00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Suppor	ting Info		
				_	mp@parliament.uk	
-			Lillan	iani.marray.i	прерапитенск	
IVIF / IVISF			7			
1 Λ						
	ks and groon snace	os togothor as part of a city-win	de regional and r	national grou	on natwork. We want now development to conne	et to and
			de, regional, and i	national gree	en network. We want new development to conne	ect to, and
Yes						
1 B						
	guire all developm	ent (including change of use) to	o include green a	nd blue infra	structure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Ob	iect
ge can pency co re-	4					,
Voc						
			and his advantage			
inis is critical to m	neeting climate cha	inge targets and encouraging lo	cai blodiversity			
n ,	Ian Murray MP / MSP 1 A nect our places, par york. Do you agree was Yes 1 B nge our policy to re	Ian Murray MP / MSP 1 A nect our places, parks and green space york. Do you agree with this? - Select se	Ian Murray MP / MSP 1 A nect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wid york. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support Yes 1 B nge our policy to require all development (including change of use) to you have a select support / don't support	Ian Murray MP / MSP 1 A nect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and vork. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support Yes 1 B nge our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green a	Ian Murray MP / MSP 1 A nect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national gree vork. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support Yes 1 B nge our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infra	In Murray Email ian.murray.mp@parliament.uk MP / MSP 1 A nect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connerork. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support Yes 1 B nge our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Obyect Yes

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supp	orting Info		
Name	lan Murray			Email	ian.murray	/.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP						
On behalf of:							
Choice	1 C						
We want to ident	tify areas that can l	be used for future	water management to enab	le adaptation to c	limate chang	ge. Do you agree with this? - Yes / N	0
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation							
Choice	1 D						
				- 124		will be so side and so setable. Do	
we want to clear Yes / No	iy set out under wi	nat circumstances	tne development of poor qu	ality or underused	open space	will be considered acceptable. Do	ou agree with this? -
Short Response	Voc						
•			:	than than building	'-		
Explanation	i read this to mear	that there will be	investment in open space ra	ther than building	on it		
Choice	1 E						
We want to intro you agree with th		large green space	standard' which recognises t	hat as we grow co	ommunities v	will need access to green spaces mo	re than 5 hectares. Do
Short Response	Yes	7					
Explanation		d smaller, quality lo	ocal spaces				
LAPIAHALIUH	but don't disregal	u silialiei, quality l	ocai spaces				

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info				
Name	lan Murray			Email ian.muri	ray.mp@parlian	ment.uk		
Response Type	MP / MSP						·	
On behalf of:								
Choice	1 F							
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	rify specific sites fo	r new allotments a	nd food growing, both as pa	rt of new development site	s and within op	en space in the u	rban area. Do you a	gree with
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation								
Chaine	1 F							
Choice			. 16 1	and a state of a second state				211
we want to ident this? - Upload (m		r new allotments a	nd food growing, both as pa	rt of new development site	s and within op	en space in the u	rban area. Do you a	gree with
•								
Short Response	No							
Explanation								
Choice	1 G							
We want to ident	ify space for addit	ional cemetery pro	vision, including the potent	al for green and woodland I	burials. Do you	agree with this?	Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Suppo	orting Info				
Name	lan Murray			Email	ian.murray.	.mp@parlia	ment.uk		
Response Type	MP / MSP								
On behalf of:									
Choice	1 H								
We want to revise Do you agree witl		cies and green spac	e designations to ensure that n	ew green space	es have long t	term mainte	enance and ma	anagement ar	rangements in place.
. ,									
Short Response	Yes								
Explanation									
Choice	2 A								
	•		nrough design and access state ures to address accessibility for			_	•		-
Short Response	Yes								
Explanation									
Choice	2 B								
We want to revise Yes / No	e our policies on d	ensity to ensure th	at we make best use of the lim	ited space in ou	r city and tha	at sites are i	not under-dev	eloped. Do yo	u agree with this? -
Short Response	Yes								
Explanation	As long as this go	es hand in hand wit	h good design and quality						
	+								

