<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Rob Newton			Email robert.nev	vton@aviso	nyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer /	Landowner					
On behalf of:	Murray Estat	es EIBG Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb				
Choice	1 A						
	•	parks and green space ree with this? - Select si	s together as part of a city-wid	e, regional, and national gr	een networ	k. We want new develo	pment to connect to, and
	71 11 20 you ug.		appoint, doi: coappoint				
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation		holders support the obi	ective of making Edinburgh a m	ore sustainable, active and	connected o	city. These objectives are	already well established
	in existing LDP	policy for this site.Resp	oonding to the proposed change to the provisions of the West Ed	e, the IBG will include a netw	ork of high	-quality green spaces wh	ich will encourage
	8	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				0,000
Choice	1 B						
		o require all developme	ent (including change of use) to	include green and blue inf	rastructure	. Do you agree with this	? - Support / Object
		·					
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation	The IBG propo	osals allow for the provi	sion of green and blue infrastru	cture, including trees, living	roofs and r	nature-based drainage so	olutions.
		·	<u> </u>				

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	017	730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Su	pporting Info	Yes	S		
Name	Rol	b Newton			Em	nail robert.new	vton@	avisor@	nyoung.com	
Response Type	Dev	veloper / Lando	wner							
On behalf of:	Mu	ırray Estates Ele	3G Ltd, New Inglis	ton Ltd and FSH Airport (Ed	linb					
Choice	1	С								
We want to ident	ify aı	reas that can be	e used for future	water management to ena	ble adaptation t	o climate chang	ge. Do	you a	gree with this? - Yes / No	
•	Yes									
Explanation	The	IBG proposals o	consider how futur	re water management can	enable adaptatio	n to climate cha	ange.			
Choice	1	D								
We want to clear Yes / No	y set	out under wha	at circumstances t	he development of poor q	Juality or underu	sed open space	will k	be con	sidered acceptable. Do yo	u agree with this? -
Short Response	Not	Answered								
Explanation										
Choice	1	E								
We want to intro you agree with th			arge green space s	tandard' which recognises	that as we grow	communities w	will ne	eed acc	cess to green spaces more	than 5 hectares. Do
		-								
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation		IRG nronosals a	allow communities	s to access a variety of gree	on snaces through	out the site				
LAPIGIIGUOII	1116	iba proposais a	movv communities	to access a variety of gree	ii spaces tiii ougi	iout the site.				

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Rob Newton			Email robert.new	ton@avisonyoung.com	า	
Response Type	Developer / La	andowner					
On behalf of:	Murray Estate	es EIBG Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb				
Choice	1 F						
We want to identi this? - Yes / No	ify specific sites	for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as par	of new development sites a	nd within open space i	n the urban area.	Do you agree with
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	1 F						
We want to identi this? - Upload (ma		for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as par	of new development sites a	nd within open space i	n the urban area.	Do you agree with
Short Response	No						
Explanation							
Choice	1 G						
		ditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potentia	I for green and woodland bur	ials. Do vou agree with	h this? - Yes / No	
	,	, <b>,</b>	,				
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	1 H	
We want to revis  Do you agree wit		w green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place.
, 0	•	
<b>Short Response</b>	Yes	
Explanation	The IBG proposals ensure there are long term maintenance and manag	gement arrangements in place for new green spaces.
Choice	2 A	
		ents, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt eople with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
<b>Short Response</b>	Yes	
Explanation	representation document describes their aspirations for high-quality plathis and responding to the various proposed changes in Choices, the pr	ensity and accessibility of development in Edinburgh. Section 1 of the IBG stakeholders accemaking and the excellent accessibility credentials of the subject site. Building on roposals have already demonstrated how they will incorporate measures to tackle and and ensuring they are accessible to people with varying needs, age and mobility issues.

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	2 B	
	e our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the lim	ited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? -
Yes / No		
	[	
•	Yes	
Explanation	The IBG proposals will deliver an appropriate level of density consist ensure that sites are not under-developed.	tent with the objective of making the best use of the limited space in the city and to
	erisare that sites are not ander developed.	
Choice	2 C	
We want to revise	e our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliv	ver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	The IBG proposals will create places, streets and road layouts that re Scottish Planning Policy.	eflect the council's Street Design Guidance and the six qualities of successful places in
Choice	2 D	
	lopment, including student housing, to deliver quality open space a	and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing
	agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	The IBG proposals will deliver quality open space and public realm the	nat will be capable of being used for a range of activities.

