Customer Ref:	00793 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey	Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:		

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response Yes

1 B

Explanation

Choice

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response No

Explanation Should be assessed on a case by case basis and commensurate to the scale of the development.

Customer Ref:	00793 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-	I-GFFU-F Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey	Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:		
Choice	1 C	
We want to iden	tify areas that can be used for future water manage	ement to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	1 D	
We want to clear Yes / No	ly set out under what circumstances the developme	ent of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? -
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		e land can be re purposed and contribute to the wider land uses making up the the city grain. Even part or development can stimulate (via developers contribution) a re-purpose to the remaining undeveloped
Choice	1 E	
We want to intro	duce a new 'extra-large green space standard' whicl	ch recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do
you agree with t	nis? - Yes / No	
Short Response	No	
Explanation		wide standard is not applicable eg - the dense central core of the city may not have the access to +5ha cessibility should be the key not an arbitrary 5ha criteria

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting I	nfo	
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ra	avey@springfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner				
On behalf of:						
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	ify specific sites for	new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part o	of new development s	sites and within open space in the urban area.	Do you agree with
Short Response	No					
Explanation	Should be assessed	l on a case by case	basis and commensurate to the	scale of the developm	nent.	
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident	ify specific sites for	new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part of	of new development s	sites and within open space in the urban area.	Do you agree with
this? - Upload (m	ax size 3mb)			-		
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	1 G					
		onal cemetery pro	vision, including the potential	or green and woodla	nd burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
		····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.000		
Short Response	Ves					
Explanation	103					
Lynanation						

Customer Ref:	00793 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info			
Name	Jim Ravey	Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk			
Response Type	Developer / Landowner				
On behalf of:					
Choice	1 H				
We want to revis Do you agree wit		new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place.			
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Should be assessed on a case by case basis and commensurate to the	he scale of the development.			
Choice	2 A				
		ements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt or people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /			
Short Response	No				
Explanation	D&A statements should already include this				

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Lar	ndowner		
On behalf of:				
Choice	2 B			
We want to revis Yes / No	e our policies on o	density to ensure th	at we make best use of the limi	ited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? -
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	requirement will recognition that	only ensure the deve	elopment of flatted properties.	olicies - should seek to maximise development land.However applying a high density Whilst the high density flatted properties may be a solution in some location tions that will serve a need for range of house types that will serve the needs and
Choice	2 C			
We want to revis	e our design and	layout policies to ac	hieve ensure their layouts deliv	ver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation				
Choice	2 D			
We want all deve densities. Do you	-		to deliver quality open space a	nd public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	No opinion			

Customer Ref:	00793 Ro	esponse Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@	springfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Landown	er				
On behalf of:						
Choice	3 A					
	-		ro carbon / platinum standard ard. Which standard should ne		ttish Building Regulations. Instea meet? - Which standard?	ad we could require new
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation					e in the standard should be encou revisit of the developer contribu	
Choice	4 A					
		• •	Place Briefs for areas and sites should deliver. Do you agree		ting the key elements of design,	layout, and transport,
Short Response	No					
Short Response Explanation		Local Develop	ment Plan process intends to a	chieve without the additional	layer of Place Briefs	
		Local Develop	ment Plan process intends to a	chieve without the additional	layer of Place Briefs	
Explanation		Local Develop	ment Plan process intends to a	chieve without the additional	layer of Place Briefs	
Explanation Choice We want to supp	Surely this is what the 4 B ort Local Place Plans be	ing prepared		2030 will set out how Local P	layer of Place Briefs lace Plans can help us achieve gr	eat places and support
Explanation Choice We want to supp	Surely this is what the 4 B ort Local Place Plans be	ing prepared	by our communities. City Plan	2030 will set out how Local P		eat places and support
Explanation Choice We want to supp	Surely this is what the 4 B ort Local Place Plans be	ing prepared	by our communities. City Plan	2030 will set out how Local P		eat places and support
Explanation Choice We want to supp community ambi	Surely this is what the 4 B ort Local Place Plans be tions How should the	ing prepared	by our communities. City Plan	2030 will set out how Local P		eat places and support

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting	Info			
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.	avey@springfie	ld.co.uk		
Response Type	Developer / Land	Jowner						
On behalf of:								
Choice	5 A							
•		•	ere there is existing infrastruct nd deliverable within the plan				ustainable trans	oort, or where
socential new im				period. Do you agree	with this: - 165	/ 100		
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation		developer contribut	ore close co-operation and tra tion is applied in this respectSi			•		
	5 B							
Choice		whore new commu	nity facilities are needed, and	that these must be we	ll connected to	active travel	routes and in loc	ations with high
We want City Pla			ices. Do you agree with this? -	Yes / NO				
We want City Pla				Yes / NO				
We want City Pla	ood sustainable pu			Yes / NO				
accessibility to go	ood sustainable pu			Yes / NO				
We want City Pla accessibility to go Short Response	ood sustainable pu			Yes / NO				