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Sup	porting Info					
Name	lan Murray			Ema	il ian.murray.	.mp@par	liament.uk			
Response Type	MP / MSP									
On behalf of:										
Choice	2 C									
We want to revis	e our design and	l layout policies to ac	hieve ensure their layouts	deliver active trav	el and connect	tivity link	s. Do you agı	ee with this	? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation										
Choice	2 D									
We want all deve	•		to deliver quality open spa	ace and public real	m, useable for	a range o	of activities,	ncluding dry	ving space, w	rithout losing
,		•								
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation	. 63									
Explanation										
Choice	3 A									
	_		o carbon / platinum stand rd. Which standard should						d we could re	equire new
					-					
Short Response	Gold									
Explanation		he an aspiration to Pla	atinum as that may be too i	rigid for the develo	nment and ret	ro fitting	of existing or	onerties We	e cant let ner	fect get in
	the way of prog	•	active to the they be too i		p.meme and reti		or existing pi	operaco. We	- carre receper	

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	lan Murray			Email ian.murray.	mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP					
On behalf of:						
Choice	4 A					
			lace Briefs for areas and sites should deliver. Do you agree		ting the key elements of design, layout, and transport,	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	This should be	done at the start of ar	ny process to involve local com	munities, explain the process a	and ensure community buy in	1
Choice	4 B					
			by our communities. City Plar with local communities to pr		lace Plans can help us achieve great places and support	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Through prope	er consultation events.	community led initiatives. loc	al groups and organisations so	hools, young people and consideration of proper planning	٦
	charettes.	,	,	ar groups and organisations, se	moois, young people and consideration of proper planning	
	charettes.			argroups and organisations, se	noois, young people and consideration of proper planning	
Choice	charettes. 5 A	,	,	argroups and organisations, se	nools, young people and consideration of proper planning	
We want City Pla	5 A n 2030 to direc	t development to whe	re there is existing infrastruc		tion, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where	
We want City Pla	5 A n 2030 to direc	t development to whe	re there is existing infrastruc	cure capacity, including educat	tion, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where	
We want City Pla	5 A n 2030 to direct rastructure wil	t development to whe	re there is existing infrastruc	cure capacity, including educat	tion, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where	
We want City Pla potential new inf	5 A n 2030 to direct rastructure wil	t development to whe l be accommodated ar	re there is existing infrastruc	cure capacity, including educat period. Do you agree with thi	tion, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where	

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	Ian Murray			Email ian.murray	ay.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP				.	
On behalf of:			·			
	<u> </u>		,			
Choice	5 B					
					nnected to active travel routes and in locations with high	
accessibility to go	od sustainable	public transport servi	ces. Do you agree with this? - Yo	es / NO		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	5 C					
			nity services close to the comm	unities they serve, support	rting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to	
travel. Do you ag	ree with this? -	Yes / No				
•	Yes					-
Explanation	we need much	more imagination abo	ut how buildings and facilities a	re used.		
Choice	5 D1					
We want to set o	ut in the plan w	here development wi	ll be expected to contribute tov	vard new or expanded con	mmunity infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Suppo	orting Info		
Name	lan Murray			Email	ian.murray.mp@parli	ament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP						
On behalf of:							
Choice	5 D2						
We want to use of	umulative contri	bution zones to dete	ermine infrastructure actions, c	osts and deliver	y mechanisms. Do you	agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation	the piecemeal ap	oproach of section 75	is not really allowing proper pl	anning for infra	structure locally		
Choice	5 E						
We want to stop you agree with th		tary guidance and so	et out guidance for developer o	ontributions wi	thin the plan, Action Pr	rogramme and in non-statutor	ry guidance. Do
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation		e some flexibility for	local circumstances.				
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Choice	6 A						
			ment against its ability to meet ervices and high-quality active	_			e targets will vary
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation			pper alternatives. The city has be ffordable, and reliable. Car use			• •	ke residents with

