Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.Wilso	on@rspb.	org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency					
On behalf of:	RSPB						
Choice	1 A						
	•		s together as part of a city-wid	de, regional, and national gro	een netw	ork. We want new developm	nent to connect to, a
deliver this netwo	ork. Do you agr	ee with this? - Select s	upport / don't support				
Short Response	Not Answered						
•	We welcome t	he broad message of th	is Choice.We welcome this pro	pposal but wish to see the ain	n of conne	ecting habitats mentioned as	part ofthe green
	nectro. Ki						
Choice	4 D						
	1 B		/				C / Okt
We want to chang	ge our policy to	require all developme	ent (including change of use) t	o include green and blue inf	rastructu	re. Do you agree with this? -	Support / Object
Short Response	Not Answered						
•	We welcome t into new build		like it to include nesting sites f	for wildlife as part of theexam	nples of gi	reen infrastructure that shoul	d be incorporated

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH	I-C	Support	ing Info	Yes			
Name	Toby Wilson				Email T	Гоby.Wilso	n@rspb.o	rg.uk		
Response Type	Organisation / Pu	ublic Agency								
On behalf of:	RSPB									
Choice	1 C									
We want to ident	ify areas that can	be used for future	water management	t to enable ad	aptation to clim	nate change	e. Do you	agree with this	s? - Yes / No	
•	Yes We welcome this	proposal.								
	The Welcomic tills	r. 0 p 0 3 ú i.								
Choice	1 D									
We want to clear Yes / No	y set out under wl	hat circumstances	he development of	f poor quality	or underused o	pen space	will be co	nsidered accep	otable. Do you	agree with this? -
	N	7								
•	Not Answered									
Explanation	We wish to see bid	odiversity value con	sidered when asses	ssing the accep	otability of develo	opmenton	poor qual	ity or under-us	ed greenspace	ž.
Choice	1 E									
We want to intro you agree with th		large green space s	tandard' which rec	cognises that a	as we grow com	munities w	vill need a	ccess to green	spaces more t	than 5 hectares. Do
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	We welcome this i	in principle and wo	uld hope that biodiv	versity is provi	ded as part of th	isgreenspa	ice provisi	on.		

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes			
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.Wilso	n@rspb.or	g.uk		
Response Type	Organisation ,	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	RSPB							
Choice	1 F							
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	ify specific sites	s for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part (of new development sites a	nd within o	ppen space in the	urban area. Do	you agree with
Short Response	Not Answored							
Explanation	NOT Allswered							
LAPIGNATION								
Choice	1 F							
We want to ident this? - Upload (ma		s for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part (of new development sites a	nd within o	open space in the	urban area. Do	you agree with
Short Response	No							
Explanation								
Choice	1 G							
We want to ident	ify space for ad	lditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential f	or green and woodland bu	rials. Do yo	u agree with this	? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	1 H	
We want to revise Do you agree wit		ew green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place.
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	2 A	
		nents, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	2 B	
We want to revise Yes / No	e our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limi	ted space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? -
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-	GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes	
Name	Toby Wilson	E	Email Toby.Wilson	n@rspb.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	RSPB				
Choice	2 C				
We want to revise	our design and layout policies to achieve ensure	heir layouts deliver active t	ravel and connect	ivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes /	No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	2 D				
We want all devel	opment, including student housing, to deliver qua	lity open space and public r	realm, useable for	a range of activities, including drying spa	ce, without losing
densities. Do you	agree with this? - Yes / No				
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	3 A				
	ngs and conversions to meet the zero carbon / pla	tinum standards as sot out	in the current Sco	ttich Building Regulations Instead we so	uld roquiro now
	neet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which sta				uid require new
Short Response	Yes				
- L	We welcome this ambitious proposal.				

