Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info)	Yes	
Name	Don Nicholas	s-McKee		Email edinbur	ghg	roup@livingstreets.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency					
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group					
Choice	1 A						
	•		s together as part of a city-wid upport / don't support	e, regional, and national	gre	en network. We want new developmen	t to connect to, and
							,
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				
Choice	1 B						
We want to chan	ge our policy to	require all developme	ent (including change of use) to	include green and blue	infra	astructure. Do you agree with this? - Sup	oport / Object
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-G	WRU-C	Supporti	ing Info	Yes							
Name	Don Nicholass-M	ЛсКее			Email e	edinburgh	group@	livings	streets.	org.uk				
Response Type	Organisation / P	ublic Agency												
On behalf of:	Living Streets Ed	linburgh Group												
Choice	1 C													
We want to iden	tify areas that can	be used for future	water managem	ent to enable	adaptation to clim	ate chang	ge. Do y	ou agr	ee with	this? - Y	es / No			
Short Response	Not Answered													
Explanation	See attached resp	onse from Living St	reets Edinburgh	Group.										
Choice	1 D													
We want to clear Yes / No	ly set out under w	hat circumstances	the developmen	t of poor quali	ty or underused oր	pen space	will be	consid	dered a	cceptable	e. Do you	ı agree w	ith this? -	
Short Response	Not Answered													
Explanation	See attached resp	onse from Living St	reets Edinburgh	Group.										
Choice	1 E													
We want to intro you agree with th		-large green space	standard' which	recognises tha	t as we grow comr	munities v	will need	d acce	ss to gr	een spac	es more t	than 5 he	ctares. Do	
Short Response	Not Answered													
Explanation														
Explanation	See attached resp	onse from Living St	reets Edinburgh	Group.										

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting I	nfo	Yes		
Name	Don Nichola	ss-McKee		Email edinb	urghg	group@livii	ngstreets.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	n / Public Agency						
On behalf of:	Living Street	s Edinburgh Group						
Choice	1 F							
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	ify specific sit	es for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part	of new development s	ites ar	nd within o	open space in the urban area.	Do you agree with
Short Response	Not Answered	d						
Explanation	See attached	response from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.					
Choice	1 F							
We want to ident this? - Upload (m		es for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part	of new development s	ites ar	nd within o	open space in the urban area.	Do you agree with
Short Response	No							
Explanation								
Choice	1 G							
We want to ident	ify space for a	dditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential	for green and woodlar	nd bur	ials. Do yo	u agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered	d						
Explanation	See attached	response from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.					

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	
Choice	1 H	
We want to revise Do you agree with	• • • • • •	ew green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place.
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	
Choice	2 A	
		nents, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt
No	, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for	people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
	, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for	people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
No	Not Answered	people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
No Short Response		people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
No Short Response	Not Answered	people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
No Short Response	Not Answered	people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
No Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. 2 B	ted space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? -
No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revise	Not Answered See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. 2 B	
No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revise Yes / No	Not Answered See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. 2 B	
No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revise Yes / No Short Response	Not Answered See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. 2 B e our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limit	

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	
Choice	2 C	
We want to revise	e our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deli	ver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	
Choice	2 D	
	lopment, including student housing, to deliver quality open space a agree with this? - Yes / No	and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	
Choice	3 A	
		s as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new
	neet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should ne	
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	
•	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Suppo	orting Info	Yes		
Name	Don Nicholas	s-McKee		Email	edinburgh	group@li	vingstreets.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group						
Choice	4 A							
			lace Briefs for areas and sites v should deliver. Do you agree v	•		hting the	key elements of design, layout, and tr	ansport,
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.					
Choice	4 B							
			by our communities. City Plan with local communities to pre			Place Plar	ns can help us achieve great places and	l support
-			-					
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.					
Choice	5 A							
•		•	re there is existing infrastructund deliverable within the plan	•	_		althcare and sustainable transport, or v	where
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.					

