Customer Ref:	00704	**Response Ref:**	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F

Supporting Info

Name	Ian McCall
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency
On behalf of:	Paths for All

Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response Yes

Explanation Planning can help create places for people that enable sustainable travel and active lives in green spaces. Around two thirds of Scottish adults would be prepared to walk to their local shop or public transport (64% and 63% respectively) but only around half would walk to their nearest urban green space (50%) and fewer would walk to their place of work (44%). A number of factors could encourage further increases in walking, especially amongst women. Having someone to walk with, feeling safer at night and improved local paths would have most impact. https://www.pathsforall.org.uk/resources/resource/public-opinion-survey-2018

Choice 1 B

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response Yes

Explanation We support blue and green infrastructure – including CSGN

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Name Ian McCall

Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice 1 C

We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

Explanation

Choice 1 D

We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

Explanation

Choice 1 E

We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Customer Ref: 00704 **Response Ref:** ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F **Supporting Info**

Name Ian McCall **Email** ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

Explanation

Choice 1 F

We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with this? - Upload (max size 3mb)

Short Response No

Explanation

Choice 1 G

We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Name Ian McCall

Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice   **1**   **H**

We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice   **2**   **A**

We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice 2 B

We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response: Yes

Explanation: There is a need to give greater emphasis to:•A presumption in favour of more dense housing development.•Walkability as a key test of whether a neighbourhood is fit for purpose.•Priority for sustainable transport – i.e. walking, cycling, wheeling and public transport.•Promoting physical activity.•Path networks and accessible green space.•Proximity of housing to work, facilities and leisure opportunities accessible by sustainable transport.•Placemaking.

Choice 2 C

We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response: Yes

Explanation: Planning can help provide realistic options for active travel as a first choice – leading to higher levels of physical activity and improvements in health.If they were moving house, having local shops & facilities and a regular bus service within walking distance would be important to the majority of Scottish adults (74% and 64% respectively). In contrast, far fewer stated that convenience of routes to drive to the nearest town centre (33%) or to retail parks (26%) would be important.

Choice   **2**   **D**

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice   **3**   **A**

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **4**   **A**

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation   Planning and place have a significant impact on health.

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Name: Ian McCall

Email: ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type: Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice   **4**   **B**

We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Training, community development and capacity buildingWe would support investment in transition skills training, community development and capacity building for planners and construction staff to support this. Often staff do not currently have the capacity to deliver the massively expanded network of walking and cycling routes, paths and related infrastructure that is required. This also offers opportunity for enhancing skills and capacity in communities.

Choice   **5**   **A**

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice   **5**   **B**

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response   Yes

Explanation   We very much support the development of active travel routes and the promotion of sustainable travel more generallly.

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice   5   C

We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation   We support efforts to reduce the need to travel.

Choice   5   D1

We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice   5   D2

We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Customer Ref: 00704   **Response Ref:** ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F   **Supporting Info**

Name Ian McCall   **Email** ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice 5 E

We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 6 A

We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

Explanation

Choice 6 B

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice   7   A

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice   7   B

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice   7   C

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice 7 D

We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this? - We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan.

Short Response Yes

Explanation

Choice 8 A

We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

Explanation We particularly welcome the intention to improve walking opportunities and create attractive pedestrian links. The integration of public transport will be important for promoting walking.We particularly welcome the intention to make the city more walkableOur vision is for walking and cycling to be the natural choice for short journeys, creating a healthier, socially inclusive, economically vibrant, environmentally friendly Scotland. Active Travel is about improving quality of life and quality of place.Walking is key to getting more people choosing to not use the car as it is ideal for shorter trips and walking forms part of much public transport use – walking to and from buses and trains. Positive impacts include:• Reduced congestion • Reduced air pollution • Higher quality public realm • Better physical, mental and social healthThose walking and cycling tend to spend more money locally than drivers. Increasing walking and cycling can stimulate economic growth in urban areas and benefit local shops. Investing in infrastructure and support for walking and cycling can increase economic growth and vibrancy.

Customer Ref:	00704	**Response Ref:**	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	**Supporting Info**	

Name Ian McCall

Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice   **8**   **B**

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice   **8**   **C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Yes

Explanation

Choice   **8**   **C**

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes

Short Response   No

Explanation

Customer Ref: 00704   Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F   Supporting Info

Name   Ian McCall   Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice   9   A

We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   9   B

We want to create a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential flats and houses to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   10   A

We want to revise our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create sustainable communities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Name   Ian McCall

Email   ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type   Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice   **10   B**

We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **10   C**

We want to create a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use including housing would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **11   A**

We want to amend our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice 11 B

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice 12 A

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice 12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice   **12** **B2**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Choice   **12** **B3**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Choice   **12** **B4**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref: 00704

Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F

Supporting Info

Name   Ian McCall

Email   ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type   Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice   12   B5

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Choice   12   B6

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Choice   12   B7

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice　　　　**12**　**B8**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Choice　　　　**12**　**B9**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Choice　　　　**12**　**B10**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice **12 BX**

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice **12 C**

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response No

Explanation

Choice **12 C**

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response No

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Name: Ian McCall

Email: ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type: Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice 12 C

Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfield file upload

Short Response: No

Explanation:

Choice 12 D

Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brownfield sites upload

Short Response: No

Explanation:

Choice 13 A

We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation: Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Name Ian McCall

Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice   **14**   **A**

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **14**   **B**

We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **14**   **C**

We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice   **15**   **A**

We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **15**   **B**

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **15**   **C**

We want to review our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Name Ian McCall

Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice **15 D**

We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice **15 E**

We want to support new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice **15 G**

We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info
Name	Ian McCall			Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency			
On behalf of:	Paths for All			

Choice   16   A1

We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   16   A2

We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations. - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   16   A3

We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **16   A4**

We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **16   A5**

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   **16   A5**

We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Short Response

Explanation

Choice   16   B

We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   16   C

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation   Not Answered

Choice   16   E1

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Customer Ref: 00704   **Response Ref:** ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F   **Supporting Info**

Name Ian McCall   **Email** ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 16 E3

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Choice 16 E4

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian McCall			Email	ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency				
On behalf of:	Paths for All				

Choice   16   E5

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Choice   16   E6

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newbridge

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Choice   16   E7

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Newcraighall Industrial Estate.

Short Response   Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Name: Ian McCall

Email: ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type: Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice   16   E8

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - The Crosswinds Runway

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation

Choice   16   EX

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why

Short Response: Not answered

Explanation: Not Answered

Choice   16   F

We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response: Not Answered

Explanation: Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00704	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F	Supporting Info	

Customer Ref: 00704

Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWT6-F

Supporting Info

Name Ian McCall

Email ian.mccall@pathsforall.org.uk

Response Type Organisation / Public Agency

On behalf of: Paths for All

Choice **16 G**

We want to continue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered

Choice **16 H**

We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation Not Answered