			
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sa	irah.glynn	@sustrans	s.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / P	Public Agency						
On behalf of:	Sustrans							
Choice	0.0							
Choice	8 C							
to include any ne	ew strategic active	•	er strategic active travel links v nay be identified in the forthco es / No					•
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	As we have propo should be safegua		e to the City Mobility Plan, rout	es should be identifi	ied throug	h a strateg	gic mobility plan (as in A	msterdam) and these
Choice	8 C							
to include any ne	ew strategic active	travel links which r	er strategic active travel links w nay be identified in the forthco pload new cycle routes	• •	• •			
Short Response	No							
Explanation								
Choice	9 A							
			s of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Terr ree with this approach? - Yes /		where pla	nning per	mission will always be r	equired for the change of
Short Response								
Explanation	This is important	for thriving commu	nities, for increasing housing av	ailability and for dis	scouraging	g rent rises	5.	

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Suppo	rting Info			
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email	sarah.glynn@	Dsustrans.org.uk		
Response Type	Organisation / Pub	olic Agency						
On behalf of:	Sustrans							
Choice	9 B							
			o alternative uses. This new p nmodation or other uses. Do				ed for a change of	use of residential
nats and nouses (o short-stay comme		information of other uses. Do		13: - 163 / 110			
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation								
Explanation								
Choice	10 A							
			housing. We want to ensure to be the second se		sing is deliver	ed at the right scale an	d in the right loca	tions, helps create
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	We are particularly	supportive of the	requirement for good active t	ravel links.				
Choice	10 B							
		ework which sets	out a requirement for housin	g on all sites ove	r a certain size	e coming forward for d	evelopment. Do y	ou agree with
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info		
		Response Ref.				Т
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glyn	n@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / P	Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Sustrans					
Choice	10 C					
			use of stand-alone out of centr vith this? - Yes / No	e retail units and commerci	al centres, where their redevelopment fo	r mixed use
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	11 A					
We want to ame	nd our policy to in	crease the provisio	n of affordable housing require	ment from 25% to 35%. Do	you agree with this approach? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	More social rente	ed housing is needed	so that people aren't priced ou	It of the city.		

NameSarah GlynnEmailsarah.glynn@sustrans.org.ukResponse TypeOrganisation / Public AgencyOn behalf of:SustransSustrans	Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
	Name	Sarah Glynn	Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
On behalf of: Sustrans	Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
	On behalf of:	Sustrans	

Choice 11 B

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short ResponseNot AnsweredExplanationIn order to be a more sustainable country and to achieve our national outcomes, we need infrastructure that, amongst other things, creates and maintains
greater accessibility and choice in travel, housing, employment and education as well contributing to the protection of the built and natural environment. To
support this, Sustrans Scotland believes the following should be prioritised:•mfrastructure for walking, cycling and wheeling •Prioritise and invest in
active travel infrastructure on arterial routes and within housing developments to connect places and create liveable neighbourhoods oReallocate road
space in favour of active modes oRestrict motor traffic permeabilityoEnable low cost filtered permeability facilitating safe and easy movement for
walking cycling and wheelingoPmproved junctions and crossings ensuring safe ped and cycle movement•20mph schemes with physical•Pmes should
prioritise:oAffordable homesoHomes and spaces for elderlyoAccessible housing oSelf-build housingoDevelop housing within easy access of
quality green spaces and cycling and walking infrastructureoAddress infrastructure requirements (including for cycling, walking, wheeling and public
transport) when housing targets are developedWe also need better controls for the private rented sector

Choice 12 A

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response	Option 1 (Council/
Explanation	This not only preserves the green belt but also allows a more compact city, which is better for active travel. Edge of town sites increase commuting and time spent travelling. Option 1 should encourage the creation of dense, mixed-use urban spaces. In addition, this does not rely on the market, which may be particularly unstable following the COVID-19 pandemic. Council development can provide an important stabiliser for the construction industry in times of recession.