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-G	WRU-C	Supp	oorting Info	Yes	
Name	Don Nicholass-I	McKee			Ema	il edinburghg	group@livingstreets.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / I	Public Agency						
On behalf of:	Living Streets E	dinburgh Group	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Choice	5 B							
_			•			t be well conn	ected to active travel routes and in location	ons with high
accessibility to go	od sustainable p	ublic transport servi	ces. Do you agree	e with this? - Ye	es / NO			
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	See attached res	ponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh (Group.				
Choice	5 C							
We want to reflect			nity services close	e to the commu	unities they se	erve, supportii	ng a high walk-in population and reducing	the need to
and and a year ago								
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation		ponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh (Group.				
	occ attached res	ponse morn ziving se	reets Edinburgh	о. о а р.				
Choice	5 D1							
We want to set o	ut in the plan wh	ere development wi	ll be expected to	contribute tow	vard new or e	expanded com	munity infrastructure. Do you agree with	this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	See attached res	ponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh (Group.				

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	
Choice	5 D2	
We want to use o	umulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure action	s, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	
Choice	5 E	
We want to stop you agree with th		er contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	
Choice	6 A	
	e a new policy that assesses development against its ability to m current or planned public transport services and high-quality acti	neet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary ive travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	support principle of what you are trying to achieve, but you must go for requirements, not
	targets, and ensure that all development is car free.	

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	

Choice 6 B

We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. Again support principle of what you are trying to achieve, but you must go for requirements, not targets, and ensure all development is car free.

7 A Choice

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. Radical measures are required to ensure compliance with, and delivery of, the movement hierarchy as set out in Scottish Planning Policy and the National Transport Strategy. Any other approach is contrary to Scottish Government policy. Consequently, you must go for no parking provision other than for disabled, servicing and essential visitors. This must be done in tandem with phasing out on-street parking.

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	

Choice 7 B

We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. This policy should be applied city wide, not only in the city centre.

7 C Choice

We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. In principle, as long as objective is still to reduce vehicle use, electric or otherwise, invest in walking infrastructure and ensure that provision for bike, disabled parking etc is situated so as not to conflict with walking.

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	
Choice	7 D	
Mobility Plan or it		ew park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City 's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and action plan.
Short Response	Not Answered	
•		lires more consideration before making provision. Although the focus of City Plan is ling Councils so that development is designed/located so as to avoid/reduce need for ed public transport for the whole journey.
Choice	8 A	
	e our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria	for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Ves / No
we want to upual	e our policy on the cycle and rootpath Network to provide thema	To identifying new routes. Do you agree with this: - res / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
•	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	
Choice	8 B	
		mprove strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No Short Response Explanation See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. The phrase "active travel" includes walking and cycling, but the Council consistently interprets it as predominantly cycling and budgets accordingly. The upload facility below is another example - cycling not walking! Walking has negligible investment, yet it is what we are all designed to do regardless of other travel modes we may use. We support new strategic walking routes, but this must be in addition to enhancing walking provision throughout the city - life after COVID-19 will have to reflect that, other cities such as Milan have already recognised this. We have uploaded our consultation response in the cycle route section below as so far there has been no obvious place for it.					T		
Response Type On behalf of: Diving Streets Edinburgh Group Choice 8	Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U	J-GWRU-C	Supporting Info	Yes
Choice 8 C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No Short Response Explanation See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. The phrase "active travel" includes walking and cycling, but the Council consistently interprets it as predominantly cycling and budgets accordingly. The upload facility below is another example - cycling not walking! Walking has negligible investment, yet it is what we are all designed to do regardless of other travel modes we may use. We support new strategic walking routes, but this must be in addition to enhancing walking provision throughout the city - life after COVID-19 will have to reflect that, other cities such as Milan have already recognised this. We have uploaded our consultation response in the cycle route section below as so far there has been no obvious place for it. Choice 8 C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes	Name	Don Nicholass-I	n Nicholass-McKee		Email edinburgh	group@livingstreets.org.uk	
Choice 8 C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No Short Response Explanation See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. The phrase "active travel" includes walking and cycling, but the Council consistently interprets it as predominantly cycling and budgets accordingly. The upload facility below is another example - cycling not walking! Walking has negligible investment, yet it is what we are all designed to do regardless of other travel modes we may use. We support new strategic walking routes, but this must be in addition to enhancing walking provision throughout the city - life after COVID-19 will have to reflect that, other cities such as Milan have already recognised this. We have uploaded our consultation response in the cycle route section below as so far there has been no obvious place for it. Choice 8 C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes	Response Type	Organisation / I	Public Agency				
We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No Short Response Explanation See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. The phrase "active travel" includes walking and cycling, but the Council consistently interprets it as predominantly cycling and budgets accordingly. The upload facility below is another example - cycling not walking! Walking has negligible investment, yet it is what we are all designed to do regardless of other travel modes we may use. We support new strategic walking routes, but this must be in addition to enhancing walking provision throughout the city - life after COVID-19 will have to reflect that, other cities such as Milan have already recognised this. We have uploaded our consultation response in the cycle route section below as so far there has been no obvious place for it. Choice 8 C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes	On behalf of:	Living Streets E	dinburgh Group				
to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No Short Response Explanation See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. The phrase "active travel" includes walking and cycling, but the Council consistently interprets it as predominantly cycling and budgets accordingly. The upload facility below is another example - cycling not walking! Walking has negligible investment, yet it is what we are all designed to do regardless of other travel modes we may use. We support new strategic walking routes, but this must be in addition to enhancing walking provision throughout the city - life after COVID-19 will have to reflect that, other cities such as Milan have already recognised this. We have uploaded our consultation response in the cycle route section below as so far there has been no obvious place for it. Choice 8 C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes	Choice	8 C					
See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group. The phrase "active travel" includes walking and cycling, but the Council consistently interprets it as predominantly cycling and budgets accordingly. The upload facility below is another example - cycling not walking! Walking has negligible investment, yet it is what we are all designed to do regardless of other travel modes we may use. We support new strategic walking routes, but this must be in addition to enhancing walking provision throughout the city - life after COVID-19 will have to reflect that, other cities such as Milan have already recognised this. We have uploaded our consultation response in the cycle route section below as so far there has been no obvious place for it. Choice 8 C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes	to include any ne	w strategic active	travel links which r	nay be identifi			
it as predominantly cycling and budgets accordingly. The upload facility below is another example - cycling not walking! Walking has negligible investment, yet it is what we are all designed to do regardless of other travel modes we may use. We support new strategic walking routes, but this must be in addition to enhancing walking provision throughout the city - life after COVID-19 will have to reflect that, other cities such as Milan have already recognised this. We have uploaded our consultation response in the cycle route section below as so far there has been no obvious place for it. Choice 8 C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes	Short Response	Not Answered					
We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes	Explanation	it as predominar yet it is what we to enhancing wa	ntly cycling and budg are all designed to d alking provision thro	ets accordingly lo regardless o ughout the city	y. The upload facili f other travel mod y - life after COVID	ty below is another examples we may use. We suppore 19 will have to reflect that	le - cycling not walking! Walking has negligible investment, rt new strategic walking routes, but this must be in addition other cities such as Milan have already recognised
We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes							
to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes Short Response Yes	Choice	8 C					
	to include any ne	w strategic active	travel links which r	nay be identifi	ied in the forthcor		
	•	Yes					

