Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-	GFWD-F	Supp	oorting Info				
Name	Catharine Ward T	hompson			Ema	il c.ward-tho	mpson@ed.ac.	uk		
Response Type	Organisation / Pu	blic Agency								
On behalf of:	OPENspace resea	rch centre, Univer	sity of Edinburg	h						
Choice	1 A									
	ect our places, park ork. Do you agree w			•	e, regional, ar	d national gre	een network. W	le want new	developme	nt to connect to, a
deliver this netwo	ork. Do you agree w	nth this? - Select s	upport / don t	support						
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	I believe the City Plan 2030 should mention the city's green space as one of the headline ambitions (e.g. " A sustainable, green city which supports everyone's physical and mental wellbeing"). Good quality green/blue infrastrucure should link parks and open spaces, riverside and coast, natural areas and gardens/allotments to offer good access to green space for everyone who lives and works in Edinburgh, as well as for visitors and wildlife. Research evidence supports the value of access to green and natural spaces for mental wellbeing, active transport, social inclusion and quality of environment (sustainable urban drainage, energy efficiency, biodiversity, wildlife habitat, etc). So prioritising the green network in the city will offer multiple benefits, many of which are hard to achieve by other means, at a relatively low cost compared with built infrastructure.									
Choice We want to chan	1 B ge our policy to req	uiro all dovolonm	ent (including c	hange of use) to	include green	and blue info	rastructura Do	VOLL 2Groot	ith this? - Su	upport / Object
we want to chan	ge our policy to req	une an developin	ent (including c	nange or use) to	iliciade greei	I allu blue illi	rastructure. Do	you agree w	/itii tiiis: - 30	ipport / Object
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	We need to increase where people live to connectivity for will	further than 5 min	utes' walk away	from their near	est usable gre	en space - a na	ational target to	reduce. We	want to enh	
	•			-			•			

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info					
Name	Catharine Ward	Thompson		Email c.ward-tho	mpson@ed.ac.uk				
Response Type	Organisation / P	ublic Agency							
On behalf of:	OPENspace rese	arch centre, Univer	sity of Edinburgh						
Choice	1 C								
We want to iden	tify areas that can	be used for future	water management to enable	e adaptation to climate change	e. Do you agree with this? - Yes / N	10			
Short Response	Yes								
Explanation	some parts of our Increasing the am	community will su nount of permeable	ffer from flooding etc. and ma	iny more will have their lives arow more water to soak into the	ly leading to more frequent, and ex nd activities disrupted in times of e ground where it falls, and providin	extreme weather.			
Choice	1 D								
We want to clear Yes / No	ly set out under w	hat circumstances	the development of poor qua	ality or underused open space	will be considered acceptable. Do	you agree with this? -			
Short Response	Yes								
Explanation	and connectivity of habitats and micr where a larger are acceptable it will accessible green s replaced with raw underline the fact city but are no sul	of green space is Ed obiome, e.g. includ ea of more appropr be important to cor spaces such as allot i, newly-created gre t that green roofs as bstitute for ground	inburgh. It is also the case that ing mycorrhizae, all of which a late (and accessible) green sponsider the exact detail of what ments and community garden en spaces that, even if larger, and green walls, much promotes	It existing green space often ha are difficult to reproduce in new ace is to be created at the expe is proposed. For example, it w is (whose soil is a precious reso are less accessible or less usabled as part of urban developmen	n the overall ambition to increase as a mature combination of soils, verely created green space. So, while sense of losing some existing green should not be acceptable to lose well ource) or old, mature and ecological le by people and/or wildlife. It is also not these days, are a welcome addition of the sense of green roofs or walls	egetation (including trees), some circumstances, e.g. space, might be I-used and locally lly rich habitats to be o important to ion to 'green' places in the			