Customer Ref:	00705 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info
Name	lan Murray	Email ian.murray.mp@parliament.uk
Response Type	MP / MSP	
On behalf of:		
Choice	6 B	
	Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and publiing levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree w	ic transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	7 A	
	rmine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by a limit will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking	walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development g. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	Yes, but with local community involvement. The issues of parking f exercise for the council	from the ERi site etc has always been a problem. It also cant be viewed as a money making
Choice	7 B	
We want to prote you agree with th		entre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	I Suppo	orting Info		
Name	lan Murray			Email	ian.murray	.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP						
On behalf of:			·				
Choice	7 C						
We want to upda agree with this? -		olicies to control der	mand and to support pa	rking for bikes, those v	vith disabiliti	es and electric vehicles via charging	infrastructure. Do you
	-						
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation							
Choice	7 D						
Mobility Plan or it	ts action plan. Do	o you agree with this		t the city's park and rid		sions, including any other sites that ure by safeguarding sites for new pa	-
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation							
Choice	8 A						
						_	
	te our policy on	the Cycle and Footp	ath Network to provide	criteria for identifying	new routes.	Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
	te our policy on	the Cycle and Footpa	ath Network to provide	criteria for identifying	new routes.	Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
		the Cycle and Footpa	ath Network to provide	criteria for identifying	new routes.	Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	lan Murray			Email ian.murray.	mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP					
On behalf of:						
						_
Choice	8 B					
					d cycling links around the city, we want to add the y are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	8 C					
•	n 2030 to safeguar	d and add any othe	er strategic active travel links w	ithin any of the proposed or	otions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030	
•	•	travel links which m gree with this? - Ye	-		ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified	
•	•		-		rt Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified	
•	ultation. Do you a		-		rt Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified	
through this cons	ultation. Do you a		-		rt Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified	
through this cons	ultation. Do you a		-		rt Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified	
through this cons	ultation. Do you a		-		ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Planto include any ne	Yes 8 C 1 2030 to safeguar w strategic active	gree with this? - Ye d and add any othe travel links which m	s / No er strategic active travel links w	ming City Plan 2030 Transpo	ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Planto include any ne	Yes 8 C 1 2030 to safeguar w strategic active	gree with this? - Ye d and add any othe travel links which m	er strategic active travel links w	ming City Plan 2030 Transpo	otions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Planto include any ne	Yes 8 C 1 2030 to safeguar w strategic active sultation. Do you a	gree with this? - Ye d and add any othe travel links which m	er strategic active travel links w	ming City Plan 2030 Transpo	otions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Planto include any new through this cons	Yes 8 C 1 2030 to safeguar w strategic active sultation. Do you a	gree with this? - Ye d and add any othe travel links which m	er strategic active travel links w	ming City Plan 2030 Transpo	otions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Planto include any new through this cons	Yes 8 C 1 2030 to safeguar w strategic active sultation. Do you a	gree with this? - Ye d and add any othe travel links which m	er strategic active travel links w	ming City Plan 2030 Transpo	otions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030	

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6	6Z-N	Suppo	orting Info						
Name	Ian Murray				Email	ian.murray	.mp@parli	ament.uk				
Response Type	MP / MSP											
On behalf of:			·									
Choice	9 A											
		Edinburgh, or parts rm lets. Do you agr	_		Control Are	ea' where pla	anning per	mission wi	ll always be	required	for the chang	e of
Short Response	Yes											
Explanation	But please work in	_ n conjunction with l	JK and Scottish Gov	ernments who ha	ave both pr	omoted hous	sing and ta	xation poli	cies that has	made thi	s situation wo	orse.
·					·			· ·				
Choice	9 B											
		the loss of homes t nercial visitor accor				-		ssion is req	uired for a c	hange of	use of resider	ntial
ilats allu llouses	to snort-stay comin	nercial visitor accor	illiodation of othe	i uses. Do you ag	gree with ti	1115: - 165 / 14	0					
Chart Danie	V											
·	Yes											
Explanation	It should also be n	made easier to allow	v other uses to be co	onverted to resid	ential hom	es.						
Choice	10 A											
		rpose-built student after student's wel	-			sing is delive	ered at the	right scale	and in the r	ight locat	tions, helps cr	eate
			0 - 7 - 2 - 36.4									
Short Response	Yes											
Explanation												
LAPIGIIGUUI												