Customer Ref:	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	3 A	
	ings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards a neet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new	is set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?
	·	
<b>Short Response</b>	Current Building S	
Explanation		extent of masterplanning carried out by the IBG stakeholders and the related policy he subject site. This is very much aligned with the objectives of Choice 4 and will be a
Choice	4 A	
	with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites wi althcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree wi	thin City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, th this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	4 B	
	ort Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 20 ions How should the Council work with local communities to prepa	030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support are Local Place Plans?
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	Not Answered	

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton			Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner		
On behalf of:	Murray Estat	es EIBG Ltd, New Inglist	ton Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	

#### Choice 5 A

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

## **Explanation**

The IBG stakeholders support the principle of ensuring that new development is appropriately supported by necessary infrastructure. As set out in the MIR. this can be achieved by directing development to locations where there is existing infrastructure or where it can be accommodated. Pursuant to this objective, the site is a unique proposition in that significant transport infrastructure is already in place both within the site itself (being the tram) and at nearby locations, such as Edinburgh Gateway Station and the Ingliston Park & Ride. In terms of the MIR objectives, it demonstrates the sites credentials for reducing carbon emissions, promoting equity, supporting healthier lifestyles and delivering sustainable economic growth. It also demonstrates alignment with the council's aspirations as described in its draft City Mobility Plan. In relation to other transport infrastructure, the IBG phase 1 stakeholders support the principle of a strategic transport corridor towards Newbridge and IBG (corridor 7) and how the development of transit-led solutions (including Bus Rapid Transit and Tram) would support existing major development locally as well as supporting regional level commuting. The decision by the Council to grant PPP for the IBG phase 1 was subject to concluding a jointly agreed Section 75 Agreement which already includes other contributions towards delivering community infrastructure, such as education and healthcare provision.

Cha	nice	5	
V.111	110.0		- 10

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

**Short Response** Yes

Customer Ref:	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	5 C	
	t the desire to co-locate our community services close to the commu ee with this? - Yes / No	unities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	5 D1	
We want to set or	t in the plan where development will be expected to contribute tov	vard new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	5 D2	
We want to use co	imulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, co	osts and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730 Res	sponse Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8		Supporting Info	Yes			
Name	Rob Newton				Email robert.nev	vton@avison	young.com		
Response Type	Developer / Landowne	r							
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Lt	d, New Inglistor	n Ltd and FSH Airpor	t (Edinb					
Choice	5 E								
We want to stop you agree with th	using supplementary gui nis? - Yes / No	idance and set o	out guidance for de	veloper contril	butions within the pla	n, Action Pro	ogramme and in n	on-statutory	guidance. Do
<b>Short Response</b>	Yes								
Explanation									
Choice	6 A								
0.10100									
We want to creat		sses developme	ent against its ability	to meet our t	argets for public trans	sport usage a	and walking and co	vcling. These	targets will vary
	te a new policy that asses current or planned public	•			•			ycling. These	e targets will vary
	te a new policy that asses	•			•			ycling. These	e targets will vary
	te a new policy that asses	•			•			ycling. These	e targets will vary
according to the	te a new policy that assessed current or planned public Yes  The IBG stakeholders su	c transport serv	rices and high-qualit	ey active travel	routes. Do you agree	with this? - '	Yes / No	rt, walking ar	nd cycling to
Short Response	te a new policy that assest current or planned public Yes  The IBG stakeholders su encourage active and he	pport the object	tive of creating place	es that focus or states that all	n people not cars and development must be	with this? - Y	Yes / No  se public transpor by existing or plan	rt, walking an	nd cycling to blic transport,
Short Response	te a new policy that assessed current or planned public Yes  The IBG stakeholders su	pport the object ealthy lives. To a	tive of creating place achieve this, the MIR escribed above, these	es that focus or states that all e characteristic	n people not cars and development must be	with this? - Y	Yes / No  se public transpor by existing or plan	rt, walking an	nd cycling to blic transport,
Short Response	Yes  The IBG stakeholders su encourage active and he walking and cycling infra	pport the object ealthy lives. To a	tive of creating place achieve this, the MIR escribed above, these	es that focus or states that all e characteristic	n people not cars and development must be	with this? - Y	Yes / No  se public transpor by existing or plan	rt, walking an	nd cycling to blic transport,
Short Response	Yes  The IBG stakeholders su encourage active and he walking and cycling infra	pport the object ealthy lives. To a	tive of creating place achieve this, the MIR escribed above, these	es that focus or states that all e characteristic	n people not cars and development must be	with this? - Y	Yes / No  se public transpor by existing or plan	rt, walking an	nd cycling to blic transport,
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to use F	Yes  The IBG stakeholders su encourage active and he walking and cycling infra much aligned with the p	pport the object ealthy lives. To a estructure. As de roposed change	tive of creating place achieve this, the MIR escribed above, theses being sought by the walking, cycling an	es that focus or states that all e characteristic ne council.	n people not cars and velopment must be as are already embedded	with this? - You which priorities well served ed within the	res / No se public transpor by existing or plan site and as such, t	rt, walking ar nned new pu the proposal	nd cycling to blic transport, s are very
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to use F	Yes  The IBG stakeholders su encourage active and he walking and cycling infra much aligned with the p	pport the object ealthy lives. To a estructure. As de roposed change	tive of creating place achieve this, the MIR escribed above, theses being sought by the walking, cycling an	es that focus or states that all e characteristic ne council.	n people not cars and velopment must be as are already embedded	with this? - You which priorities well served ed within the	res / No se public transpor by existing or plan site and as such, t	rt, walking ar nned new pu the proposal	nd cycling to blic transport, s are very
Short Response Explanation  Choice  We want to use Fappropriate park	Yes  The IBG stakeholders su encourage active and he walking and cycling inframuch aligned with the policy of B.  Place Briefs to set the targing levels to support high	pport the object ealthy lives. To a estructure. As de roposed change	tive of creating place achieve this, the MIR escribed above, theses being sought by the walking, cycling an	es that focus or states that all e characteristic ne council.	n people not cars and velopment must be as are already embedded	with this? - You which priorities well served ed within the	res / No se public transpor by existing or plan site and as such, t	rt, walking ar nned new pu the proposal	nd cycling to blic transport, s are very
Short Response Explanation  Choice  We want to use Fappropriate park	Yes  The IBG stakeholders su encourage active and he walking and cycling inframuch aligned with the policy of B.  Place Briefs to set the targing levels to support high	pport the object ealthy lives. To a estructure. As de roposed change	tive of creating place achieve this, the MIR escribed above, theses being sought by the walking, cycling an	es that focus or states that all e characteristic ne council.	n people not cars and velopment must be as are already embedded	with this? - You which priorities well served ed within the	res / No se public transpor by existing or plan site and as such, t	rt, walking ar nned new pu the proposal	nd cycling to blic transport, s are very
Short Response Explanation  Choice  We want to use Fappropriate park	Yes  The IBG stakeholders su encourage active and he walking and cycling inframuch aligned with the policy of B.  Place Briefs to set the targing levels to support high	pport the object ealthy lives. To a estructure. As de roposed change	tive of creating place achieve this, the MIR escribed above, theses being sought by the walking, cycling an	es that focus or states that all e characteristic ne council.	n people not cars and velopment must be as are already embedded	with this? - You which priorities well served ed within the	res / No se public transpor by existing or plan site and as such, t	rt, walking ar nned new pu the proposal	nd cycling to blic transport, s are very