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info					
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson	Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk					
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency						
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, University of Edinburgh						
Choice	1 E						
We want to intro		ve grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do					
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation	large spaces are important and offer opportunities for experiences and acother hand, people also need green spaces very near where they live, wor everyday routine (and this has been shown to be associated with better w space from those that might suit energetic teenagers or adult sport enthu	quality of life. It is not the case that one size (or one kind of green space) fits all. So tivities (from football to birdwatching) that smaller spaces may not support. On the k and spend their days, in order to access them many times a week as part of their relibeing and quality of life). Small children or older adults need different qualities of siasts. Different ages, life stages, groups and cultures want different kinds of green rell as (and not instead of) the larger ones - this is important to underline as part of					
Choice	1 F						
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	tify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of ne	w development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with					
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation	children as well as adults, young and old. The waiting lists for allotments of	ave the experience of this fundamental activity in human civilisation available to all, clearly demonstrate this: the city needs more allotments both within the existing educe inequalities in access to places where people can grow things - especially					

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Support	ing Info			
Name	Catharine Wa	rd Thompson		Email o	.ward-thompso	n@ed.ac.uk		
Response Type	Organisation /	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh					
Choice	1 F							
		s for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as par	t of new developme	ent sites and w	ithin open space i	n the urban area.	Do you agree with
this? - Upload (m		, rot new anotherits a	na roou growing, both as par	tor new developm	circ siecs aria w	open space n		Do you agree min
Short Response	No							
Explanation								
Choice	1 G							
We want to ident	ify space for ad	ditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potentia	I for green and woo	odland burials.	Do you agree with	this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	There is a grow loved ones.	ring interest in these ty	pe of burials as people recogn	nise they may be a n	nore sustainabl	e way to manage o	cemetery provision	n and to remember
Choice	1 H							
We want to revise Do you agree wit			e designations to ensure that	new green spaces I	nave long term	maintenance and	management arra	angements in place.
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	qualities are many whose revenue	aintained. Yet too ofte costs for managemer	space within a city, whether on new developments offer great and maintenance are not prost as other core health and qu	een spaces whose sp operly taken care of	pecifications are f. These manage	e the first to get cu	it when costs are c	onstrained, and

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson	Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, University of Edinburgh	
Choice	2 A	
		s statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt lity for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	In an ageing society and an era of climate change, this is the or	nly sensible way to permit new design.
Choice	2 B	
We want to revis	e our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of t	he limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? -
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	maintaining the quantity, accessibility of green/blue space. It is which is one of the key features of its attractive urban environ	propriate for a city such as Edinburgh, it is important that achieving this is not at the expense of s also important to preserve the relatively low-rise character of most of the city's landscape, ment. So development should still be required to demonstrate that it will have a positive er townscape and landscape, and not have a detrimental impact on existing views to the wider

		1			T			1			
Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-	GFWD-F	Suppo	rting Info					
Name	Catharine Wa	ard Thompson			Email	c.ward-tho	mpson@ed	.ac.uk			
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency									
On behalf of:	OPENspace r	esearch centre, Univer	sity of Edinburg	h							
Choice	2 C										
We want to revis	e our design ar	nd layout policies to ac	hieve ensure th	eir layouts deliv	er active travel	and connect	tivity links.	Do you agre	e with this? - Y	es / No	
Short Response	Yes										
Explanation	home into the safe routes; th	ith walkability being the wider environment. Ohis is also true for childr travel (as well as cycle i	lder people nee en and their car	d neighbourhoo ers. So active tra	ds where they ca evel is about mo	an easily acc re than com	ess local am muting and	nenities and rememberir	services on foo ng the virtue of	ot via attr	ractive and
Choice	2 D										
We want all deve densities. Do you	-	ding student housing, s? - Yes / No	to deliver quali	ty open space ar	nd public realm,	useable for	a range of	activities, in	cluding drying	space, w	vithout losing
Short Response	Yes										

As stated in earlier responses, having access to green and natural open space several times a week is associated with a range of positive attitudes and

of home. In addition, in our desire to encourage and support more energy-conscious behaviour among city residents, having nearby green space where people can dry clothes, allow young children outdoor play opportunities, engage in gardening, etc. is a key part of a sustainable environment that supports

benefits, including mental wellbeing. But there is also evidence that such spaces are only likely to beaccessed frequently if they are within 5-10 minutes' walk

Explanation

quality of life.