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info	
Name	Ian Murray			Email ian.murray	r.mp@parliament.uk
Response Type	MP / MSP				
On behalf of:					
Choice	10 B				
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	e a new policy fr	ramework which sets	out a requirement for housing	g on all sites over a certain si	ze coming forward for development. Do you agree with
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
Choice	10 C				
		romoting the better upreed. Do you agree w		re retail units and commercia	al centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
Choice	11 A				_
We want to amer	nd our policy to i	ncrease the provision	of affordable housing require	ement from 25% to 35%. Do	you agree with this approach? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes				
m alamanta a					
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00705 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW6	5Z-N Supporting Info
Name	lan Murray	Email ian.murray.mp@parliament.uk
Response Type	MP / MSP	
On behalf of:		
Choice	11 B	
	nn 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – wind support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree wi	we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for ith this? - Yes / No
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	I have said yes but this has to be done sensibly as not to be	be so prescriptive that it stops housing from occurring.
		от так размента и то
Choice	12 Λ	
Choice Which option do	12 A	
	12 A you support? - Option 1/2/3	
Which option do	you support? - Option 1/2/3	
Which option do Short Response	you support? - Option 1/2/3 Option 1 (Council/	a clearly unsuitable. The Inch Park Nursery cite is clearly unsuitable. It may be brownfield but it is
Which option do	you support? - Option 1/2/3 Option 1 (Council/	e clearly unsuitable.The Inch Park Nursery site is clearly unsuitable. It may be brownfield but it is
Which option do Short Response	you support? - Option 1/2/3 Option 1 (Council/ Option 1 is the most sensible approach but some sites are	e clearly unsuitable.The Inch Park Nursery site is clearly unsuitable. It may be brownfield but it is
Which option do Short Response Explanation	you support? - Option 1/2/3 Option 1 (Council/ Option 1 is the most sensible approach but some sites are enveloped by a valuable historic green space	e clearly unsuitable.The Inch Park Nursery site is clearly unsuitable. It may be brownfield but it is
Which option do Short Response Explanation Choice	Option 1 (Council/ Option 1 is the most sensible approach but some sites are enveloped by a valuable historic green space	
Which option do Short Response Explanation Choice	Option 1 (Council/ Option 1 is the most sensible approach but some sites are enveloped by a valuable historic green space	e clearly unsuitable. The Inch Park Nursery site is clearly unsuitable. It may be brownfield but it is
Which option do Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of	Option 1 (Council/ Option 1 is the most sensible approach but some sites are enveloped by a valuable historic green space 12 B1 or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please	
Which option do Short Response Explanation Choice	Option 1 (Council/ Option 1 is the most sensible approach but some sites are enveloped by a valuable historic green space	

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-	-N	Supporting Info				
Name	Ian Murray				Email ian.murray.ı	mp@parlia	ment.uk		
Response Type	MP / MSP								
On behalf of:									
Choice	12 B2								
Do you support o	r object to any of th	ne proposed green	field areas? (Please ti	ick all that apply)	- Support Greenfield	- Support -	Kirkliston		
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	12 B3								
Do you support o	r object to any of th	ne proposed green	field areas? (Please ti	ick all that apply)	- Support Greenfield	- Support -	West Edinbur	gh	
Do you support o	r object to any of th	ne proposed green	field areas? (Please ti	ick all that apply)	- Support Greenfield	- Support -	West Edinbur	rgh	
Do you support o		ne proposed green	field areas? (Please ti	ick all that apply)	- Support Greenfield	- Support -	· West Edinbur	gh	
		ne proposed green	field areas? (Please ti	ick all that apply)	- Support Greenfield	- Support -	· West Edinbur	gh	
Short Response		ne proposed green	field areas? (Please ti	ick all that apply)	- Support Greenfield	- Support -	· West Edinbur	gh	
Short Response		ne proposed green	field areas? (Please ti	ick all that apply)	- Support Greenfield	- Support -	· West Edinbur	gh	
Short Response Explanation		ne proposed green	field areas? (Please ti	ick all that apply)	- Support Greenfield	- Support -	· West Edinbur	gh	
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered 12 B4		field areas? (Please ti						
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered 12 B4								
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered 12 B4 r object to any of the								
Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of Short Response	Not Answered 12 B4 r object to any of the								
Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support o	Not Answered 12 B4 r object to any of the								

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	lan Murray			Email ian.murray.mp@	gparliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP					
On behalf of:						
	-					
Choice	12 B5					
Do you support o	r object to any of t	he proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all the	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su	pport - South East Edinburgh	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	12 B6					
Do you support o	r object to any of t	he proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all the	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ol	oject - Calderwood	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered					
•	Not Answered					
•	Not Answered					
•	Not Answered 12 B7					
Explanation Choice	12 B7	he proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all tha	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ol	oject - Kirkliston	
Explanation Choice	12 B7	he proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all the	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ol	bject - Kirkliston	
Explanation Choice Do you support of	12 B7	he proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick all the	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ol	bject - Kirkliston	