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-	-C Supp	oorting Info	Yes			
Name	Don Nicholass-Mcl	Kee		Ema	il edinburgh	group@livir	ngstreets.org.uk		
Response Type	Organisation / Pub	olic Agency							
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edin	burgh Group							
Choice	9 A								
			s of Edinburgh, as a 'Sh ee with this approach?		rea' where pl	anning per	mission will always be re	quired for the change of	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	See attached respor	nse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group).					
Choice	9 B								
				is new policy will be use ses. Do you agree with	•		ssion is required for a cha	ange of use of residential	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	See attached respon	nse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group).					
Choice	10 A								
			housing. We want to libeing. Do you agree v		ousing is delive	ered at the	right scale and in the rig	ht locations, helps create	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	See attached respor	nse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group).					

Customer Ref:	00485 Response R	ef: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info	Yes							
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee		Email edinburgh	group@livingstreets.org.uk							
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency										
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group										
Choice 10 B											
We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No											
·	Not Answered See attached response from Livin	ng Streets Edinburgh Group.									
	10 C										
	e a new policy promoting the be would be supported. Do you ag		ntre retail units and commerci	al centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use							
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation	See attached response from Livi	ng Streets Edinburgh Group.									
Choice	11 A										
We want to amen	d our policy to increase the prov	vision of affordable housing requ	irement from 25% to 35%. Do	you agree with this approach? - Yes / No							
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation	See attached response from Livi	ng Streets Edinburgh Group.									