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn	Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Sustrans	
Choice	12 B1	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B2	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B3	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered	
E de cate		
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn	Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Sustrans	
Choice	12 B4	
Do you support o	object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	12 B5	
Do you support o	object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
	12 B6	
Explanation		: apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Suppo	orting Info		
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email	sarah.glynn@sustrans.c	org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Pub	olic Agency					
On behalf of:	Sustrans						
Choice	12 B7						
Do you support o	r object to any of th	e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Suppo	ort Greenfield - Object - k	Kirkliston	
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	12 B8						
Do you support o	r object to any of th	e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Suppo	ort Greenfield - Object - V	West Edinburgh	
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	12 B9						
Do you support o	r object to any of th	e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Suppo	ort Greenfield - Object - E	East of Riccarton	
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info		
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glyn	n@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Pu	ublic Agency				_
On behalf of:	Sustrans					
Choice	12 B10					
Do you support o	r object to any of t	he proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfie	ld - Object - South East Edinburgh	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	12 BX					
Do you support o	r object to any of t	he proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Explain why		
Short Response	Not answered					
Explanation						
Choice	12 C					
Do you have a gro	eenfield site you w	ish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Green	ield file upload		
Short Response	No					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info		
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glynn	@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Pub	olic Agency				
On behalf of:	Sustrans					
	12 C eenfield site you wis	h us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload		
Short Response Explanation	No					
Choice	12 C					
		h us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload		
	eenfield site you wis	h us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload		
Do you have a gre Short Response Explanation	eenfield site you wis	h us to consider in	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload		
Do you have a gre Short Response Explanation Choice	eenfield site you wis		n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi in the proposed Plan? - Brown			

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GV	VZU-M	Support	ting Info					
Name	Sarah Glynn				Email	sarah.glynn	@sustrans	.org.uk			
Response Type	Organisation /	Public Agency			ka						
On behalf of:	Sustrans										
				¥							
Choice	13 A										
		nat provides support or Edinburgh. Do you	•	•	culture and tou	rism, innov	vation and	learning, an	d the low carl	on sector	r, where there
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation		ery light on detail, bu nuch tourist related v		, 0	•	tourism as t	there is cor	siderable fe	eeling that the	city is alre	eady
Choice	14 A										
inclusive, sustaina	able growth. We	rt the best use of exis will do this through ' nis approach? - Yes /	an area of search'			-			•		
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											
Choice	14 B										
We want to remo you agree with th	-	d in the existing plan es / No	for the Royal High	hland Showgro	und site to the s	south of the	e A8 at Noi	ton Park an	d allocate the	site for o	ther uses. Do
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											

Customer Ref:	01429 Res	sponse Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Support	ting Info		
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email	sarah.glynn@sustran	s.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Public A	gency					
On behalf of:	Sustrans						
Choice	14 C						
	n 2030 to allocate the Air you agree with this appro		gency runway, the "crosswin o	ds runway" for the	e development of alte	ernative uses next to the E	dinburgh Gateway
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation							
Choice	15 A						
			rst' approach. City Plan 2030 nent and tourism activities. D	•	•	re as the regional core of s	outh east Scotland
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation		ensure that ev	eryone in the city lives withir	easy reach of basi	ic shops and services	– the 20 minute neighbour	hood approach
Choice	15 B						
	-	-	wed within our town and lo nitted only in areas where th	-			-
Short Response	Not Answered						

We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No Short Response Yes Explanation I5 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Explanation	Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supp	porting Info				
On behalf of: Sustrans Choice 15 C We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No Short Response Yes Explanation Yes Choice 15 D We want to centrue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking, instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Short Response Not Answered Not Answered S	Name	Sarah Glynn			Ema	il sarah.glynr	n@sustran	s.org.uk		
Choice 15 C We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No Short Response Yes Explanation Yes Choice 15 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Short Response Not Answered Short Response	Response Type	Organisation / Pu	blic Agency							
We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No Short Response Yes Explanation 15 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance for town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance for town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Short Response Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Short Response Not Answered	On behalf of:	Sustrans								
We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No Short Response Yes Explanation 15 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance for town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Short Response Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered										
access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No Short Response Yes Explanation Yes Choice 15 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Short Response Not Answered Int Answered Not Answered N	Choice	15 C								
Short Response Yes Explanation Explanation Choice 15 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Short Response		-		e .			•	anges where t	hey support w	valking and cycling
Explanation Choice 15 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Short Response Not Answered		vices in outer areas		ine outcomes of the city			-5 / 110			
Explanation Choice 15 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Short Nesport new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Short Response Not Answered Short Response Not Answered Short Response Not Answered	Short Response	Ves								
Choice 15 D We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidace within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered </td <td>-</td> <td>103</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-	103								
We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Not Answe	Explanation									
We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Not Answe										
balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered Explanation 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered	Choice	15 D								
Explanation Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / Not Short Response Not Answered	balance of uses w	ithin our centres to	maintain their vit	ality, viability and delive	er good placemaking		-			
Explanation Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / Not Short Response Not Answered	L									
Choice 15 E We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered	Short Response	Not Answered								
We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered	Explanation									
We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered										
this approach? - Yes / No Short Response Not Answered	Choice	15 E								
		•	sion in local, town	, commercial centres an	d other locations wit	th good public	transport	access throu	ghout Edinbur	rgh. Do you agree with
Explanation	Short Response	Not Answered								
	Explanation									