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info				
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson	Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk				
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency					
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, University of Edinburgh					
Choice	3 A					
	lings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standard neet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should no	s as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new ew development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?				
Short Response	Platinum (zero car					
Explanation	We cannot afford to do anything else given climate change. However, what this means in practice for New Town buildings and other older buildings with historic structures that are difficult to adapt is less clear. It may be that some compromises are necessary, with zero carbon always the goal but not always achievable.					
Choice	4 A					
	with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites althcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree	within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, with this? - Yes / No				
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	This is clearly the right way forward					
Choice	4 B					
	ort Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan tions How should the Council work with local communities to pre	2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support epare Local Place Plans?				
Short Response	Yes					
Short Response Explanation	Ves Using the Place Standard					

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson	Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, University of Edinburgh	
Choice	5 A	
•	n 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructurations rastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan	ure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	5 B	
•	n 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and tood sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - '	that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high Yes / NO
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	See previous comments	
Chaise	5.6	
Choice	5 C	
	ct the desire to co-locate our community services close to the comm ree with this? - Yes / No	nunities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	See previous comments	
1	r	

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Catharine Wa	rd Thompson		Email c.ward-thor	mpson@ed.ac.uk
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency			
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	ity of Edinburgh		
Choice	5 D1				
We want to set ou	ıt in the plan w	here development wil	l be expected to contribute tov	vard new or expanded comr	munity infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation		<u>-</u>			
Choice	5 D2				
We want to use cu	umulative cont	ribution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions, co	osts and delivery mechanism	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
•					
Choice	5 E				
		entary guidance and se	t out guidance for developer co	ontributions within the plan	, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
We want to stop u		entary guidance and se	t out guidance for developer co	ontributions within the plan	, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
you agree with th	is? - Yes / No	entary guidance and se	t out guidance for developer co	ontributions within the plan	, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
	is? - Yes / No	entary guidance and se	t out guidance for developer co	ontributions within the plan	, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
you agree with th	is? - Yes / No	entary guidance and se	t out guidance for developer co	ontributions within the plan	, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Catharine Wa	ard Thompson		Email c.ward-thompson	n@ed.ac.uk
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency			
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univer	sity of Edinburgh		
Choice	6 A				
		•		et our targets for public transport us e travel routes. Do you agree with th	sage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary his? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	This is the only	y way forward for susta	ainable and livable cities that a	lso support ageing in place.	
Choice	6 B				
			by walking, cycling and publi lic transport. Do you agree wi	•	anned transit interventions. This will determine
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Copenhagen h		restricting free and easily acce	essed car parking is a necessary eleme	ent of a strategy to increase active travel and reduce
Choice	7 A				
		•		walking, cycling and public transport . Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	t. These targets could be set by area, development
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	See previous c	comments (This is the c	only way forward for sustainah	le and livable cities that also support	t ageing in place. Copenhagen has demonstrated that
					avel and reduce private car use within cities.)

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Suppo	orting Info					
Name	Catharine Ward	Thompson		Email	c.ward-tho	mpson@e	ed.ac.uk			
Response Type	Organisation / P	Public Agency								
On behalf of:	OPENspace rese	earch centre, Univer	sity of Edinburgh							
Choice	7 B									
We want to prote you agree with th	•	velopment of additi	ional car parking in the city o	entre to support t	he delivery o	of the Cou	ıncil's city c	entre transfo	rmation p	rogramme. Do
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	restricting free ar	nd easily accessed ca (busways) in Cambri	only way forward for sustaina ar parking is a necessary elen idgeshire have shown that th	nent of a strategy t	o increase ac	ctive trave	el and reduc	e private car i	use within	cities.). Studies
Choice	7 C									
We want to upda agree with this? -		licies to control den	nand and to support parking	for bikes, those w	ith disabilition	es and ele	ectric vehicl	es via chargir	ng infrastr	ucture. Do you
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation										