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Don Nicholas	s-McKee		Email edinburgh	ngroup@liv	ingstreets.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency					
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group					
Choice	11 B						
			oes and tenures – we want the or. Do you agree with this? - \		the require	ed mix, including the	e percentage requirement for
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered See attached re	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				
Choice	12 A						
Which option do	you support? -	Option 1/2/3					
Short Response	Option 1 (Cour	ncil/					
Explanation	See attached re	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				
Choice	12 B1						
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick all th	at apply) - Support Greenfie	eld - Suppor	rt - Calderwood	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered						

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	
Choice	12 B2	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	12 B3	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	12 B4	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Suppor	rting Info	Yes	
Name	Don Nicholas	s-McKee		Email	edinburghg	group@liv	vingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency					
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group					
Choice	12 B5						
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Suppor	rt Greenfield	d - Suppo	rt - South East Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	12 B6						
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Suppor	rt Greenfield	d - Object	- Calderwood
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	12 B7						
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Suppor	rt Greenfield	d - Object	: - Kirkliston
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Sup	porting Info	Yes			
Name	Don Nicholas	s-McKee		Ema	il edinburghg	roup@livir	ngstreets.org.uk		
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency							
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group							
Choice	12 B8								
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Sup	port Greenfield	d - Object -	West Edinburgh		
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	12 B9								
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Sup	port Greenfield	d - Object -	East of Riccarton		
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	12 B10								
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Sup	port Greenfield	d - Object -	South East Edinbu	ırgh	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U	-GWRU-C	Suppo	rting Info	Yes		
Name	Don Nicholas	ss-McKee			Email	edinburgh	group@livi	ingstreets.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency							
On behalf of:	Living Streets	s Edinburgh Group							
Choice	12 BX								
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (P	lease tick all tha	at apply) - Explair	why			
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburg	h Group.					
Choice	12 C								
		ou wish us to consider i	n the proposed	d Plan? - Greenf	ield file upload				
	,								
Short Response	No								
Explanation	140								
LAPIAHACIOH									
Choice	12 C								
Do you have a gre	eenfield site yo	u wish us to consider i	n the proposed	d Plan? - Greenf	ield file upload				
Short Response	No								
Explanation									

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	
Choice	12 C	
Do you have a gre	enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload
Short Response	No	
Explanation		
Choice	12 D	
Do you have a bro	ownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brown	ield sites upload
Do you have a bro	ownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Brown	ield sites upload
Do you have a bro		ield sites upload
-		ield sites upload
Short Response		ield sites upload
Short Response		ield sites upload
Short Response		ield sites upload
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to creat	No 13 A	ield sites upload c, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to creat	No 13 A e a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to creat is a contribution to	No 13 A e a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to creat is a contribution to	No 13 A e a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Inf	fo	Yes	
Name	Don Nicholas	s-McKee		Email edinbu	ırghg	roup@	Dlivingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency					
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group					
Choice	14 A						
inclusive, sustaina	able growth. W		an area of search' which allows	_			nmodate the development of a mix of uses to support es within West Edinburgh without being tied to
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				
Choice	14 B						
We want to remo you agree with th	_		for the Royal Highland Showgr	ound site to the south o	of the	e A8 at	Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				
Choice	14 C						
•		ate the Airport's contine this approach? - Yes /		s runway" for the devel	lopm	ent of	alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info	Yes						
Name	Don Nicholas	ss-McKee		Email edinburgh	group@livingstreets.org.uk						
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency				_					
On behalf of:	Living Streets	s Edinburgh Group	-								
Choice	15 A										
We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No											
Short Response	Not Answered	I									
Explanation	See attached r	d response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.									
Choice	15 B										
					w local centres) justified by the Commercood shopping within walking distance. Do	-					
Short Response	Not Answered	l									
Explanation	See attached r	response from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.								
Choice	15 C										
	_		• .	or new identified centres and bo Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yo	undary changes where they support walk es / No	ing and cycling					
Short Response	Not Answered	I									
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.								

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Suppo	orting Info	Yes					
Name	Don Nicholas	s-McKee		Email	edinburgh	group@livi	ingstreets.org.uk				
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency		-							
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group									
Choice	15 D										
balance of uses w	We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate palance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No										
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered See attached re	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.								
Choice	15 E										
We want to supporthis approach? - Y	•	provision in local, town	, commercial centres and othe	r locations with	good public	transport	access throughout Edinburgh.	Do you agree with			
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation	See attached re	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.								
Choice	15 G										
		•	orspace within centres in favou vith this approach? - Yes / No	r of alternative	uses such as	s increased	l leisure provision and permit co	ommercial centres			
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation	See attached re	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.								