Customer Ref:	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
	•	
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	7 A	
	mine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by wa will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. D	alking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development yo you agree with this? - Yes / No
<b>Short Response</b>	Yes	
Explanation	objective, such as excellent public transport provision. Furthermore,	ith Choice 6, the site can already boast the necessary credentials required to achieve this the masterplan proposals will create an environment that prioritises walking and cycling wehicle charging. As such, the proposals are very much aligned with the proposed
Choice	7 B	
We want to prote you agree with the		tre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	7 C	
We want to upda agree with this? -		r bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you

Explanation

Short Response Not Answered

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	7 D	
Choice	/ U	
Mobility Plan or in		ark and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City ork and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and on plan.
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	8 A	
We want to upda	te our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for ic	dentifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	The masterplan has been designed to be a primarily pedestrian and cycle existing public transport infrastructure of the tram stop at Ingliston park a	g and cycling routes as part of the aim of Edinburgh being a green, connected city. priority environment to facilitate active streets and public spaces, building on the and ride. The Council's decision to grant PPP was also based on providing a section of the north of the A8. The masterplan will therefore create an environment that ry much aligned with the proposed changes being sought by the council.

Customer Ref:	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Suppo	orting Info	Yes		
Name	Rob Newton			Email	robert.new	rton@avisc	onyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / La	ndowner						
On behalf of:	Murray Estates	s EIBG Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb					
Choice	8 B							
•			uncil and partner projects to ir o our network as active travel p		_		•	
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	8 C							
to include any nev	w strategic activ	•	er strategic active travel links w hay be identified in the forthco ns / No	-		-		-
	-							
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	8 C							
to include any nev	w strategic activ	e travel links which m	er strategic active travel links w hay be identified in the forthco load new cycle routes	•		•		•
Short Response	No							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Suppo	orting Info	Yes			
Name	Rob Newton			Email	robert.new	rton@avisor	nyoung.com		
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner							
On behalf of:	Murray Estate	es EIBG Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb						
Choice	9 A								
	_		of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term		ea' where pla	anning pern	nission will always be	required for	the change of
use of whole prop	erties for short	t-term lets. Do you agr	ee with this approach? - Yes / I	10					
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								
Choice	9 B								
			o alternative uses. This new po	•	•		sion is required for a	change of us	e of residential
flats and houses t	o short-stay co	mmercial visitor accon	nmodation or other uses. Do yo	ou agree with th	nis? - Yes / N	0			
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								
Choice	10 A								
		-	housing. We want to ensure th		sing is delive	ered at the r	right scale and in the	right location	ns, helps create
sustainable comn	nunities and loc	oks after student's wel	lbeing. Do you agree with this?	- Yes / No					
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-	GP82-8	Suppo	orting Info	Yes	
Name	Rob Newton				Email	robert.new	ton@avisonyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / Landow	ner						
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG	Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSF	l Airport (Edinb				
Choice	10 B							
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	e a new policy framev	vork which sets	out a requiren	nent for housing	on all sites ove	r a certain siz	ze coming forward for development. Do y	ou agree with
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	10 C							
	e a new policy promo would be supported.	-			retail units an	d commercia	al centres, where their redevelopment for	mixed use
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	11 A							
We want to amer	nd our policy to increa	se the provision	of affordable	housing requirer	nent from 25%	to 35%. Do y	you agree with this approach? - Yes / No	
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							