Short Response	Yes
----------------	-----

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info	
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / La	andowner			
On behalf of:					
Choice	5 D1				
We want to set o	ut in the plan w	here development wi	ll be expected to contribute	e toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / I	No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Should be delived development.	ered in a transparent a	and justified manner - and d	lealt with on a case by case basis commensurate with the location and scale of any parti	cular
Chaica	5 03				
Choice	5 D2				
		ihution concete data		e seste and delivery machanisme. De very arres with this? Ver (Ne	
We want to use o	cumulative contr	ibution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure action	s, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
		ibution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure action	is, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
We want to use of Short Response	No				
	No			is, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No lealt with on a case by case basis commensurate with the location and scale of any parti	cular
Short Response	No Should be deliv				cular
Short Response Explanation	No Should be deliv development.				cular
Short Response Explanation Choice	No Should be delived development.	ered in a transparent a	and justified manner - and d	lealt with on a case by case basis commensurate with the location and scale of any parti	
Short Response Explanation Choice	No Should be delived development. 5 E using suppleme	ered in a transparent a	and justified manner - and d		
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to stop	No Should be delived development. 5 E using suppleme	ered in a transparent a	and justified manner - and d	lealt with on a case by case basis commensurate with the location and scale of any parti	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to stop	No Should be delived development. 5 E using suppleme	ered in a transparent a	and justified manner - and d	lealt with on a case by case basis commensurate with the location and scale of any parti	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to stop you agree with tl	No Should be delived development. 5 E using supplement his? - Yes / No	ered in a transparent a	and justified manner - and d	lealt with on a case by case basis commensurate with the location and scale of any parti	00

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@sp	pringfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / La	ndowner				
On behalf of:						
Choice	6 A					
		•			ort usage and walking and cycling.	These targets will vary
according to the	current or planne	ed public transport se	ervices and high-quality activ	ve travel routes. Do you agree wi	ith this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
	6 B					
Choice	6 B					
		•	by walking, cycling and pub c transport. Do you agree w	•	nd planned transit interventions. T	his will determine
Short Response	No					
Explanation	Unsure as to how	w the targets will imp	eact upon the acceptability (o	r not) of any development propo	sal	
Choice	7 A					
		•			sport. These targets could be set l	by area, development
type, or both and	will be supporte	ed by other measures	s to control on-street parking	g. Do you agree with this? - Yes /	No	
Short Response	No					
Explanation	Need clarificatio	on as to how the acce	ptability (or not) of a develop	oment proposal will be assessed a	against the targets	

Customer Ref:	00793 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey	Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:		
Choice	7 B	
We want to prote you agree with th		tre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do
you agree with th	113: - 1-53 / 110	
Short Response	Νο	
-		lad an its marits
Explanation	Development should be dealt with on a case by case basis and decide	
Choice	7 C	
We want to upda agree with this? -		or bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you
Short Response	Νο	
Explanation	Needs further clarification - especially on electric charging points. W	/ho provides the infrastructure but more importantly who runs the infrastructure.
Chaica	7 D	
Choice		
		new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City y's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and
extensions, includ	ding any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or it	s action plan.
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner		
On behalf of:				
Choice	8 A			
		n the Cycle and Footpa	th Network to provide crite	ria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
the maint to upud				
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation				
Choice	8 B			
				o improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the el proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation				
Choice	8 C			
to include any new	w strategic acti	•	nay be identified in the forth	s within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 ncoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supportir	ng Info	
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jir	m.ravey@	springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner				
On behalf of:						
Choice	8 C					
to include any ne	w strategic acti	ve travel links which n	-			ptions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 rt Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	9 A					
	-		of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Ter ee with this approach? - Yes /		where pla	nning permission will always be required for the change of
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	Planning enfor	cement of such a polic	y would require more than the	e planning acts to be	effective.	
Choice	9 B					
	• •		o alternative uses. This new p nmodation or other uses. Do	•	•	ing permission is required for a change of use of residential
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	Planning enfor	cement of such a polic	y would require more than the	e planning acts to be	effective.	