Customer Ref:	01610 Re	sponse Ref: BHI	F-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	o Y	⁄es				
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.W	'ilson@	rspb.org	g.uk			
Response Type	Organisation / Public A	Agency								
On behalf of:	RSPB									
Choice	4 A									
	with local communities althcare infrastructure			s within City Plan 2030 high	lightin	ng the ke	y element	s of design, la	ayout, and	transport,
education and ne	althcare infrastructure	development shou	id deliver. Do you agre	e with this? - Yes / No						
•	Yes									
Explanation		•	•	ment should deliver biodiver ort/guidance on achieving ne		_				
	аррисавіс.									
Choice	4 B									
			-	in 2030 will set out how Loc repare Local Place Plans?	al Plac	e Plans o	an help u	s achieve grea	at places ar	nd support
				- oparo - ooar raco raco r						
Short Response	Not answered									
Explanation	Not answered									
Explanation										
Choice	5 A									
-	-		_	cture capacity, including edu n period. Do you agree with				sustainable tr	ransport, oi	r where
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	We support the concept	t of directing devel	opment to where there	is existing infrastructure in o	order to	oreduce t	travel and	the resulting	carbon emi	ssions.

				T			
Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.W	ilson@rspb.org.	uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Publi	ic Agency					
On behalf of:	RSPB						
Choice	5 B						
			ty facilities are needed, and tl es. Do you agree with this? - Y		onnected to acti	ive travel routes and in lo	ocations with high
accessionity to go							
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Notraliswered						
LAPIGNATION							
Choice	5 C						
	ct the desire to co-loc ree with this? - Yes / I		ty services close to the comm	unities they serve, suppo	orting a high wa	lk-in population and red	ucing the need to
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	5 D1						
		dovolonment will	be expected to contribute to	ward now or ovpanded s	ommunity infra	structure. Do you agree	with this? Vos / No
we want to set o	ut iii tile plali where t	development will	be expected to contribute to	varu new or expanded c	oniniumity iiiira	structure. Do you agree	with this: - res / NO
Chart Deenene	Not Anguerod						
Short Response	NOT Answered						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes
Name	Toby Wilson		Email Toby.Wilso	n@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency			
On behalf of:	RSPB			
Choice	5 D2			
We want to use c	umulative contribution zones to de	termine infrastructure actions, c	osts and delivery mechanism	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	5 E			
We want to stop wou agree with the		set out guidance for developer o	ontributions within the pla	n, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
, <u></u>				
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
- Aprollation				
Choice	6 A			
	e a new policy that assesses develo current or planned public transport			port usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes			
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.Wil	son@rspb.c	org.uk		
Response Type	Organisation ,	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	RSPB							
Choice	6 B							
		•	by walking, cycling and public to transport. Do you agree with	•	nt and plann	ned transit intervent	ions. This will o	determine
арргориасе рагкі	ing icveis to sup	pport mgm use or public	transport. Do you agree with	1037 10				
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	11007111011101101							
•								
Choice	7 A							
		wals in dayalanmant h	pased on targets for trips by wa	lking eveling and public t	ransport T	hose targets sould b	an set by area	dovolonment
			to control on-street parking. D			nese targets could b	ie set by area,	development
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	We support the	e proposal to seek to cr	eate places that focus on public	transport, walking and cy	clingrather t	han car use.		
Choice	7 B							
	ct against the o	development of addition	onal car parking in the city cent	re to support the deliver	y of the Cou	ncil's city centre tra	nsformation p	rogramme. Do
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation		,						

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPF	H-C	Suppo	rting Info	Yes			
Name	Toby Wilson				Email	Toby.Wilso	n@rspb.org	.uk		
Response Type	Organisation ,	/ Public Agency								
On behalf of:	RSPB									
										-
Choice	7 C									
We want to upda agree with this? -		policies to control der	nand and to suppo	ort parking for	bikes, those w	ith disabiliti	es and elect	ric vehicles via cl	harging infrast	ructure. Do you
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered									
Choice	7 D									
Mobility Plan or i	ts action plan. [ork and ride infrastruc Do you agree with this sites that are identifie	s? - We want to su	pport the city	's park and ride		-	• .		•
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered									
Choice	8 A									
We want to upda	te our policy or	n the Cycle and Footpa	ath Network to pro	ovide criteria	for identifying I	new routes.	Do you agre	ee with this? - Ye	s / No	
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	We support thi	s proposal and would	like to see any new	v routes desigr	ned in way as to	also serve as	s habitat cor	ridors.		