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supp	orting Info				
Name	lan Murray			Email	ian.murray.	.mp@pa	arliament.uk		
Response Type	MP / MSP								
On behalf of:									
Choice	12 B8								
Do you support o	r object to any of th	he proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Supp	ort Greenfield	d - Obje	ct - West Edinbu	rgh	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	12 B9								
Do you support o	r object to any of th	he proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Supp	ort Greenfield	d - Obje	ct - East of Ricca	rton	
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	12 B10								
Do you support o	r object to any of th	he proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Supp	ort Greenfield	d - Obje	ct - South East E	dinburgh	
Short Response	Yes								
Explanation		1							

Customer Ref:	00705 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info
Name	Ian Murray	Email ian.murray.mp@parliament.uk
Response Type	MP / MSP	
On behalf of:		
Choice	12 BX	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all th	nat apply) - Explain why
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		n greenfield development in South Edinburgh. Building on green belt alone is the wrong sture and a considerable traffic problem at the main artierial routes in and out of the south
	-	
Choice	12 C	
	12 C eenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Green	field file upload
	eenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Green	field file upload
Do you have a gro	eenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Green	field file upload
Do you have a grown short Response Explanation	eenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Green	
Do you have a grown short Response Explanation	eenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Green No 12 C	
Do you have a grown short Response Explanation	eenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Green No 12 C eenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Green	

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	lan Murray			Email ian.murra	ay.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP					
On behalf of:						
Choice	12 C					
Do you have a gre	eenfield site you w	ish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload		
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	12 D					
Do you have a bro	ownfield site you v	wish us to consider	in the proposed Plan? - Brown	field sites upload		
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	13 A					
				s, culture and tourism, inn	novation and learning, and the low carbo	n sector, where there
is a contribution t	o good growth for	Edinburgh. Do you	agree with this? - Yes / No			
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Sup	porting Info			
Name	lan Murray			Ema	ail ian.murray.mp@	parliament.uk		
Response Type	MP / MSP							
On behalf of:			,					
Choice	14 A							
inclusive, sustaina		l do this through '	ting public transport infra an area of search' which a No		_			
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	14 B							
	ve the safeguard in is approach? - Yes /		for the Royal Highland Sh	nowground site to t	he south of the A8 a	at Norton Park and	d allocate the site	for other uses. Do
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	14 C							
_	n 2030 to allocate the ou agree with this a	•	igency runway, the "cros No	swinds runway" for	r the development o	of alternative uses	next to the Edinbu	argh Gateway
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info				
Name	Ian Murray			Email ian.murray.	mp@parlia	ment.uk		
Response Type	MP / MSP							
On behalf of:								
Choice	15 A							
			first' approach. City Plan 2030 ment and tourism activities. Do	•	•	as the regional cor	e of south east	Scotland
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation								
Choice	15 B							
			owed within our town and loc mitted only in areas where the					-
Outwith local cer								-
Outwith local cer No								-
Outwith local cer No	itres, small scal							-
Outwith local cer No Short Response	itres, small scal							-
Outwith local cer No Short Response Explanation	Yes							-
Outwith local cer No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revie	Yes 15 C w our existing t	e proposals will be per	mitted only in areas where the	re is evidence of a lack of foo	od shoppin	g within walking dis	tance. Do you	agree? - Yes /
Outwith local cer No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revie	Yes 15 C w our existing t	e proposals will be per	mitted only in areas where the	re is evidence of a lack of foo	od shoppin	g within walking dis	tance. Do you	agree? - Yes /
Outwith local cer No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revie access to local se	Yes 15 C w our existing to rvices in outer a	e proposals will be per	mitted only in areas where the	re is evidence of a lack of foo	od shoppin	g within walking dis	tance. Do you	agree? - Yes /
Outwith local cer No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revie access to local se Short Response	Yes 15 C w our existing to rvices in outer a	e proposals will be per	mitted only in areas where the	re is evidence of a lack of foo	od shoppin	g within walking dis	tance. Do you	agree? - Yes /
Outwith local cer No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to revie access to local se	Yes 15 C w our existing to rvices in outer a	e proposals will be per	mitted only in areas where the	re is evidence of a lack of foo	od shoppin	g within walking dis	tance. Do you	agree? - Yes /