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-G	GWRU-C	Supportir	ng Info	Yes			
Name	Don Nicholas	ss-McKee			Email ed	dinburghg	group@livingstreets.org.uk			
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency								
On behalf of:	Living Streets	s Edinburgh Group								
Choice	16 A1									
We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No										
	7 - 1 - 0 - 1 - 1									
Short Response	Not Answered									
•		esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh	Group.						
				-				_		
Chaine	16 42									
Choice	16 A2		l th			- V /	N.a.			
we want to suppo	ort office deve	lopment at commercia	i centres as thes	se also provide a	accessible location	s yes/	NO			
Chart Bospanso	Not Answered									
•		response from Living St	roots Edinburgh	Croup				7		
Explanation	see attached i	esponse from Living St	reets Eumburgn	огоир.						
Choice	16 A3									
		urement within the cit	v centre to nrov	ide significant o	office floorspace wi	ithin mai	or mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No			
we want to stren	Buildin the requ	memene within the cit	y centre to prov	ide significant e	mee noorspace w	iciiiii iiiaj	or mixed use developments. Bo you agree. Tesy No			
Short Response	Not Answered									
-		esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh	Group.				1		
·		, 0.1	0	1						

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee			Email edinburgh	ngroup@livingstreets.org	g.uk	
Response Type	Organisation / P	ublic Agency					
On behalf of:	Living Streets Ed	inburgh Group					
Choice	16 A4						
We want to amer	nd the boundary o	f the Leith strategio	office location to remove ar	eas with residential developr	ment consent. Do you ag	gree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	See attached resp	onse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				
Choice	16 A5						
We want to conti	nue to support of	fice development in	other accessible locations e	lsewhere in the urban area. D	Do you agree? - Yes / No)	
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	See attached resp	onse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.				
Choice	16 A5						
We want to conti		fice development in	other accessible locations e	lsewhere in the urban area. C	Do you agree? - Do you	have an office site	you wish us to
Short Response							
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info	Yes			
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee			Email edinburgh	group@livi	ngstreets.org.uk		
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency							
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group	·					
Choice	16 B							
We want to ident	tify sites and lo	cations within Edinburg	gh with potential for office dev	velopment. Do you agree wi	ith this? - Y	es/No		
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living Str	eets Edinburgh Group.					
Choice	16 C							
		office policy to retain ac	ccessible office accommodatio	n. This would not permit the			uildings other	than for office
	-	-	lenser development. This wou needs of the mid-market. Or w		_			-
	-	-	_		_			-
strategic office lo	-	-	_		_			-
strategic office lo	Not Answered	-	needs of the mid-market. Or w		_			-
strategic office lo Short Response	Not Answered	oortant in meeting the	needs of the mid-market. Or w		_			-
strategic office lo Short Response	Not Answered	oortant in meeting the	needs of the mid-market. Or w		_			-
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered See attached re	esponse from Living Str	needs of the mid-market. Or w	ve could Introduce a 'loss of	office' pol	icy only in the city	centre Yes	/ No
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered See attached re 16 E1	esponse from Living Str	reets Edinburgh Group.	ve could Introduce a 'loss of	office' pol	icy only in the city	centre Yes	/ No
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to ident	Not Answered See attached re 16 E1	esponse from Living Str	reets Edinburgh Group.	ve could Introduce a 'loss of	office' pol	icy only in the city	centre Yes	/ No
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to ident	Not Answered See attached re 16 E1 ify proposals for trategic Busines	esponse from Living Str	reets Edinburgh Group.	ve could Introduce a 'loss of	office' pol	icy only in the city	centre Yes	/ No
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to ident Support - Leith St	Not Answered See attached re 16 E1 ify proposals for trategic Busines	esponse from Living Str	reets Edinburgh Group.	ve could Introduce a 'loss of	office' pol	icy only in the city	centre Yes	/ No

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info	Yes			
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee			Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk				
Response Type	Organisation / Publ	ic Agency						
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinb	urgh Group						
Choice	16 E2							
We want to ident Support - Newbri		modern business	and industrial sites to provide	de necessary floorspace at th	e followin	g locations. Do you agre	e? - Yes / No -	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	16 E3							
	fy proposals for new ighall Industrial Estat		and industrial sites to provid	de necessary floorspace at the	e followin	g locations. Do you agre	e? - Yes / No -	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	16 E4							
We want to ident Support - The Cro		modern business	and industrial sites to provide	de necessary floorspace at th	e followin	g locations. Do you agre	e? - Yes / No -	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered							