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting	g Info	Yes				
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Tok	by.Wilson	@rspb.or	g.uk			
Response Type	Organisation / Pul	blic Agency								
On behalf of:	RSPB		·							
Choice	8 B									
•			uncil and partner projects to in our network as active travel		_			•		
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation										
Choice	8 C									
to include any nev	_	avel links which m	r strategic active travel links w ay be identified in the forthco s / No		-				-	
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation										
Choice	8 C									
to include any nev	w strategic active tr	avel links which m	r strategic active travel links w ay be identified in the forthco load new cycle routes	•					•	
Short Response	No									
Explanation										

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	9 A	
	ult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Te perties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes	erm Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of
use of whole prop	refues for shore-term lets. Do you agree with this approach: - res	,, 110
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	. voer movered	
F		
-1.		
Choice	9 B	
	e a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new o short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do	policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential o you agree with this? - Yes / No
	•	
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	10 A	
<u> </u>		
	e our policy on purpose-built student nousing. We want to ensur- nunities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with tl	e that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create his? - Yes / No
	-	

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPF	H-C	Supp	orting Info	Yes						
Name	Toby Wilson				Emai	Toby.Wilso	n@rspb.o	org.uk					
Response Type	Organisation /	Public Agency											
On behalf of:	RSPB												
Choice	10 B												
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	e a new policy fr	amework which sets	out a requiremer	nt for housing	on all sites ove	er a certain siz	ze comin _i	g forwa	rd for de	velopmer	nt. Do yo	ou agree wi	th
Short Response	Not Answered												
Explanation													
Choice	10 C												
		romoting the better unrted. Do you agree w			e retail units a	nd commercia	al centres	s, where	e their re	developm	ent for	mixed use	
Short Response	Not Answered												
Explanation													
Choice	11 A												
We want to ame	nd our policy to in	ncrease the provision	of affordable ho	using requirer	ment from 25%	% to 35%. Do y	you agree	e with t	his appro	oach? - Ye	es / No		
Short Response	Not Answered												
Explanation													

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-0	C Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	11 B	
•	n 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we nd support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree wit	e want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for the this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	NotAllswelled	
LAPIGNATION		
Choice	12 A	
Which option do	you support? - Option 1/2/3	
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	promoted on vacant and derelict landwithout proper asses	naking best use of vacant sites withinsettlements, we are concerned that development may be ssment and recognition of its potential wildlife value. These brownfield sites canoften provide that they are properlysurveyed and if necessary, protected through designation.
Choice	12 B1	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please	tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	12 B2	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B3	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B4	
Choice Do you support o	12 B4 r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
		at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.Wilso	on@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation /	Public Agency			
On behalf of:	RSPB				
Choice	12 B5				
Do you support o	r object to any o	f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfiel	d - Support - South East Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	12 B6				
		f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfiel	d - Object - Calderwood
		f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfiel	d - Object - Calderwood
Do you support o		f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfiel	d - Object - Calderwood
Do you support o	r object to any o	f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfiel	d - Object - Calderwood
Do you support o	r object to any o	f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfiel	d - Object - Calderwood
Do you support o	r object to any o	f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfiel	d - Object - Calderwood
Do you support o	r object to any o	f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfiel	d - Object - Calderwood
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Not Answered 12 B7		ifield areas? (Please tick all th		
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Not Answered 12 B7		·		
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Not Answered 12 B7 r object to any of		·		
Do you support of Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of	Not Answered 12 B7 r object to any of		·		