Customer Ref:	f: 01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M Supporting Info								
Name	Sarah Glynn Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk								
Response Type	pe Organisation / Public Agency								
On behalf of:	Sustrans								
Choice	15 G								
	seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial c Ite any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No	entres							
Short Response	se Yes								
Explanation	This could bring more mixed use/evening activity								
Choice	16 A1								
We want to conti	ntinue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in	n town							
and local centres	res. Do you agree? - Yes / No								
Short Response	Se Yes								
Explanation	A lot of time is spent in offices and these should be attractive, with access to green space, as well as good transport links. We would hope to see fewer surrounded by car parking, and more mixed use areas. Donsider how we have changed the way we live and work in light of Covid-19 and how home working in future could reduce dependency on vehicles	offices							
Choice	16 A2								
We want to supp	pport office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations Yes / No								
Short Response	se Not Answered								
Explanation									

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-G	iwzu-M	Suppo	orting Info					
Name	Sarah Glynn				Email	sarah.glynn	@sustrans.	org.uk			
Response Type	Organisation /	/ Public Agency				L					
On behalf of:	Sustrans										
Choice	16 A3										
We want to stren	gthen the requi	irement within the city	y centre to provi	ide significant o	ffice floorspac	e within majo	or mixed-us	e developme	ents. Do you agi	ree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											
Choice	16 A4										
We want to ame	nd the boundary	y of the Leith strategic	office location	to remove areas	with resident	ial developme	ent consen	t. Do you agr	ee? - Yes / No		
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											
Choice	16 A5										
We want to conti	nue to support	office development in	other accessible	e locations elsev	where in the u	rban area. Do	you agree	? - Yes / No			
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info		
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.gl	ynn@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Pub	olic Agency				
On behalf of:	Sustrans					
Choice	16 A5					
We want to conti consider in the pr	••	e development in	other accessible locations else	where in the urban area	. Do you agree? - Do you have an office	site you wish us to
Short Response						
Explanation						
Choice	16 B					
We want to ident	ify sites and location	ns within Edinbur	gh with potential for office dev	velopment. Do you agree	with this? - Yes/No	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 C					
use, unless existin	ng office space is pro	ovided as part of c	lenser development. This wou	Id apply across the city to	the redevelopment of office buildings o o recognise that office locations outwith of office' policy only in the city centre	the city centre and

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn	Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Sustrans	
Choice	16 E1	
	ify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provid rategic Business Centre	de necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 E2	
We want to ident Support - Newbri		de necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 E3	
	ify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provio ighall Industrial Estate.	de necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info	
Name	Sarah Glynn		Email sarah.glynn	n@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency			
On behalf of:	Sustrans			
Choice	16 E4			
We want to ident Support - The Cro		ness and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Support - The Cro	sswillus kullway			
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	16 E5			
	ify proposals for new modern busi rategic Business Centre	ness and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
	46.56			
Choice	16 E6			
We want to ident support - Newbrid		ness and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered			

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	A Supporting Info	
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Pul	olic Agency			
On behalf of:	Sustrans				
Choice	16 E7				
We want to ident			s and industrial sites to p	provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - D	Do not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	16 E8				
We want to ident support - The Cro		w modern busines	ss and industrial sites to p	provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - D)o not
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
•					
·					
Choice	16 EX				
Choice		w modern busines	s and industrial sites to p	o provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain wh	у
Choice		w modern busines	s and industrial sites to p	provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain wh	У
Choice	ify proposals for ne	w modern busines	s and industrial sites to p	provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain wh	y
Choice We want to ident	ify proposals for ne	w modern busines	s and industrial sites to p	provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain wh	y

Customer Ref:	01429	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Suppo	orting Info					
Name	Sarah Glynn			Email	sarah.glynn	n@sustra	ins.org.uk			
Response Type	Organisation / Pu	blic Agency								
On behalf of:	Sustrans									
Choice	16 F									
amount expected	•	clearer criteria on	part of the redevelopment of u what constitutes flexible busin Yes / No				•			
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered									
Choice	16 G									
We want to conti	inue to protect indu	strial estates that	are designated under our curr	ent policy on En	nployment Si	ites and	Premises (Er	np 8). Do you	ı agree? - `	Yes / No
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered									
Choice	16 H									
We want to intro	duce a policy that p	provides criteria fo	r locations that we would supp	oort city-wide a	nd neighbour	rhood go	ods distribu	tion hubs. Do	o you agre	e? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation			ing town centres people friendl ated to avoid the pressures of r		-	-		-		

Customer Ref:	01429 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZU-M	Supporting Info
Name	Sarah Glynn	Email sarah.glynn@sustrans.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Sustrans	