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-G	GWRU-C	Suppor	rting Info	Yes	
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee			Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk				
Response Type	Organisation ,	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group	<u>'</u>					
	·							
Choice	16 E5							
We want to ident support - Leith St			ss and industria	l sites to provid	e necessary floor	rspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do	not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	16 E6							
We want to ident support - Newbri		r new modern busine	ss and industria	l sites to provid	e necessary floor	rspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do i	not
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	16 E7							
We want to ident support - Newcra			ss and industria	l sites to provid	e necessary floor	rspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do	not
•	Not Answered							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	00485	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Suppo	rting Info	Yes		
Name	Don Nicholas	s-McKee		Email	edinburgh	group@liv	ringstreets.org.uk	
Response Type	Organisation	/ Public Agency						
On behalf of:	Living Streets	Edinburgh Group						
Choice	16 E8							
We want to ident support - The Cro			ss and industrial sites to provic	le necessary floo	rspace at th	e followin	g locations. Do you agree? - Yes / N	o - Do not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	16 EX							
We want to ident	ify proposals fo	or new modern busine	ss and industrial sites to provid	de necessary floo	rspace at th	e followin	g locations. Do you agree? - Explain	why
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.					
Choice	16 F							
amount expected	l to be re-provi		what constitutes flexible bus				efs for greenfield sites. We want to suding the location on-site, and cons	
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	See attached r	esponse from Living St	reets Edinburgh Group.					

Customer Ref:	00485 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWRU-C	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Don Nicholass-McKee	Email edinburghgroup@livingstreets.org.uk
Response Type	Organisation / Public Agency	
On behalf of:	Living Streets Edinburgh Group	
Choice	16 G	
We want to conti	inue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current po	olicy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	
Choice	16 H	
We want to intro	oduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support ci	ty-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	See attached response from Living Streets Edinburgh Group.	

<u>Choices for City Plan 2030</u> <u>Living Streets Edinburgh Group Comments</u>

Introduction

Living Streets Edinburgh Group (LSEG) is the local voluntary arm of the national charity, Living Streets, which campaigns for better conditions for 'everyday walking'. In LSEG our key aim is to promote walking (including "wheeling" (on wheelchairs) and similar pedestrian mobility) as a safe, easy and enjoyable way of getting around the city.

For LSEG the focus for City Plan 2030 has to be delivering for people. The purpose of planning is to manage the development and use of land in the long-term public interest, which is commonly accepted as being the welfare or well-being of the general public. In other words, to provide for people, a species designed to walk on 2 legs, it is our default mode of transport. Walking is by far the most common and universal travel mode, forming an essential part of many journey chains by bus, train, car, bike etc, as well as 'walk-only' journeys.

Yet we have a planning system that for too long has bent over backwards to prioritise and accommodate motorized transport, principally the private car. Finally, this is now widely accepted as <u>not</u> being in the long-term public interest. So there is an opportunity for City Plan 2030 to press the reset button and actually plan for the long-term public interest, putting people first.

As well as reversing a longstanding failure of planning as a discipline, this will actually result in a local development plan that complies with Scottish Government policy. Walking is unambiguously top of both the 'movement hierarchy' as laid down in Scottish Planning Policy and the 'Sustainable Travel

ii

Hierarchy' in the new National Transport Strategy 2. However, while lip service is often paid to the theoretical primacy of walking, it is rarely put into practice and when there is mention, walking is often conflated with cycling.

Despite being Scottish Government policy, Choices for City Plan 2030 regrettably makes no mention whatsoever of the movement hierarchy or the Sustainable Travel Hierarchy – this is an unforgiveable omission, which, unless addressed, must bring into question the Council's commitment to everyday walking and adherence to national policy.

City Plan 2030 must work in tandem with the City Mobility Plan and deliver the principles of the movement hierarchy across the whole city applying them to established streets and places as well as new developments. Successful places are those which work for people, not just vehicles, so comprehensive delivery is required across the city to get an integrated package working for the whole community, not only those in new developments. This is critical in order to address the past failure of the planning system.