Customer Ref:	00793 Response l	Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey		Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner		
On behalf of:			
Choice	10 A		
		udent housing. We want to ensure t 's wellbeing. Do you agree with this	hat student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps crea ? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation	No comment		
Choice	10 B		
		h sets out a requirement for housin	g on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with
We want to creat		h sets out a requirement for housin	g on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with
We want to creat	e a new policy framework which	h sets out a requirement for housin	g on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	e a new policy framework which	h sets out a requirement for housing	
We want to creat this? - Yes / No Short Response	e a new policy framework which		
We want to creat this? - Yes / No Short Response	e a new policy framework which		
We want to creat this? - Yes / No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to creat	No New development should be as:	sessed on its merits on a case by cas etter use of stand-alone out of cent	
We want to creat this? - Yes / No Short Response Explanation Choice We want to creat	No New development should be as 10 C Se a new policy promoting the be	sessed on its merits on a case by cas etter use of stand-alone out of cent	e basis.

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supp	oorting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Ema	il jim.ravey@	Dspringfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner					
On behalf of:							
Choice	11 A						
We want to amer	nd our policy to	increase the provision	of affordable housing requ	uirement from 25	% to 35%. Do	you agree with this approac	h? - Yes / No
Short Response	No						
Explanation	% affordable h	ousing should e assess	ed on a site by site basis				
Choice	11 B						
	•	• • • •	oes and tenures – we want t or. Do you agree with this?	• •	scriptive on t	he required mix, including th	ne percentage requirement for
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation							
Choice	12 A						
Which option do	you support? -	Option 1/2/3					
Short Response	Option 3 (Blend	ded					
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / La	andowner		
On behalf of:				
Choice	12 B1			
Do you support o	r object to any o	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick	all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	12 B2			
Do you support o	r object to any o	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick	all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	12 B3			
Do you support o	r object to any o	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Please tick	all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				

Customer Ref:	00793 R	esponse Ref: A	NON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Suppo	rting Info			
Name	Jim Ravey			Email	jim.ravey@s	springfield.co.uk		
Response Type	Developer / Landowi	ner						
On behalf of:								
Choice	12 B4							
Do you support o	r object to any of the j	proposed greenfie	ld areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Suppo	rt Greenfield	- Support - East of Riccart	ton	
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	12 B5							
Do you support o	r object to any of the j	proposed greenfie	ld areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Suppo	rt Greenfield	- Support - South East Edi	inburgh	
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation								
Choice	12 B6							
Do you support o	r object to any of the I	proposed greenfie	ld areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Suppo	rt Greenfield	- Object - Calderwood		
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-	GFFU-F	Suppo	orting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey				Email	jim.ravey@springfie	ld.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / La	andowner						
On behalf of:								
Choice	12 B7							
Do you support o	or object to any	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Pl	lease tick all that	apply) - Suppo	ort Greenfield - Object	- Kirkliston	
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	12 B8							
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Pl	lease tick all that	apply) - Suppo	ort Greenfield - Object	- West Edinburgh	
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	12 B9							
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	nfield areas? (Pl	lease tick all that	apply) - Suppo	ort Greenfield - Object	- East of Riccarton	
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@	@springfield.co.uk	Ĭ
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner				L .
On behalf of:						
Choice	12 B10					
Do you support o	r object to any of th	ne proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfiel	ld - Object - South East Edinburgh	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	12 BX					
Do you support o	r object to any of th	ne proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Explain why		
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation					of worker traffic/daily commutes. By concer distance of these employment generators	
Choice	12 0					
Choice	12 C		the successful Diama Creenf	ald file weleed		
Do you nave a gro	eenfield site you wis	sh us to consider ii	n the proposed Plan? - Greenf	leid file upload		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@sprii	ngfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Land	owner				
On behalf of:						
				~		
Choice	12 C					
Do you have a gre	enfield site you wi	ish us to consider in	the proposed Plan? - Green	eld file upload		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation		_				
Choice	12 C					
Do you have a gre	enfield site you wi	ish us to consider ii	the proposed Plan? - Green	eld file upload		
Short Response	No]				
Explanation		1				
Choice	12 D					
		vish us to consider	in the proposed Plan? - Brow	field sites upload		
,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Short Response	No]				
Explanation						
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@sp	ringfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Lan	ndowner				
On behalf of:						
Choice	13 A					
			for social enterprises, start-up agree with this? - Yes / No	os, culture and tourism, innovat	ion and learning, and the low carbon	sector, where there
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	No comment					
Choice	14 A					
inclusive, sustain	able growth. We v		an area of search' which allow	-	commodate the development of a mi e uses within West Edinburgh without	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	No comment	1				
	L					
Choice	14 B					
	ove the safeguard nis approach? - Ye		for the Royal Highland Showg	round site to the south of the A	A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site	e for other uses. Do
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	No comment					