Customer Ref:	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
	•	
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	11 B	
_	n 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan od support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / N	n to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	Not Answered	
Chaine	12. A	
Choice	12 A	
Which option do	you support? - Option 1/2/3	
<b>Short Response</b>	Option 3 (Blended	
Explanation	development site. The nature and scale of proposed residential use in th has already been endorsed by the Council and would appropriately contri	Indy supported through the current LDP where the site is allocated as a housing the PPP proposals (being 396 units for Class 9 residential and sui-generis flatted use) ribute toward placemaking and sustainable development objectives by ensuring that rs. The above points demonstrate that it would therefore be appropriate for a new the adopted LDP into the City Plan 2030 Proposed Plan.
Choice	12 B1	
	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that ap	ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	12 B2	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that ap	ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B3	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that ap	ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B4	
	12 B4 or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that ap	ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
		ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that ap	ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that ap	ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton
Do you support of Short Response	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that ap	ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Riccarton

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730 Response Re	ef: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info	Yes
Name	Rob Newton		Email robert.new	rton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner			
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New In	ngliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb		
Choice	12 B5			
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed g	reenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - South East Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	12 B6			
Do you support o		reenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Object - Calderwood
Do you support o		reenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Object - Calderwood
		reenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Object - Calderwood
	r object to any of the proposed g	reenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Object - Calderwood
Short Response	r object to any of the proposed g	reenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Object - Calderwood
Short Response	r object to any of the proposed g	reenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Object - Calderwood
Short Response	r object to any of the proposed g	reenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Object - Calderwood
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered  12 B7	reenfield areas? (Please tick all that		
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered  12 B7	·		
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered  12 B7  Trobject to any of the proposed ground in the p	·		
Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of	Not Answered  12 B7  Trobject to any of the proposed ground in the p	·		

Customer Ref:	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	12 B8	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B9	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton
bo you support o		
Do you support		
Short Response		
Short Response		
Short Response		
Short Response		
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered	
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered  12 B10	
Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered  12 B10  r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	
Short Response Explanation  Choice  Do you support of	Not Answered  12 B10  r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	
Short Response Explanation  Choice  Do you support of the state of the	Not Answered  12 B10  r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Suppo	rting Info	Yes		
Name	Rob Newton			Email	robert.new	rton@avison	nyoung.com	1
Response Type	Developer / Lar	ndowner						
On behalf of:	Murray Estates	EIBG Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb					
Choice	12 BX							
Do you support o	r object to any of	f the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Explair	n why			
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	12 C							
Do you have a gre	eenfield site you	wish us to consider in	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfie	ld file upload				
Short Response Explanation	No							
Choice	12 C							
Do you have a gre	eenfield site you	wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfie	eld file upload				
Short Response	No							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP	982-8	Supporting Info	Yes	
Name	Rob Newton				Email robert.new	rton@avisonyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / Land	lowner					
On behalf of:	Murray Estates El	IBG Ltd, New Inglist	ton Ltd and FSH Ai	irport (Edinb			
Choice	12 C						
Do you have a gre	eenfield site you wi	ish us to consider i	n the proposed Pl	an? - Greenfield	d file upload		
Short Response	No						
Explanation							
Choice	12 D						
Do you have a bro	ownfield site you w	vish us to consider	in the proposed P	Plan? - Brownfie	ld sites upload		
Short Response	No						
Explanation		<u>.</u>					

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	

#### Choice 13 A

We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

**Explanation** 

The IBG stakeholders support the aspirations to support inclusive growth, innovation, universities and culture. The masterplan proposals endorsed by the Council have already demonstrated how the site can help to achieve these aims. Indeed, it is encouraging to note that West Edinburgh is already noted in the proposed change' for the contribution that it could make to these aims. The masterplan proposals are entirely aligned with the overarching objective of seeking good growth for Edinburgh. The business space proposed has the potential to cater for a wide variety of needs, including those referred to within the 'proposed change'.

#### Choice 14 A

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response Yes

**Explanation** 

The IBG stakeholders support the principle of a dedicated 'Choice' on 'delivering West Edinburgh' and would strongly endorse that such an approach is carried forward into the Proposed Plan for all the reasons set out in the introduction to this 'choice'. They are aware of the emerging West Edinburgh Spatial Study and look forward to making further contributions to its preparation, as key stakeholders. Phase 1 of IBG can obviously play a key role in delivering the full potential of the area, in this regard. The IBG stakeholders support the general intent behind 'Proposed Change A', insofar as site allocations in City Plan 2030 should support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. To this end, the current LDP site allocation for mixed use development should obviously be carried into the City Plan 2030 Proposed Plan. Focussing on the matter of 'delivery' within West Edinburgh, the Council has already endorsed the many benefits of the site. It has excellent sustainable transport accessibility and is uniquely 'infrastructure-ready' to start receiving development. The site can appropriately be considered as an 'effective' development opportunity in this regard.