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	12 B8	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	12 B9	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	12 B10	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.Wilso	n@rspb.org	g.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Pub	lic Agency					
On behalf of:	RSPB						
Choice	12 BX						
Do you support o	r object to any of the	e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	apply) - Explain why			
Short Response Explanation			oment should "Provide a well-co erarchy should be mentioned.N	_ ,			•
	Portobello areas. It shouldbe noted that these areas sit adjacent to the Firth of Forth SSSI/ Special Protection Area and Ramsarsite. Increasing the local population may trigger a likely significant effect under the HabitatsRegulations, due to increased recreational disturbance to the SPA. Any potential impacts must be properly assessed and the forthcoming LDP ensure that this site is safeguarded.				-		
Choice	12 C						
Do you have a gre	eenfield site you wis	h us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload			
Short Response Explanation	No						
Choice	12 C						
Do you have a gre	eenfield site you wis	h us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload			
Short Response	No						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes	
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.Wilso	n@rspb.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Pu	blic Agency				
On behalf of:	RSPB					
Choice	12 C					
Do you have a gre	eenfield site you wis	sh us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Gree	enfield file upload		
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	12 D					
Do you have a bro	ownfield site you w	ish us to consider	in the proposed Plan? - Bro	wnfield sites upload		
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	13 A					
			for social enterprises, start agree with this? - Yes / No	-ups, culture and tourism, inno	vation and learning, and the low carbon s	sector, where there
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes	
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency		
On behalf of:	RSPB		
Choice	14 A		
inclusive, sustaina	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ture in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of use a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being	
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation			
Choice	14 B		
	ve the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showgrois approach? - Yes / No	ound site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for o	ther uses. Do
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation			
Choice	14 C		
•	n 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds rou agree with this approach? - Yes / No	s runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh	Gateway

Short Response Not Answered

	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	15 A	
	nue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will ng, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do yo	protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland u agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
	45.0	
	15 B	
	d leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local co	entres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study.
Outwith local cent No	tres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is	s evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes /
	tres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is	
No		
No Short Response	tres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is	
No		
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered 15 C	s evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes /
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to review	Not Answered 15 C w our existing town and local centres including the potential for new id	entified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to review	Not Answered 15 C	entified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to review access to local services	Not Answered 15 C w our existing town and local centres including the potential for new id vices in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility I	entified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to review access to local services Short Response	Not Answered 15 C w our existing town and local centres including the potential for new id vices in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility I	entified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to review access to local services	Not Answered 15 C w our existing town and local centres including the potential for new id vices in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility I	entified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to review access to local services Short Response	Not Answered 15 C w our existing town and local centres including the potential for new id vices in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility I	entified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	15 D	
balance of uses w		centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate od placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	15 E	
We want to supporthis approach? - Y	·	er locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with
,		
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	15 G	
	ek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favo	ur of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes	
Name	Toby Wilson			Email Toby.Wilso	n@rspb.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation ,	/ Public Agency				
On behalf of:	RSPB					
	,					
Choice	16 A1					
We want to conti and local centres			office locations at Edinburg	h Park/South Gyle, the Interna	tional Business Gateway, Leith, the city	centre, and in town
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation		the broad vicinity of In		•	at itis currently an industrialised area, new roperly assessed and the forthcoming LDI	
Choice	16 A2					
		opment at commercia	I centres as these also provid	de accessible locations Yes /	No	
		opment at commercia	l centres as these also provid	de accessible locations Yes /	No	
		opment at commercia	l centres as these also provid	de accessible locations Yes /	No	
We want to supp	ort office devel	opment at commercia	l centres as these also provid	de accessible locations Yes /	No	
We want to supp	ort office devel	opment at commercia	l centres as these also provid	de accessible locations Yes /	No	
We want to supp	ort office devel	opment at commercia	l centres as these also provid	de accessible locations Yes /	No	
We want to supp Short Response Explanation	ort office devel	opment at commercia	l centres as these also provid	de accessible locations Yes /	No	
We want to supp Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered 16 A3				No or mixed-use developments. Do you agr	ree? - Yes / No
We want to supp Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered 16 A3					ree? - Yes / No
We want to supp Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered 16 A3					ree? - Yes / No
We want to suppose Short Response Explanation Choice We want to strenge	Not Answered 16 A3 gthen the requi					ree? - Yes / No
We want to supp Short Response Explanation Choice We want to stren Short Response	Not Answered 16 A3 gthen the requi					ree? - Yes / No