Name		Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-	GW6Z-N	Suppo	orting Info						
	lan Murray				Email	ian.murray	.mp@parli	ament.uk				
Response Type	MP / MSP											
On behalf of:			,									
Choice	15 D											
balance of uses wi	ithin our centres	nd update suppleme to maintain their vi an. Which approach	tality, viability	and deliver good			-			_		
Short Response	Retail guidance in	1										
-	Although you mathem.	y need the flexibility	y as habits chan	ge. We should al	so be more ima	iginative with	n existing to	own and sho	oping centre	es to breat	th new life into	
Choice	15 E											
We want to suppo this approach? - Y	•	vision in local, towr	n, commercial c	entres and othe	r locations with	good public	transport	access throu	ghout Edinl	burgh. Do	you agree with	
Short Response	Yes											
Explanation												
Choice	15 G											
	•	uantity of retail floo	•		r of alternative	uses such as	increased	leisure prov	ision and pe	ermit com	mercial centres	(
We could also see to accommodate a	any growing dem	= - ,										_
	any growing dem											

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	lan Murray			Email ian.murray	v.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP					
On behalf of:						
Choice	16 A1					
	nue to support of . Do you agree? - `		office locations at Edinburgh I	Park/South Gyle, the Interna	tional Business Gateway, Leith, the ci	ty centre, and in town
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
·						
Choice	16 A2					
We want to supp	ort office develop	ment at commercia	l centres as these also provide	accessible locations Yes /	No	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	16 A3					
We want to stren	gthen the require	ment within the city	centre to provide significant	office floorspace within maj	or mixed-use developments. Do you a	agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	Ian Murray			Email ian.murra	ay.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP					
On behalf of:						
Choice	16 A4					
We want to amer	nd the boundary of	the Leith strategic	office location to remove area	s with residential develop	ment consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 A5					
We want to conti	nue to support offic	ce development in	other accessible locations else	where in the urban area. I	Do you agree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	16 A5					
We want to conti		ce development in	other accessible locations else	where in the urban area. I	Do you agree? - Do you have an office si	te you wish us to
	- F 200 0 1 10111					
Short Response						
Explanation Explanation						
LAPIGITATION						

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info	
Name	lan Murray			Email ian.murray.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP				
On behalf of:			-		
Choice	16 B				
We want to ident	tify sites and loca	ations within Edinbur	gh with potential for office of	development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
Choice	16 C				
use, unless existi	ng office space is	provided as part of	denser development. This w	tion. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office ould apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and r we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre Yes / No	
		<u> </u>		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Short Response	I support a loss	of			
Explanation					
•					
Choice	16 E1				
		now modern husine	ss and industrial sites to prov	ride necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -	
Support - Leith St			ss and modstrial sites to prov	nue necessary noorspace at the following locations. Do you agree: - les / No -	
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00705 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	lan Murray		Email ian.murray	.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP				
On behalf of:					
Choice	16 E2				
We want to identi Support - Newbrid		ss and industrial sites to provide	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No -
•	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	16 E3				
	fy proposals for new modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provide	necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No -
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	16 E4				
				o following locations Dover arres 2. Vo	a / Nia
we want to identi Support - The Cro		ss and industrial sites to provide	e necessary noorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	S / INO -
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
		Response Ref.	ANON-KUZU-GVVOZ-IV			
Name	lan Murray			Email lan.murray.	mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP			ī		
On behalf of:						
Choice	16 E5					
We want to ident support - Leith St			ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	140t7tii5Wei'eu					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E6					
We want to ident support - Newbrid		r new modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E7					
We want to ident support - Newcra			ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation		,				

Customer Ref:	00705	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Suppo	orting Info					
Name	lan Murray			Email	ian.murray.	mp@parliam	ient.uk			
Response Type	MP / MSP									
On behalf of:										
Choice	16 E8									
We want to ident support - The Cro		w modern busines	s and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floo	rspace at the	e following lo	cations. Do yo	ou agree? - Yes	/ No - Do not	
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation										
Choice	16 EX									
We want to ident	ify proposals for ne	w modern busines	s and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floo	rspace at the	following lo	cations. Do yo	ou agree? - Expl	lain why	
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation										
Choice	16 F									
amount expected	•	clearer criteria on	part of the redevelopment of u what constitutes flexible busi				_			3

adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00705 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW6Z-N	Supporting Info		
Name	lan Murray		Email ian.murray	r.mp@parliament.uk	
Response Type	MP / MSP				
On behalf of:					
Choice	16 G				
We want to conti	nue to protect industrial estates that	are designated under our curre	ent policy on Employment S	ites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree?	- Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
-					
Choice	16 H				
We want to intro	duce a policy that provides criteria fo	r locations that we would supp	ort city-wide and neighbou	rhood goods distribution hubs. Do you ag	gree? - Yes / No
			-		
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					