Customer Ref:	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Ir	<b>yes</b>		
Name	Rob Newton			<b>Email</b> rober	t.newton@avis	onyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner					
On behalf of:	Murray Estate	es EIBG Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb				
Choice	14 B						
We want to remo you agree with th	_		for the Royal Highland Showgr	ound site to the south	of the A8 at No	orton Park and allocate the site	e for other uses. Do
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	14 C						
		te the Airport's continthis approach? - Yes /		s runway" for the deve	elopment of alt	ernative uses next to the Edin	burgh Gateway
	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	15 A						
			first' approach. City Plan 2030 ment and tourism activities. Do	•	•	tre as the regional core of sout	h east Scotland
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						

						1			
Customer Ref:	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8		Supporting Info	Yes			
Name	Rob Newton				Email robert.nev	wton@aviso	onyoung.com	n	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner							
On behalf of:	Murray Estates El	BG Ltd, New Inglis	ton Ltd and FSH Airpor	t (Edinb					
Choice	15 B								
	_		lowed within our town mitted only in areas w						mercial Needs study. e. Do you agree? - Yes /
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								
Choice	15 C								
	_		including the potenti the outcomes of the C			-	anges where	they support v	valking and cycling
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								
Choice	15 D								
balance of uses w	vithin our centres to	maintain their vi	entary guidance for ou tality, viability and del do you support? - Ye	liver good placer		-			
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered								
Explanation	Not Answered								

Customer Ref:	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Rob Newton			Email robert.new	vton@aviso	onyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner					
On behalf of:	Murray Estates Ell	BG Ltd, New Inglis	ton Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb				
Choice	15 E						
We want to supporthis approach? - Y	•	sion in local, towr	, commercial centres and other	locations with good public	transport	access throughout Edinbu	urgh. Do you agree with
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	15 G						
	•	-	orspace within centres in favour with this approach? - Yes / No	of alternative uses such as	s increased	leisure provision and per	mit commercial centres
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	16 A1						
	nue to support offic Do you agree? - Ye	_	office locations at Edinburgh Pa	ark/South Gyle, the Interna	ational Busi	iness Gateway, Leith, the	city centre, and in town
Short Response	Yes						
	significant contribu create new jobs for	tion toward ensur Scotland. To this	ntation document, the nature an ing that City Plan 2030 can deliv end, the IBG stakeholders suppo cil Officers to agree an appropria	er enough floorspace to me rt the continued identificati	eet the City' ion of the s	's demands. It will attract i ite as a 'strategic office loo	inward investment and

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info	Yes		
Name	Rob Newton			Email robert.new	vton@aviso	nyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner					
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EI	BG Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSH Airport (Ed	linb			
Choice	16 A2						
We want to supp	ort office developm	ent at commercia	I centres as these also prov	vide accessible locations Yes /	No No		
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Chaine	46 40						
Choice	16 A3						
		ent within the city	/ centre to provide signific	ant office floorspace within maj	jor mixed-u	se developments. Do yo	u agree? - Yes / No
		ent within the city	y centre to provide signific	cant office floorspace within maj	jor mixed-u	se developments. Do yo	u agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren		ent within the city	y centre to provide signific	cant office floorspace within maj	jor mixed-u	se developments. Do yo	u agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren	gthen the requirem	ent within the city	y centre to provide signific	cant office floorspace within maj	jor mixed-u	se developments. Do yo	u agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren	gthen the requirem	ent within the city	y centre to provide signific	cant office floorspace within maj	jor mixed-u	se developments. Do yo	u agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren	gthen the requirem	ent within the city	y centre to provide signific	ant office floorspace within maj	jor mixed-u	se developments. Do yo	u agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren	gthen the requirem	ent within the city	y centre to provide signific	cant office floorspace within maj	jor mixed-u	se developments. Do yo	u agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice	gthen the requirem  Not Answered  16 A4			cant office floorspace within maj			
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice	gthen the requirem  Not Answered  16 A4						
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice We want to amen	gthen the requirem  Not Answered  16 A4						
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice We want to amen	gthen the requirem  Not Answered  16 A4  nd the boundary of						

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730 Response Ref: ANON	N-KU2U-GP82-8 Supporting Info	Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.no	ewton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner		
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd	and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	16 A5		
We want to conti	nue to support office development in other	accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area.	Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation			
Choice	16 A5		
We want to conti consider in the pr	· ·	accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area.	Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to
Short Response			
Short Response			
Explanation			
•			
Explanation	16 B		
Explanation Choice	16 B	notantial for office development. Do you agree	with this? - Vas/No
Explanation Choice		n potential for office development. Do you agree	with this? - Yes/No
Explanation  Choice  We want to ident	fy sites and locations within Edinburgh with	n potential for office development. Do you agree	with this? - Yes/No
Explanation  Choice  We want to ident  Short Response	fy sites and locations within Edinburgh with	n potential for office development. Do you agree	with this? - Yes/No
Explanation  Choice  We want to ident	fy sites and locations within Edinburgh with	n potential for office development. Do you agree	with this? - Yes/No

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info Yes
Name	Rob Newton	Email robert.newton@avisonyoung.com
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb	
Choice	16 C	
use, unless existir		s would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office oly across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and ld Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre Yes / No
or a tegre of five io	sale in a compensation in the compensation in the compensation of the compensation in	a made a less of ornice point, orn, in the day contact. Test, its
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	16 E1	
	ify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necestategic Business Centre	essary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
опристем и		
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Choice 16 E2