Customer Ref:	00793 Response Ref	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey		Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner		
On behalf of:			
Choice	14 C		
	n 2030 to allocate the Airport's con you agree with this approach? - Yes		ds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation	No comment		
Choice	15 A		
			will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland
providing shoppi	ng, commercial leisure, and enterta	inment and tourism activities. D	b you agree with this? - Yes / No
	Not Answered		
Explanation	No comment		
Choice	15 B		
			cal centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. ere is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes /
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation	No comment		

Customer Ref:	00793 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U	U-GFFU-F Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey	Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:		
Choice	15 C	
		e potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling s of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	No comment	
Choice	15 D	
balance of uses v		ice for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate y and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres ort? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	No comment	
Choice	15 E	
We want to supp this approach? -		l centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with
Short Response	Not Answered	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered No comment	

	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Lar	ndowner		
On behalf of:				
Chaine	45 0			
	15 G			
			orspace within centres in vith this approach? - Yes	favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres / No
Short Response	Not Answered			
• 1	No comment			
Explanation	No comment			
Choice	16 A1			
	10 AI			
We want to contir		ffice use at strategic	office locations at Edinb	urgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town
	nue to support o		office locations at Edinb	urgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town
We want to contin and local centres.	nue to support o		office locations at Edinb	urgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town
and local centres.	nue to support o		office locations at Edinb	urgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town
and local centres. Short Response Explanation	nue to support o Do you agree? - Yes In terms of susta	Yes / No inability (in order to		urgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town
and local centres. Short Response Explanation	nue to support o Do you agree? - Yes	Yes / No inability (in order to		
and local centres. Short Response Explanation	nue to support o Do you agree? - Yes In terms of susta	Yes / No inability (in order to		
and local centres. Short Response Explanation Choice	nue to support o Do you agree? - Yes In terms of susta should be consid 16 A2	Yes / No inability (in order to ered	reduce individual commu	te) - integration (or at least edge of office location designation) of residential development
and local centres. Short Response Explanation Choice	nue to support o Do you agree? - Yes In terms of susta should be consid 16 A2	Yes / No inability (in order to ered	reduce individual commu	
and local centres. Short Response Explanation Choice We want to suppo	nue to support o Do you agree? - Yes In terms of susta should be consid 16 A2	Yes / No inability (in order to ered	reduce individual commu	te) - integration (or at least edge of office location designation) of residential development

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporti	ing Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email ji	im.ravey@springf	field.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner					
On behalf of:							
Choice	16 A3						
We want to stren	gthen the requirem	nent within the cit	y centre to provide significa	int office floorspace w	vithin major mixe	ed-use developments. Do	you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation							
Choice	16 A4						
We want to amer	nd the boundary of	the Leith strategic	office location to remove a	areas with residential	development co	nsent. Do you agree? - Y	es / No
Short Response	No						
Explanation	Land shortage of he	ousing already bei	ng experienced in Edinburgh	n. If followed through -	- a site of comme	nsurate scale must be ide	entified.
Choice	16 A5						
We want to conti	nue to support offic	ce development ir	other accessible locations	elsewhere in the urba	an area. Do you a	gree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
	No comment						

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@	Dspringfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner				
On behalf of:						
Choice	16 A5					
We want to conti consider in the pr	•••	ce development in	other accessible locations else	where in the urban area. D	o you agree? - Do you have an office	e site you wish us to
Short Response						
Explanation						
Choice	16 B					
We want to ident	ify sites and locatio	ns within Edinbur	gh with potential for office dev	elopment. Do you agree w	ith this? - Yes/No	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	No comment					
Choice	16 C					
use, unless existir	ng office space is pro	ovided as part of c	lenser development. This wou	ld apply across the city to r	e redevelopment of office buildings of ecognise that office locations outwith office' policy only in the city centre.	h the city centre and

Explanation Flexibility needs to be inherent in the planning system (especially in a plan led system) that will allow policy to react to circumstances.