The consultation started before the current emergency, so in preparing City Plan 2030 it would be an abdication of responsibility for the Council not to take account of relevant lessons learnt. It has been demonstrated that many jobs can be carried out from home, it is therefore perfectly feasible that employers may build on this experience and change working patterns as there will be cost savings, enhanced efficiency and, as a by-product, less pressure on transport infrastructure. It is abundantly obvious to all that current traffic reduction has meant the streets have been more pleasant places to inhabit and this, combined with the likelihood of long term social distancing requirements, means that more space is needed for walking. It is therefore beholden on the Council to grasp reality, to actively encourage far more home working, to reconsider where new housing should be located, to seriously restrict

private vehicle movements and to invest much more in walking and public transport infrastructure. There may also be reduced demand for new office space and the possibility that some existing office space could be converted to housing or other suitable community uses. All of this has to be carefully considered and assumptions re-evaluated. Living Streets Edinburgh Group would like to be part of this process.

Detailed Comments

Introduction

p3 - Support the 4 aims for 2030, particularly "a sustainable city" and "a city where you don't need a car to move around", but this obviously requires the services, infrastructure, development locations and quality of environment to allow it to happen. The Choices for City Plan 2030

p5 - Generally support the choices under the aims, but need to go much further:

- No.1 should be revised to "Making Edinburgh a sustainable, active and connected city based firmly on the movement hierarchy as set out in para 273 of Scottish Planning Policy".
- No.2 should be revised to "Improving the quality, density and accessibility of development and ensuring that all new development in the city centre is car free apart from essential parking provision for disabled, visitors and car clubs. This policy shall apply across the whole city by the end of the plan period."
- No.6 should be revised to "Creating places and enhancing existing streets and places to focus on people not cars".
- No.7 should be revised to "Supporting the reduction in car use in Edinburgh to those which are essential".

- No.8 should be revised to "Delivering new walking and cycling routes and enhancing existing streets and paths to ensure that walking has priority".
- No.12 should be revised to "Building our new homes and infrastructure in locations and with layouts where walking is the most viable means of movement, including good routes to a variety of public transport options."

<u>Choice 2 - Improving the quality, density and accessibility of development</u>

p9 - Support the thrust of the changes, strongly agree with increased density objective in "B", but "A" should include specific reference to car free developments and "C" should refer to the movement hierarchy in para 273 of Scottish Planning Policy as well as the six qualities of successful places.

A city where you don't need to own a car to move around

p13 - Strongly support the objective, but if it is intended that you don't need a car to move around, then there should be specific reference that new developments will be car free and no provision made for parking other than disabled, servicing and essential visitors.

<u>Choice 5 - Delivering Community Infrastructure</u>

p15/16 - Transport Infrastructure section should make it clear that infrastructure will be provided in line with the movement hierarchy as set out in Scottish Planning Policy and the National Transport Strategy. Whilst specific walking routes are welcome, there also has to be reference to improvements to the fabric and management of all streets in the city, new and existing, to accommodate walking as the priority means of movement. Within this context "A" should have specific reference to walking infrastructure.

Choice 6 - Creating places that focus on people, not cars

p18 – Strongly support Choice 6 *Creating places that focus on people, not cars.* In the supporting text there has to be specific reference to the movement hierarchy in Scottish Planning Policy and the National Transport Strategy, with the movement hierarchy set out in the correct order of priority with walking first. There should also be reference to enhancing established places across the city to reflect the same priorities so that benefits will be enjoyed by the entire community, not just those in new developments. The target referred to in "A" should be zero car use other than provision for disabled, servicing and essential visitors. If new development cannot deliver this, then it should not be permitted. Within this context "B" needs to be reworded to delete reference to *appropriate parking levels*, you must not use phrases that allow wriggle room for either developers or decision makers.

Choice 7 - Supporting the reduction in car use in Edinburgh

p19 – Support Choice 7 but it has to be strengthened so suggest rewording it to read "Help to deliver significant reduction in car use in Edinburgh". Proposed changes "A", "B" and "C" require revision to make it clear that there will be no provision in any development for car parking other than for disabled, servicing and essential visitors. This must be in tandem with phasing out of on street parking across the city. A start should be made by defining a central area car-free zone, within which no new residential parking permits wold be issued.

Choice 8 - Delivering new walking and cycling routes

p21 – Support Choice 8, but it has to be strengthened so suggest rewording it to read "Delivering new walking and cycling routes and enhancing existing provision for walking throughout the city". The Plan needs to recognise that for most people on foot, their "walking network" consists of the pavements around their home, place of work or education. The

emphasis on new cycle routes is disproportionate and "delivering new walking and cycle routes" is much less important than improving existing ones: this means improving pavements, widening them, more road crossings, traffic calming, etc. S75 opportunities. In recognition of the importance of everyday walking this should be reflected in the proposed changes and there should be specific reference to the movement hierarchy as set out in Scottish Planning Policy and the National Transport Strategy.