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson	Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, University of Edinburgh	
Choice	7 D	
Mobility Plan or it	, ,	ew park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City r's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and action plan.
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	8 A	
We want to updat	te our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria	for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response Explanation	Yes	
Choice	8 B	
		mprove strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response Explanation	Yes	

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Suppo	orting Info		
Name	Catharine Wa	rd Thompson		Email	c.ward-thompson@	Ded.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency					
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh				
Choice	8 C						
to include any ne	w strategic acti	•	er strategic active travel links w nay be identified in the forthco es / No	-			-
Short Response Explanation	Yes						
Choice	8 C						
to include any ne	w strategic acti	ve travel links which n	er strategic active travel links w nay be identified in the forthco pload new cycle routes	-			-
Short Response	No						
Explanation							
Choice	9 A						
	_		s of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Tern ree with this approach? - Yes /		ea' where planning p	permission will always be r	equired for the change of
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation		-					

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Catharine Ward	Thompson		Email c.ward-thor	mpson@ed.ac.uk
Response Type	Organisation / P	ublic Agency			
On behalf of:	OPENspace rese	arch centre, Univers	ity of Edinburgh		
Choice	9 B				
	• •		•		ing permission is required for a change of use of residential
llats and houses t	o short-stay comn	nercial visitor accon	nmodation or other uses. Do yo	ou agree with this? - Yes / No	0
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	10 A				
		•	housing. We want to ensure th lbeing. Do you agree with this?	_	ered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
	10 B				
We want to create this? - Yes / No	e a new policy fra	mework which sets	out a requirement for housing	on all sites over a certain siz	ze coming forward for development. Do you agree with
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson	Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, University of Edinburgh	
Choice	10 C	
	e a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of cen would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	tre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use
including nousing	would be supported. Do you agree with this: - res / No	
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	Not Allswered	
LAPIAIIALIOII		
Choice	11 A	
We want to amer	d our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requi	rement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	11 B	
We want City Pla	n 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want th	e plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for /es / No
We want City Pla		
We want City Pla	n 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the d support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - \footnote{1.5}	
We want City Pla family housing an	n 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the d support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - \footnote{1.5}	

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info)				
Name	Catharine Wa	ard Thompson		Email c.ward-t	:homps	on@ed	.ac.uk		
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency							
On behalf of:	OPENspace r	esearch centre, Univers	ity of Edinburgh						
			-						
Choice	12 A								
Which option do y	ou support? -	Option 1/2/3							
									,
Short Response	Option 1 (Cour	ncil/							
Explanation									
Choice	12 B1								
Do you support or	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenf	ield - Sı	upport -	- Calderwood	d	
,			•						
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation		·							
Choice	12 B2								
		of the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	annly) - Sunnort Greenf	iold - Sı	unnort	- Kirkliston		
Do you support of	object to any	of the proposed green	ileid aleas: (Flease tick all tila	. аррту) - Заррот Стеет	ieia - 30	иррогс	- KII KIISTOII		
Short Response	Not Anguarad								
-	NOT Allswered								
Explanation									

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Catharine Wa	ard Thompson		Email c.ward-thompso	n@ed.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency				
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh			
Choice	12 B3					
Do you support o	or object to any	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all tl	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - West Edinburgh	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	12 B4					
		of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tl	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - East of Riccarton	
		of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tl	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - East of Riccarton	
Do you support o			nfield areas? (Please tick all tl	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - East of Riccarton	
	or object to any		nfield areas? (Please tick all tl	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - East of Riccarton	
Do you support o	or object to any		nfield areas? (Please tick all tl	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - East of Riccarton	
Do you support o	or object to any		nfield areas? (Please tick all tl	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - East of Riccarton	
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	or object to any		nfield areas? (Please tick all tl	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - East of Riccarton	
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Not Answered 12 B5			at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su		
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Not Answered 12 B5					
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Not Answered 12 B5	of the proposed green				
Do you support of Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of	Not Answered 12 B5 or object to any	of the proposed green				