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Newbridge

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Suppo	rting Info	Yes		
Name	Rob Newton			Email	robert.new		nvoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / La	andowner					.,,	
On behalf of:			ton Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Choice	16 E3							
We want to identi Support - Newcra			ss and industrial sites to provide	necessary floo	rspace at the	e following	locations. Do you agree? - Yes	/ No -
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	16 E4							
We want to ident Support - The Cro			ss and industrial sites to provide	necessary floo	rspace at the	e following	locations. Do you agree? - Yes	/ No -
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	16 E5							
We want to ident support - Leith St			ss and industrial sites to provide	e necessary floo	rspace at the	e following	locations. Do you agree? - Yes	/ No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								

Customer Ref:	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporti	ing Info	Yes		
Name	Rob Newton			Email r	obert.new	ton@avison	young.com	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner						
On behalf of:	Murray Estates El	BG Ltd, New Inglist	on Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb					
Choice	16 E6							
We want to identi support - Newbrid		w modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provide	necessary floors	pace at the	e following l	ocations. Do you agree	e? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	16 E7							
	ify proposals for ne		ss and industrial sites to provide	necessary floors	pace at the	e following l	ocations. Do you agree	e? - Yes / No - Do not
	_							
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation								
Choice	16 E8							
		w modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provide	necessary floors	pace at the	e following I	ocations. Do you agree	e? - Yes / No - Do not
support - The Cro	sswinds Runway							

Short Response Not Answered

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Supporting Info	Yes	
Name	Rob Newton			Email robert.nev	rton@avisonyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / Lai	ndowner				
On behalf of:	Murray Estates	EIBG Ltd, New Inglist	ton Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb			
Choice	16 EX					
We want to ident	tify proposals for	new modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provi	de necessary floorspace at th	e following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why	
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	16 F					
						_
amount expected	d to be re-provide	ed, clearer criteria on	what constitutes flexible bus		Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out to ver it, including the location on-site, and considering	he
amount expected	d to be re-provide		what constitutes flexible bus		_	he
amount expected	d to be re-provide	ed, clearer criteria on	what constitutes flexible bus		_	he
amount expected adjacent uses, se	d to be re-provide rvicing and visibil	ed, clearer criteria on	what constitutes flexible bus		_	he
amount expected adjacent uses, se	d to be re-provide rvicing and visibil Not Answered	ed, clearer criteria on	what constitutes flexible bus		_	he
amount expected adjacent uses, se Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered	ed, clearer criteria on	what constitutes flexible bus		_	he
amount expected adjacent uses, se Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered  Not G	ed, clearer criteria on lity. Do you agree? -	what constitutes flexible bus Yes / No	iness space, and how to deli	ver it, including the location on-site, and considering	he
amount expected adjacent uses, se Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered  Not G	ed, clearer criteria on lity. Do you agree? -	what constitutes flexible bus Yes / No	iness space, and how to deli	_	he
amount expected adjacent uses, see Short Response Explanation  Choice  We want to continue	Not Answered Not Answered  Not G  inue to protect in	ed, clearer criteria on lity. Do you agree? -	what constitutes flexible bus Yes / No	iness space, and how to deli	ver it, including the location on-site, and considering	he
amount expected adjacent uses, see Short Response Explanation  Choice  We want to continue Short Response	Not Answered Not Answered  Not G	ed, clearer criteria on lity. Do you agree? -	what constitutes flexible bus Yes / No	iness space, and how to deli	ver it, including the location on-site, and considering	he
amount expected adjacent uses, see Short Response Explanation  Choice  We want to continue	Not Answered  16 G  Inue to protect in	ed, clearer criteria on lity. Do you agree? -	what constitutes flexible bus Yes / No	iness space, and how to deli	ver it, including the location on-site, and considering	he

<b>Customer Ref:</b>	01730	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP82-8	Suppo	rting Info		Yes	
Name	Rob Newton			Email	robert.nev	wto	on@avisonyoung.com	
Response Type	Developer / La	ndowner						
On behalf of:	Murray Estate:	s EIBG Ltd, New Inglis	ton Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinb					
Choice	16 H							
We want to intro	duce a policy tha	at provides criteria fo	r locations that we would supp	ort city-wide ar	nd neighbou	urh	ood goods distribution hubs. Do you agr	ee? - Yes / No
<b>Short Response</b>	Not Answered							

Explanation

Not Answered

# Response ID ANON-KU2U-GP82-8

Submitted to Choices for City Plan 2030 Submitted on 2020-04-30 12:09:52

#### Your information and data

1 What is your name?

Name:

Rob Newton

2 What is your email address?

Email:

robert.newton@avisonyoung.com

3. If you do not have an email address What is your address?

#### Full address including postcode:

Avison Young, 40 Torphicen Street, Edinburgh EH3 8JB

4 I am responding as

Developer / Landowner

5 IF you are responding on behalf of an organisation or an other individual, what is their name?

#### Agent on behalf of:

Murray Estates EIBG Ltd, New Ingliston Ltd and FSH Airport (Edinburgh) Services Ltd

6 I agree to my response being published to this consultation.

Yes

## Choice 1 - Making Edinburgh a sustainable, active and connected city

1A We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this?

Yes

## Explain why:

The IBG stakeholders support the objective of making Edinburgh a more sustainable, active and connected city. These objectives are already well established in existing LDP policy for this site.