Short Response I support no chang

Customer Ref:	00793 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey	Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:		
Choice	16 E1	
		ide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
	rategic Business Centre	ide necessary noorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - tes 7 No -
L		
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 E2	
We want to ident Support - Newbri		ide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 E3	
	ify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to prov ighall Industrial Estate.	ide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
L		
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@	Øspringfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	wner				
On behalf of:						
Choice	16 E4					
We want to ident Support - The Cro		w modern busine	ss and industrial sites to provic	e necessary floorspace at th	e following locations. Do you agree? - Yes	s / No -
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E5					
	tify proposals for nev rrategic Business Cen		ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at th	e following locations. Do you agree? - Yes	s / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	L1					
Choice	16 E6					
We want to ident support - Newbrid		w modern busine	ss and industrial sites to provic	e necessary floorspace at th	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered					

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info		
Name	Jim Ravey			Email jim.ravey@	springfield.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Land	lowner				
On behalf of:						
Choice	16 E7					
	ify proposals for ne ighall Industrial Est		ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	es / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	L	-				
Choice	16 E8					
We want to ident support - The Cro		ew modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	es / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 EX					
We want to ident	ify proposals for no	ew modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ex	plain why
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	No comment					

Customer Ref:	00793	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-	GFFU-F	Suppo	orting Info						
Name	Jim Ravey			Email	jim.ravey@	springfield	l.co.uk					
Response Type	Developer / Land	lowner										
On behalf of:					[
Choice	16 F											
amount expected	to be re-provided	ace is provided as p , clearer criteria on y. Do you agree? - `	what constitut	-				-				
Short Response	Not Answered											
Explanation	No comment											1
												,
Choice	16 G											
Choice We want to conti		ustrial estates that	are designated	l under our curr	ent policy on Er	nployment Si	ites and Pr	emises (Em	ip 8). Do yc	ou agree? -	Yes / No	
		ustrial estates that	are designated	l under our curr	ent policy on Er	nployment Si	ites and Pr	emises (Em	p 8). Do yo	ou agree? -	Yes / No	
We want to conti		ustrial estates that	are designated	l under our curr	ent policy on Er	nployment Si	ites and Pr	emises (Em	ip 8). Do yo	ou agree? -	Yes / No	
We want to conti	inue to protect inde	ustrial estates that										
We want to conti Short Response	inue to protect inde											
We want to conti Short Response	inue to protect inde											
We want to conti Short Response	inue to protect inde											
We want to conti Short Response Explanation Choice	No Again - a flexible a		adopted - there	e is no point in p	rotecting areas	where no hop	pe of the p	olicy desigr	nation will e	ever be real	lized.	
We want to conti Short Response Explanation Choice	No Again - a flexible a	pproach should be	adopted - there	e is no point in p	rotecting areas	where no hop	pe of the p	olicy desigr	nation will e	ever be real	lized.	
We want to conti Short Response Explanation Choice	No Again - a flexible a 16 H duce a policy that p	pproach should be	adopted - there	e is no point in p	rotecting areas	where no hop	pe of the p	olicy desigr	nation will e	ever be real	lized.	

Customer Ref:	00793 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GFFU-F	Supporting Info
Name	Jim Ravey	Email jim.ravey@springfield.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:		

_

A0					V		
3	P P						
3	-						
4							
4							
				/			
							The
						SUDS	A
		7					
			b				
	Schedule	e of Accommo	odation - Priv	vate			
		Arden	1224sq. ft.	4 bed DT	17no.		
		Ardmore	950sq. ft.	3 bed SD	36no.		
		Auldearn		ft 2 bed CF			
		Balerno	1287sq. ft.		7no.		
		Braemar	1339sq. ft.		11no.		
	- 22	Roslin	1202sq. ft		23no.		
		Tiree	962sq. ft	3 bed DT	26no.		
		PZ-770	770sq. ft	2 bed	56no.		
		PZ-723 PZ-670	723sq. ft 670sq. ft	2 bed 2 bed	28no. 16no.		
		Type 1B	53.8sq. ft	2 bed 1b/2p	2no.		
		Type 2A	74.4sq. ft	2b/4p	18no.		
			73.6sq. ft		12no.		
		Type 3A	88.6sq. ft	3b/5p	10no.		
		Totals			358no.		

Schedule of Accommodation - Affordable 53.8sq. ft 1b/2p 12no. 74.4sq. ft 2b/4p 46no.

Type 3A

Totals

2B	73.6sq. ft	2b/4p

PZ-670AF 670sq. ft 2 bed 6no.

144no.

o/4p 34no. 88.6sq. ft 3b/5p 46no.





.ccommo	odation - Priv	ate							
den	1224sq. ft.	4 bed DT	11no.						
dmore	950sq. ft.	3 bed SD	10no.						
ıldearn	652/757sq.	ft 2 bed CF	48no.						
llerno	1287sq. ft.	4 bed DT	5no.						
aemar	1339sq. ft.	4 bed DT	6no.						
oslin	1202sq. ft	4 bed DT	13no.						
ee	962sq. ft	3 bed DT	16no.						
als	99,747sq. ft.		133no.						
ccommodation - Affordable									
fordable	TBC	Flats	66no.						
als	TBC sq. ft.		66no.						