Name Catharine Ward Thompson Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk	
Response Type Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of: OPENspace research centre, University of Edinburgh	
Choice 12 B6	
Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood	
Short Response Not Answered	
Explanation	
Choice 12 B7	
Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston	
Short Response Not Answered	
Explanation	
Choice 12 B8	
Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh	
bo you support of object to any of the proposed greenfield areas: (Flease tick all that apply) - support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh	
Short Response Not Answered	
Explanation	

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting	g Info		
Name	Catharine Wa	ard Thompson		Email c.w	ard-thompson@ed	.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency					
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh				
Choice	12 B9						
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support G	reenfield - Object -	East of Riccarton	
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	12 B10						
Choice Do you support o		of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support G	reenfield - Object -	South East Edinburg	1
		of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support G	reenfield - Object -	South East Edinburg	n
Do you support o		of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support G	reenfield - Object - S	South East Edinburg	n
Do you support o	or object to any	of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support G	reenfield - Object - :	South East Edinburg	1
Do you support o	or object to any	of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support G	reenfield - Object - :	South East Edinburg	1
Do you support o	or object to any	of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support G	reenfield - Object - :	South East Edinburg	1
Do you support o	or object to any	of the proposed green	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support G	reenfield - Object - :	South East Edinburg	1
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Not Answered 12 BX		nfield areas? (Please tick all tha		-	South East Edinburg	1
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Not Answered 12 BX				-	South East Edinburg	1
Do you support of Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of	Not Answered 12 BX				-	South East Edinburg	1
Do you support of Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of	Not Answered 12 BX r object to any				-	South East Edinburg	1

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Suppo	rting Info			
Name	Catharine Wa	ard Thompson		Email	c.ward-thon	mpson@ed.	.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh					
Choice	12 C							
Do you have a gro	eenfield site yo	u wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfie	eld file upload				
Short Response	No							
Explanation								
Choice	12 C							
Do you have a gro	eenfield site yo	u wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfie	eld file upload				
Short Response	No							
Explanation								
Choice	12 C							
Do you have a gr	eenfield site yo	u wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfie	eld file upload				
Short Response	No							
Explanation		-1						

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-C	GFWD-F	Suppo	orting Info		
Name	Catharine Wa	rd Thompson			Email	c.ward-tho	mpson@ed.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh	h				
Choice	12 D							
Do you have a bro	ownfield site yo	ou wish us to consider	in the proposed	d Plan? - Brownf	ield sites uploa	d		
Short Response	No							
Explanation								
Choice	13 A							
		that provides support for Edinburgh. Do you			, culture and to	ourism, innov	vation and learning, and the low carbo	n sector, where there
	.0 5000 51011111	Tor Lamburgin Do you	agree with time	,. 1037110				
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation		ses and university-sun	norted innovation	on are two impo	rtant ways forw	ard for a sus	tainable and inclusive future	
Explanation	Social criter pris	ses and aniversity sup	ported iiiiovatic	sir are two impor	rtant ways for w	414 101 4 343	turiusie and inclusive rature	
Choice	14 A							
							accommodate the development of a rater uses within West Edinburgh withou	= =
	_	this approach? - Yes /		.ii wilich allows	a wide conside	eration or fut	ture uses within west Edinburgh withou	at being tied to
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation		1						
•								