It is appreciated that the provision and enhancement of walking infrastructure has a cost attached. This should be secured via revisions to the Council's policy and guidance on developer contributions. The existing supplementary guidance ignores walking, contrary to the Scottish Government hierarchy. Delivery of Choices 6, 7 and 8 will require significant investment which must come from developers as part of individual developments and/or via developer contributions in Section 75 Planning Obligations. Higher density developments with less car parking and fewer contributions towards traditional road schemes will assist with delivery for walking.

Choice 10 - Creating sustainable communities

p26 - Revise para 3 in text box to read "reduce the amount and type of student housing..."

Choice 12 - Building our new homes and infrastructure

p28 – Point "C" in the text box under Choice 12 should be revised to read "Where we will deliver the homes in the most sustainable way to conform with the movement hierarchy as set out in Scottish Planning Policy and the National Transport Strategy".

p30– Strongly support the Council in preferring Option1
Delivery within the Urban Area as it is the only option that can provide for walking in accordance with the movement hierarchy

as well as helping to deliver on wider sustainability objectives.

p31 – Having set out its preferred Option, it is disappointing that the Council chooses to focus on the difficulties in delivering it, rather than the benefits that will accrue if it is delivered. Delivery in accordance with the movement hierarchy as set out in Scottish Planning Policy and the National Transport Strategy is only possible with this Option. Any other option will fail to comply with Scottish Planning Policy. The Council must embrace the same spirit that lies behind the City Centre Transformation project, and be resolute, bold and focused beyond the short term.

p32 – If the Council pursues car free developments and is imaginative with design, layout and density, the available space will generate more than the 17600 new housing units quoted.

p35-46 – The options set out in Maps 9-14 and supporting text will fail to deliver in accordance with the movement hierarchy as set out in Scottish Planning Policy and the National Transport Strategy, and most certainly lead to an increase in car use which is contrary to national planning policy and the stated thrust of the City Plan process.

Choice 15 - Protecting our City Centre, Town and Local Centres

p54 – Fully support Choice 15 and note reference to active travel and walking distance with regard to new shops outwith centres. However, the best way of protecting our existing centres is to acknowledge that they are primarily places for people, so policy has to be led by the movement hierarchy as set out in Scottish Planning Policy and the National Transport Strategy. Is there evidence to support the new hotel provision proposed in "E" given the identified need for more permanent housing? There is a need for more city centre homes as well as more housing across the city and the increased density proposals will help to deliver this, but it has to be prioritised over hotel and Air BnB type provision. The proposed changes

need to be reworded to reflect this and introduce proposals for established centres to prioritise people and walking.

Choice 16 - Delivering Office, Business and Industry Floorspace

p56 & 59 – Choice 16 should make it clear that office, business and industry floorspace will only be provided in locations where it can be easily accessed by public transport and where there is good infrastructure for walking and cycling. It should be made clear that onsite parking will be restricted to that required for disabled, servicing and essential visitors. In addition to minimising vehicle movements, this will allow more efficient use of land and free up space for enhanced green infrastructure. As stated in our initial comments, there also has to be recognition that more provision should be made for homeworking, in new and existing housing – perhaps one positive to come out of the current COVID-19 crisis.

The plan should make provision for developing a new role for out of town retail and parking, through conversion to higher density, mixed used (especially residential) focused around public transport interchange hubs.

Living Streets Edinburgh Group

April 2020

Notes/references

Paragraph 273 of *Scottish Planning Policy* (2014) states: 'The spatial strategies set out in plans should support development in locations that allow walkable access to local amenities and are also accessible by cycling and public transport. Plans should identify active travel networks and promote opportunities for travel by more sustainable modes in the following order of priority: walking, cycling, public transport, cars. The aim is to promote development which maximises the extent to which its travel demands are met first through walking, then cycling, then public transport and finally through use of private cars. Plans should facilitate integration between transport modes.' https://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-planning-policy/

ii

"The 2020 National Transport Strategy 2 (NTS2) places walking at the top of the 'Sustainable Travel Hierarchy', followed by cycling, public transport, taxis and shared transport and the private car. https://www.transport.gov.scot/media/47052/national-transport-strategy.pdf