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	16 A4	
We want to ame	nd the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove area	as with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 A5	
We want to conti	nue to support office development in other accessible locations else	ewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 A5	
We want to conti	· ·	ewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to
Short Response		
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	01610 Response Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Toby Wilson	Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	RSPB	
Choice	16 B	
We want to ident	ify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office de	velopment. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 C	
use, unless existir	ng office space is provided as part of denser development. This wou	on. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office uld apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 E1	
	ify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provid rategic Business Centre	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Suppo	orting Info	Yes			
Name	Toby Wilson			Email	Toby.Wilson	n@rspb.org	.uk		
Response Type	Organisation / Public	c Agency							
On behalf of:	RSPB								
Choice	16 E2								
We want to ident Support - Newbri		modern busines	s and industrial sites to	provide necessary floo	orspace at the	e following l	ocations. Do yo	ou agree? - Yes	/ No -
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	16 E3								
	ify proposals for new ighall Industrial Estate		s and industrial sites to	provide necessary floo	orspace at the	e following I	ocations. Do yo	ou agree? - Yes	/ No -
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	16 E4								
We want to ident Support - The Cro		modern busines	s and industrial sites to	provide necessary floo	orspace at the	e following I	ocations. Do yo	ou agree? - Yes	/ No -
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									

Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GP	PFH-C	Supp	orting Info	Yes						
Name	Toby Wilson		BITEL ROLO GI	0	1	Toby.Wilso		org uk					
Response Type	Organisation /	Public Agency				1007.771130	тетэры	.018.41					
On behalf of:	RSPB	Tublic Agency											
On benan or.	NOFD												
Choice	16 E5												
We want to ident support - Leith St		new modern busines Centre	s and industrial	sites to provide	e necessary flo	orspace at the	e followi	ing locat	ons. Do y	you agree	? - Yes / N	lo - Do not	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered												
Explanation													
Choice	16 E6												
We want to ident support - Newbri		new modern busines	s and industrial	sites to provide	e necessary flo	orspace at the	e followi	ing locat	ons. Do y	you agree	? - Yes / N	lo - Do not	;
Short Response	Not Answered												
Explanation													
Choice	16 E7												
We want to ident	ify proposals for	new modern busines	s and industrial	sites to provide	e necessary flo	orspace at the	e follow	ing locat	ions. Do y	you agree	? - Yes / N	lo - Do not	:
support - Newcra	ignan maustrial i	istate.											
Short Response	Not Answered												
Explanation													

Name Toby Wilson Email Toby.Wilson@rspb.org.uk Response Type Organisation / Public Agency On behalf of: RSPB Choice 16 E8 We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Not answered Explanation	Customer Ref:	01610	Response Ref:	BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes		
Response Type On behalf of: RSPB Choice 16 E8 We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F							ruk	
Choice 16 E8 We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F			hlis Agonsy		1009.001130	JII@13pb.01g	.uk	
Choice 16 E8 We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F			blic Agelicy		7			
We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F	On benait of:	KSPB						
We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway Short Response Not Answered Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F	Chain	46 50						
Short Response								
Short Response Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Explanation Choice 16 F			w modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provid	de necessary floorspace at th	e following l	locations. Do you agree? - `	Yes / No - Do not
Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Explanation Choice 16 F	support - The Cro	33WIIIu3 Kuiiway						
Explanation Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Explanation Choice 16 F								
Choice 16 EX We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Explanation Choice 16 F		Not Answered						
We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F	Explanation							
We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F								
Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F	Choice	16 EX						
Short Response Not answered Explanation Choice 16 F	We want to ident	ify proposals for nev	w modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provid	de necessary floorspace at th	e following l	locations. Do you agree? -	Explain why
Explanation Choice 16 F		,		•	, ,			
Explanation Choice 16 F	Short Response	Not answered						
Choice 16 F	·	Not answered						
	Explanation							
We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the	Choice	16 F						
		•		-			_	
amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No	-	•			iness space, and how to deliv	ver it, includ	ling the location on-site, an	nd considering

adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	01610 Response	Ref: BHLF-KU2U-GPFH-C	Supporting Info	Yes	
Name	Toby Wilson		Email Toby.Wilso	on@rspb.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	RSPB				
Choice	16 G				
We want to conti	nue to protect industrial estate	es that are designated under our cui	rrent policy on Employment S	Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree?	- Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	16 H				
We want to intro	duce a policy that provides crit	eria for locations that we would sup	pport city-wide and neighbou	ırhood goods distribution hubs. Do you aş	gree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	-				