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Catharine Ward	d Thompson		Email c.ward-tho	mpson@ed.ac.uk
Response Type	Organisation /	Public Agency			
On behalf of:	OPENspace res	search centre, Univers	ity of Edinburgh		
Choice	14 B				
We want to remo	_		for the Royal Highland Showgr	ound site to the south of the	e A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	14 C				
		e the Airport's contin		s runway" for the developm	nent of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
Ol *	45. 4				
Choice	15 A	- ti	final annual of City Diam 2020	and an about and and an about a de-	- its control and be realised by the set Contlored
			ment and tourism activities. Do		e city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	-F Suppo	orting Info					
Name	Catharine Ward Th	hompson		Email	c.ward-thon	npson@ed	.ac.uk			
Response Type	Organisation / Pub	olic Agency								
On behalf of:	OPENspace resear	rch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh							
Choice	15 B									
	•	•		n and local centres (inclu where there is evidence o				-	•	
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation										
Choice	15 C									
	•		• .	ial for new identified cer City Mobility Plan. Do yo		•	ges where the	y support walk	king and cycling	
Short Response Explanation	Yes									
Choice	15 D									
balance of uses w	ithin our centres to	maintain their vit		ur town centres to adapt liver good placemaking. es / No		•				

Explanation

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Suppo	orting Info				
Name	Catharine Ward	l Thompson		Email	c.ward-thon	npson@ed	.ac.uk		
Response Type	Organisation / F	Public Agency							
On behalf of:	OPENspace rese	earch centre, Univers	ity of Edinburgh						
Choice	15 E								
We want to supp this approach? - \		vision in local, town	, commercial centres and othe	r locations with	good public	transport a	access through	hout Edinburgh	. Do you agree with
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	110071113WCTCU								
Choice	15 G								
	·		orspace within centres in favou vith this approach? - Yes / No	ır of alternative	uses such as	increased I	leisure provisi	ion and permit	commercial centres
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
·									
Choice	16 A1								
We want to conti and local centres		_	office locations at Edinburgh I	Park/South Gyle	e, the Internat	tional Busir	ness Gateway	, Leith, the city	centre, and in town
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Suppo	orting Info				
Name	Catharine Wa	rd Thompson		Email	c.ward-thor	mpson@ed	.ac.uk		
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency							
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh						
Choice	16 A2								
We want to supp	ort office devel	opment at commercia	l centres as these also provide	accessible locat	ions Yes /	No			
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								
Choice	16 A3								
We want to stren	gthen the requ	irement within the cit	y centre to provide significant o	office floorspac	e within majo	or mixed-us	e developmer	nts. Do you agre	ee? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								
Choice	16 A4								
We want to ame	nd the boundar	y of the Leith strategio	office location to remove area	s with resident	ial developm	ent consen	t. Do you agre	e? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Suppo	rting Info			
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson			Email	c.ward-thon	npson@ed	d.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh					
Choice	16 A5							
We want to conti	nue to support	office development in	other accessible locations else	where in the u	ban area. Do	you agree	e? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	16 A5							
We want to conti consider in the pr		t office development in	other accessible locations else	where in the u	ban area. Do	you agree	e? - Do you have an offic	e site you wish us to
Short Response								
Explanation								
Chaine	46 B							
Choice	16 B	cations within Edinbur	gh with potential for office dev	volonment Do	ou sarsa wit	h +hic2 V	os/No	
we want to ident	iny sites and io	Cations within Edinbur	gii witii poteiitiai ior oilice det	reiopinient. Do y	ou agree wit	II UIIS: - 10	es/NO	
	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							