Responding to the proposed change, the IBG will include a network of high-quality green spaces which will encourage more walking and cycling. Adhering to the provisions of the West Edinburgh Strategic Landscape Framework will be relevant in this regard.

1B We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this?

Yes

## Explain why:

The IBG proposals allow for the provision of green and blue infrastructure, including trees, living roofs and nature-based drainage solutions.

1C We want to identify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptation to climate change. Do you agree with this?

Yes

## Explain why:

The IBG proposals consider how future water management can enable adaptation to climate change.

1D We want to clearly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this?

Not Answered

Explain why:	
1E We want to introduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do you agree with this?	
Yes	
Explain why: The IBG proposals allow communities to access a variety of green spaces throughout the site.	
1F We want to identify specific sites for new allotments and food growing, both as part of new development sites and within open space the urban area. Do you agree with this?	in
Not Answered	
Explain why:	
Upload (max size 3mb): No file was uploaded	
1G We want to identify space for additional cemetery provision, including the potential for green and woodland burials. Do you agree wit this?	:h
Not Answered	
Explain why:	
1H We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this?	
Yes	
Explain why:  The IBG proposals ensure there are long term maintenance and management arrangements in place for new green spaces.	
Choice 2 - Improving the quality and density of development	
2A We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts.	ith
Yes	
Explain why:  The IBG stakeholders support the objective of improving the quality, density and accessibility of development in Edinburgh. Section 1 of the IBG stakeholders representation document describes their aspirations for high-quality placemaking and the excellent accessibility credentials of the subject site.	
Building on this and responding to the various proposed changes in Choices, the proposals have already demonstrated how they will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, including the future adaptability of buildings and ensuring they are accessible to people with varying needs, age and mobilit issues.	ıy
2B We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this?	
Yes	
Explain why:  The IBG proposals will deliver an appropriate level of density consistent with the objective of making the best use of the limited space in the city and to ensure that sites are not under-developed.	
2C We want to revise our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this?	

The IBG proposals will create places, streets and road layouts that reflect the council's Street Design Guidance and the six qualities of successful places in

Yes

Explain why:

Scottish Planning Policy.

2D We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this?
Yes
Explain why:  The IBG proposals will deliver quality open space and public realm that will be capable of being used for a range of activities.
Choice 3 - Delivering carbon neutral buildings
2A We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet?
Current Building Standards (currently bronze)
Explain why:  Section 1 of the representation document already demonstrates the extent of masterplanning carried out by the IBG stakeholders and the related policy framework which is already in place to guide future development at the subject site. This is very much aligned with the objectives of Choice 4 and will be a key part of the development delivery stages that follow.
Choice 5 - Delivering community infrastructure
5A We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this?
Yes
Explain why:  The IBG stakeholders support the principle of ensuring that new development is appropriately supported by necessary infrastructure. As set out in the MIR, this can be achieved by directing development to locations where there is existing infrastructure or where it can be accommodated.
Pursuant to this objective, the site is a unique proposition in that significant transport infrastructure is already in place both within the site itself (being the tram) and at nearby locations, such as Edinburgh Gateway Station and the Ingliston Park & Ride. In terms of the MIR objectives, it demonstrates the sites credentials for reducing carbon emissions, promoting equity, supporting healthier lifestyles and delivering sustainable economic growth. It also demonstrates alignment with the council's aspirations as described in its draft City Mobility Plan.
In relation to other transport infrastructure, the IBG phase 1 stakeholders support the principle of a strategic transport corridor towards Newbridge and IBG (corridor 7) and how the development of transit-led solutions (including Bus Rapid Transit and Tram) would support existing major development locally as well as supporting regional level commuting.
The decision by the Council to grant PPP for the IBG phase 1 was subject to concluding a jointly agreed Section 75 Agreement which already includes other contributions towards delivering community infrastructure, such as education and healthcare provision.
5B We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this?
Yes
Explain why:
5C We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to travel. Do you agree with this?
Yes
Explain why:
5D.1 We want to set out in the plan where development will be expected to contribute toward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this?
Yes
Explain why:

5D.2 We want to use cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions, costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree

with this?

5E We want to stop using supplementary guidance and set out guidance for developer contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do you agree with this?

Yes

**Explain why:** 

## Choice 6 - Creating places for people, not cars

6A We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this?

Yes

## Explain why:

The IBG stakeholders support the objective of creating places that focus on people not cars and which prioritise public transport, walking and cycling to encourage active and healthy lives. To achieve this, the MIR states that all development must be well served by existing or planned new public transport, walking and cycling infrastructure.

As described above, these characteristics are already embedded within the site and as such, the proposals are very much aligned with the proposed changes being sought by the council.

6B We want to use Place Briefs to set the targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This will determine appropriate parking levels to support high use of public transport. Do you agree with this?

Not Answered

Explain why:

### Choice 7 - Supporting the reduction in car use in Edinburgh

7A We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this?

Yes

#### **Explain why:**

The IBG stakeholders support the objective of reducing car use. As with Choice 6, the site can already boast the necessary credentials required to achieve this objective, such as excellent public transport provision. Furthermore, the masterplan proposals will create an environment that prioritises walking and cycling over car use, as well as providing infrastructure that supports electric vehicle charging. As such, the proposals are very much aligned with the proposed changes being sought by the council.