Customer Ref:	00260	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Suppo	orting Info		
Name	Catharine Wa	rd Thompson		Email	c.ward-thompson@	Ded.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation ,	/ Public Agency					
On behalf of:	OPENspace re	esearch centre, Univers	sity of Edinburgh				
Choice	16 C						
use, unless existir	ng office space i	s provided as part of o	ccessible office accommodation lenser development. This wou needs of the mid-market. Or w	ld apply across	the city to recognise	that office location	ns outwith the city centre and
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	16 E1						
We want to ident Support - Leith St			ss and industrial sites to provide	e necessary floo	orspace at the follow	ing locations. Do yo	ou agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	16 E2						
We want to ident Support - Newbri		r new modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provide	e necessary floo	orspace at the follow	ing locations. Do yo	ou agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Not Answered						
•	NOT AIISWEIEU						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref	ANON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson		Email c.ward-thompso	n@ed.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency		,		
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, Unive	ersity of Edinburgh			
		-			
Choice	16 E3				
	fy proposals for new modern businghall Industrial Estate.	ess and industrial sites to provide	e necessary floorspace at the follo	owing locations. Do you agree? - Yes	/ No -
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	16 E4				
We want to identi Support - The Cros		ess and industrial sites to provide	necessary floorspace at the follo	owing locations. Do you agree? - Yes	/ No -
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	16 E5				
	fy proposals for new modern busin ategic Business Centre	ess and industrial sites to provide	e necessary floorspace at the follo	owing locations. Do you agree? - Yes	/ No - Do not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered				

Customer Ref:	00260 Respo	nse Ref: A	NON-KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info					
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson Organisation / Public Agency			Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk					
Response Type									
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centr	re, University	of Edinburgh						
Choice	16 E6								
We want to identi support - Newbrid		ern business a	nd industrial sites to prov	ide necessary floorspace at th	ne following locations. Do you agree?	? - Yes / No - Do not			
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered								
Choice	16 E7								
	fy proposals for new mode ghall Industrial Estate.	ern business a	nd industrial sites to prov	ide necessary floorspace at th	ne following locations. Do you agree	? - Yes / No - Do not			
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered								
Choice	16 E8								
We want to identi support - The Cros		ern business a	nd industrial sites to prov	ide necessary floorspace at th	ne following locations. Do you agree	? - Yes / No - Do not			
Short Response	Not Answered								

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref: ANON-	KU2U-GFWD-F	Supporting Info				
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson		Email c.ward-tho	mpson@ed.ac.uk			
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency						
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, University of Ed	inburgh					
Choice	16 EX						
We want to ident	ify proposals for new modern business and in	dustrial sites to provide ned	essary floorspace at th	e following locations. Do you ag	ree? - Explain why		
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	16 F						
We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering							
amount expected	to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what co	onstitutes flexible business		_			
amount expected		onstitutes flexible business		_			
amount expected adjacent uses, se	I to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what corvicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No	onstitutes flexible business		_			
amount expected adjacent uses, se	I to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what corvicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No Not Answered	onstitutes flexible business		_			
amount expected adjacent uses, se	I to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what corvicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No	onstitutes flexible business		_			
amount expected adjacent uses, se	I to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what corvicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No Not Answered	onstitutes flexible business		_			
amount expected adjacent uses, se	I to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what corvicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No Not Answered	onstitutes flexible business		_			
amount expected adjacent uses, se Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered	onstitutes flexible business	space, and how to deliv	ver it, including the location on-	site, and considering		
amount expected adjacent uses, se Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered 16 G	onstitutes flexible business	space, and how to deliv	ver it, including the location on-	site, and considering		
amount expected adjacent uses, se Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered 16 G Inue to protect industrial estates that are designed.	onstitutes flexible business	space, and how to deliv	ver it, including the location on-	site, and considering		
amount expected adjacent uses, see Short Response Explanation Choice We want to continue	Not Answered Not Answered 16 G Inue to protect industrial estates that are designed.	onstitutes flexible business	space, and how to deliv	ver it, including the location on-	site, and considering		
amount expected adjacent uses, see Short Response Explanation Choice We want to conti	Not Answered Not Answered Not Answered Not Answered Not Answered	onstitutes flexible business	space, and how to deliv	ver it, including the location on-	site, and considering		

Customer Ref:	00260 Response Ref: ANON-KU2	2U-GFWD-F Supporting Info	
Name	Catharine Ward Thompson	Email c.ward-thompson@ed.ac.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency		
On behalf of:	OPENspace research centre, University of Edinbu	ourgh	
Choice	16 H		
We want to intro	duce a policy that provides criteria for locations t	that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Y	es / No
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation	Not Answered		