7B We want to protect against the development of additional car parking in the city centre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do you agree with this?

Not Answered

Explain why:

7C We want to update our parking policies to control demand and to support parking for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you agree with this?

Not Answered

Explain why:

7D We want to support the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City Mobility Plan or its action plan. Do you agree with this?

Yes

Explain why:

# Choice 8 - Delivering new walking and cycle routes

8A We want to update our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this?

Yes

#### Explain why:

The IBG stakeholders support the objective of delivering strategic walking and cycling routes as part of the aim of Edinburgh being a green, connected city. The masterplan has been designed to be a primarily pedestrian and cycle priority environment to facilitate active streets and public spaces, building on the existing public transport infrastructure of the tram stop at Ingliston park and ride. The Council's decision to grant PPP was also based on providing a section of the missing cycle and pedestrian link from the site to the RBS junction to the north of the A8. The masterplan will therefore create an environment that prioritises walking and cycling over car use. As such, the proposals are very much aligned with the proposed changes being sought by the council.

8B As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this?

Not Answered

8C We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this?

Not Answered

Explain why:

### Upload new cycle routes:

No file was uploaded

# Choice 12 - Building our new homes and infrastructure

12A Which option do you support?

Option 3 (Blended approach)

### Explain why you support that option, or why haven't chosen an option:

The principle of housing as a component of a mixed use proposal is already supported through the current LDP where the site is allocated as a housing development site. The nature and scale of proposed residential use in the PPP proposals (being 396 units for Class 9 residential and sui-generis flatted use) has already been endorsed by the Council and would appropriately contribute toward placemaking and sustainable development objectives by ensuring that a critical mass of movement and activity is retained outside of office hours.

The above points demonstrate that it would therefore be appropriate for a new housing development allocation at the site to be carried forward from the adopted LDP into the City Plan 2030 Proposed Plan.

12B Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply)

Support Greenfield - Support:

Support Greenfield - Object:

Explain why:

12C Do you have a greenfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Greenfield file upload:

No file was uploaded

Greenfield file upload:

No file was uploaded

Greenfield file upload:

No file was uploaded

12D Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?

Brownfield sites upload:

No file was uploaded

Choice 13 - Supporting inclusive growth, innovation, universities, & culture

13A We want to create a new policy that provides support for social enterprises, start-ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where there is a contribution to good growth for Edinburgh. Do you agree with this?

Yes

#### Explain why:

The IBG stakeholders support the aspirations to support inclusive growth, innovation, universities and culture. The masterplan proposals endorsed by the Council have already demonstrated how the site can help to achieve these aims. Indeed, it is encouraging to note that West Edinburgh is already noted in the 'proposed change' for the contribution that it could make to these aims. The masterplan proposals are entirely aligned with the overarching objective of seeking good growth for Edinburgh.

The business space proposed has the potential to cater for a wide variety of needs, including those referred to within the 'proposed change'.

#### **Choice 14 - Delivering West Edinburgh**

14A We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach?

Yes

#### Explain why:

The IBG stakeholders support the principle of a dedicated 'Choice' on 'delivering West Edinburgh' and would strongly endorse that such an approach is carried forward into the Proposed Plan for all the reasons set out in the introduction to this 'choice'. They are aware of the emerging West Edinburgh Spatial Study and look forward to making further contributions to its preparation, as key stakeholders. Phase 1 of IBG can obviously play a key role in delivering the full potential of the area, in this regard.

The IBG stakeholders support the general intent behind 'Proposed Change A', insofar as site allocations in City Plan 2030 should support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. To this end, the current LDP site allocation for mixed use development should obviously be carried into the City Plan 2030 Proposed Plan.

Focussing on the matter of 'delivery' within West Edinburgh, the Council has already endorsed the many benefits of the site. It has excellent sustainable transport accessibility and is uniquely 'infrastructure-ready' to start receiving development. The site can appropriately be considered as an 'effective' development opportunity in this regard.

14B We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach?

Not Answered

**Explain why:** 

14C We want City Plan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway interchange. Do you agree with this approach?

Not Answered

Explain why:

# Choice 16 (part 1) - Delivering office floorspace

16A.1 We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree?

Yes

## **Explain why:**

As set out in section 1 of the representation document, the nature and scale of business use already endorsed by the Council at the site would make a significant contribution toward ensuring that City Plan 2030 can deliver enough floorspace to meet the City's demands. It will attract inward investment and create new jobs for Scotland. To this end, the IBG stakeholders support the continued identification of the site as a 'strategic office location' and would welcome further dialogue with Council Officers to agree an appropriately worded site allocation as part of the Proposed Plan.

16A.2 We want to support office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations.

Not Answered

**Explain why:** 

16A.3 We want to strengthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree?
Not Answered
Explain why:
16A.4 We want to amend the boundary of the Leith strategic office location to remove areas with residential development consent. Do you agree?
Not Answered
Explain why:
16A.5 We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree?
Not Answered
Explain why:
Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?:  No file was uploaded
16B We want to identify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office development. Do you agree with this?
Not Answered
Explain why:
16C We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre.
Not Answered
Explain why: