| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWG | GU-1 | Suppo | rting Info | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------|
| Name | Kevin Roberts | on | | | Email | kevin@kr-de | evelopme | nts.co.uk | | | Ī | |
| Response Type | Developer / La | andowner | | · | | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developme | ents Group Limited | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 1 A | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | parks and green space se with this? - Select si | | - | e, regional, and | national gree | en networ | k. We wan | t new deve | lopment | to connect to | o, and |
| | - 7 | | ., ., ., | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · | For all the reason | ons detailed in the abo | ve statement - to h | elp increase | our health and | wellbeing, etc | C. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 1 B | | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to chan | ge our policy to | require all developme | ent (including chang | ge of use) to | include green a | and blue intra | astructure | . Do you ag | ree with th | iis? - Sup | port / Object | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | In some cases it | e sentiment of encoura t may not be possible/ e "where possible and | appropriate to inco | rporate thes | se requirements | . A more flex | ible appro | ach would | | | | - |
| | . , | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Respons | e Ref: ANON-KU2U- | -GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | | | Email kevin@kr-o | developments.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Lim | nited | | | | |
| Choice | 1 C | | | | | |
| We want to ident | ify areas that can be used for | future water manager | ment to enable adap | tation to climate chang | e. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | |
| Explanation | I think as part of the plan area implications. | as should be identified | for future water man | agement which is becor | ming more important in view of the cl | imate change |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 1 D | | | | | |
| We want to clear Yes / No | ly set out under what circums | tances the developme | nt of poor quality or | underused open space | will be considered acceptable. Do yo | ou agree with this? - |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | |
| Explanation | | ent land, the opportuni | ty should be taken to | - | poor quality or underused open space paces and consider if it could be devel | |
| | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | · | | Email kevin@kr-deve | elopments.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / Lando | owner | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments | | | | | |
| Choice | 1 E | | | | | |
| | duce a new 'extra-la | arge green space s | standard' which recognises tha | t as we grow communities will n | need access to green spaces more tha | n 5 hectares. Do |
| Short Response | No | | | | | |
| Explanation | _ | ther than just incr | | • | ndertake a quantitative and qualitative ar if this has already been undertaken | |
| Choice | 1 F | | | | | |
| We want to ident this? - Yes / No | ify specific sites for | new allotments a | and food growing, both as part | of new development sites and v | within open space in the urban area. | Do you agree with |
| | | T | | | | |
| Short Response | No | ı | | | | |
| Explanation | This could also be i | ncluded as part of | ant new green field releases. H | | sed open spaces for new allotments an where land is in scarce supply I think th or other uses. | |
| | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info |
|--|---|---|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | |
| | | |
| Choice | 1 F | |
| We want to ident this? - Upload (ma | | t of new development sites and within open space in the urban area. Do you agree with |
| | | |
| Short Response | No | |
| Explanation | | |
| | | |
| Choice | 1 G | |
| | | al for green and woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No |
| | ,, | , |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | We need to make provision for more burial grounds. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Choice | 1 H | |
| | | new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. |
| Do you agree wit | | new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | We need to ensure that any green space areas are properly manage problem. | ed and maintained or they could deteriorate over time and become an eyesore and |
| | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Suppo | rting Info | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Name | Kevin Robert | son | | Email | kevin@kr-d | evelopmen | nts.co.uk | | |
| Response Type | Developer / L | _andowner | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developm | nents Group Limited | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 2 A | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | nrough design and access state ures to address accessibility for | | | _ | • | | • |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | Good design sl | hould be capable of ac | commodating these matters wh | ich are all desira | ble features. | | | | |
| Choice | 2 B | | | | | | | | |
| We want to revise Yes / No | e our policies o | n density to ensure th | at we make best use of the lim | ited space in ou | city and tha | t sites are | not under-develo | oped. Do you a | gree with this? - |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | think this shou | | d pressure for development I and feel there is the need for an o | | | | • | • | |
| Choice | 2 C | | | | | | | | |
| We want to revis | e our design an | nd layout policies to ac | hieve ensure their layouts deliv | er active travel | and connect | ivity links. | Do you agree wit | th this? - Yes / I | No |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| · | Yes | | Aut To I | | | | | | |
| Explanation | I agree we sho | ould do more to encour | age Active Travel. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|----|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | | , iii oii kozo oii oo 1 | | developments.co.uk | |
| | | | | Lindii Keviii@Ki-(| developments.co.uk | |
| Response Type | ' ' | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments | Group Limited | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 2 D | | | | | |
| | lopment, including agree with this? - Y | | to deliver quality open space | and public realm, useable for | a range of activities, including drying space, without losi | ng |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | |
| Explanation | I think it is desirabl | e to have quality o | open space and public realm, u | useable for a range of activities | s, including drying space in all developments but it will be | |
| | • | | corporation of these requiremuld also affect the viability of a | • | n area without significantly reducing the density. A strict | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 3 A | | | | | |
| | • | | ro carbon / platinum standard ard. Which standard should no | | ottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new meet? - Which standard? | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Silver | | | | | |
| Explanation | I think there should | d be a progressive | increase in the building stand | ard requirements over time ra | ther than a jump straight to the Gold or Platinum Standard | ŀ |
| | | • | | | oming increasing difficult to undertake the development of | |
| | new housing projection particularly as we r | | | g standard requirements will b | ecome a further dampener on new development happeni | ng |
| | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info |
|----------------|--|--|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | |
| Choice | 4 A | |
| | with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites wealthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree w | vithin City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, vith this? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | No | |
| Explanation | | some local communities are not sufficiently well organised to get engaged in this type of undertake this type of work either. I think it may be preferable to continue with the pare Place Briefs. |
| | | |
| Choice | 4 B | |
| | ort Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2 tions How should the Council work with local communities to prep | 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support pare Local Place Plans? |
| | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | In my view this will only be successful if the Council appoints suitable | y qualified and experienced staff to undertake this process. |
| | | |
| Choice | 5 A | |
| • | n 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructurastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan p | re capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | It makes sense for new development to be as close as possible to exi and private money available in the medium term to pay for new infr | sting infrastructure. As we rebuild the economy post Covid-19 there will be less public astructure. |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Suppo | rting Info | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Name | Kevin Robert | son | | Email | kevin@kr-d | levelopmer | nts.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / I | andowner | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developm | nents Group Limited | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 5 B | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | nity facilities are needed, and fices. Do you agree with this? - ' | | be well conn | ected to ac | tive travel routes and in locat | ions with high |
| accessionity to ge | ou sustamable | public transport servi | ices. Do you agree with this: | 1637 140 | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | |
| · | | o for now community f | acilities to be well connected to | active travel rev | itos ots | | | |
| Explanation | it illakes sellse | e for new community to | acilities to be well conflected to | active traverrou | ites, etc. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 5 C | | | | | | | |
| | | | nity services close to the comn | nunities they ser | ve, supportin | ng a high w | alk-in population and reducin | ig the need to |
| travel. Do you ag | ree with this? - | Yes / No | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | It makes sense | e and preferable if facil | ities can be in locations that are | e not reliable on | car travel. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 5 D1 | | | | | | | |
| We want to set o | ut in the plan v | where development w | ill be expected to contribute to | oward new or exp | panded comi | munity infr | astructure. Do you agree with | n this? - Yes / No |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | |
| | 163 | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | de potential developer | s and landowners with upfront | notice of the inte | ention. | | | |
| Explanation | | de potential developer | s and landowners with upfront | notice of the inte | ention. | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Suppo | orting Info | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| Name | Kevin Roberts | on | | Email | kevin@kr-developr | ments.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / La | andowner | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developme | ents Group Limited | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 5 D2 | | | | | | |
| We want to use o | umulative conti | ribution zones to dete | ermine infrastructure actions, | costs and deliver | y mechanisms. Do y | ou agree with this? - Yes / No | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | |
| Explanation | The principle is | a good one but it is d | ifficult to get the timing of the | various contribut | ions to coincide. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 5 E | | | | | | |
| We want to stop you agree with th | | ntary guidance and s | et out guidance for developer | contributions w | thin the plan, Action | Programme and in non-statutory go | uidance. Do |
| | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | and it may even be a | hindrance to development progressi | ng particularly |
| | as we deal with | the economic consec | quences of the Covid-19 pande | mic. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 6 A | | | | | | |
| | | • | ment against its ability to medervices and high-quality active | _ | • | ge and walking and cycling. These ta s? - Yes / No | rgets will vary |
| | • | | - , | | | <u> </u> | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | he hetter if the new r | policy was a combination of the | a new asniration | nut also containing a | n undate on the old notice | |
| LAPIGIIGUUI | i tillik it would | be better if the flew p | oney was a combination of the | e new aspiration | out also containing a | apuate on the old policy. | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Suppo | rting Info | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Name | Kevin Roberts | on | | Email | kevin@kr-d | evelopme | nts.co.uk | | | |
| Response Type | Developer / La | andowner | | | | | | | <u>_</u> | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developme | ents Group Limited | ' | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 6 B | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | by walking, cycling and public transport. Do you agree w | • | | nd planne | d transit inte | rventions. Th | is will dete | ermine |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | I am generally a | agreeable to this but o | consider that there also has to | be a realistic provi | ision for car | parking in I | new developr | ments. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 7 A | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | based on targets for trips by s to control on-street parking | | - | - | ese targets c | ould be set by | area, dev | relopment |
| | | • | | | - | - | ese targets c | ould be set by | area, dev | relopment |
| type, or both and | | • | | | - | - | ese targets c | ould be set by | area, dev | relopment |
| type, or both and | No | ted by other measure | | g. Do you agree wit | h this? - Yes | / No | - | | | |
| type, or both and Short Response | No I agree with the | ted by other measure | s to control on-street parking | g. Do you agree wit | h this? - Yes | / No | - | | | |
| Short Response Explanation | No I agree with the | ted by other measure | s to control on-street parking | g. Do you agree wit | h this? - Yes | / No | - | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice We want to prote | No I agree with the parking. 7 B ect against the dect ag | e sentiment of reducir | s to control on-street parking | g. Do you agree wit | c parking leve | / No | opment shou | ıld be determ | ined by ex | cluding car |
| type, or both and Short Response Explanation Choice | No I agree with the parking. 7 B ect against the dect ag | e sentiment of reducir | s to control on-street parking | g. Do you agree wit | c parking leve | / No | opment shou | ıld be determ | ined by ex | cluding car |
| Short Response Explanation Choice We want to prote you agree with th | No I agree with the parking. 7 B ect against the dais? - Yes / No | e sentiment of reducir | s to control on-street parking | g. Do you agree wit | c parking leve | / No | opment shou | ıld be determ | ined by ex | cluding car |
| Short Response Explanation Choice We want to prote you agree with the | No I agree with the parking. 7 B ect against the dais? - Yes / No | e sentiment of reducir | s to control on-street parking | tre but do not think | c parking leve | / No | opment shou | ıld be determ | ined by ex | cluding car |
| Short Response Explanation Choice We want to prote you agree with th | No I agree with the parking. 7 B ect against the chis? - Yes / No I agree with the | development of addition | s to control on-street parking | tre but do not think entre to support the | c parking leven | / No els in deve | opment shou | re transforma | ined by ex | cluding car |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U- | GWGU-1 | Suppo | orting Info | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | | | | Email | kevin@kr-de | evelopmen | ts.co.uk | | | |
| Response Type | Developer / Land | owner | | | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments | Group Limited | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 7 C | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to upda agree with this? - | te our parking poli Yes / No | cies to control den | nand and to su | pport parking fo | r bikes, those w | ith disabilitie | s and elect | ric vehicles v | ia charging in | ıfrastructu | re. Do you |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | This will reduce ca | r use in the city cer | ntre and make i | t more accessible | e for cyclists, the | ose with disab | ilities and | electric vehic | les. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 7 D | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ort the city's park a | and ride infrastruct | ture by safegua | ording sites for n | ew park and rid | e and extensi | ions, includ | ling any othe | er sites that a | re identific | ed in the City |
| Mobility Plan or i | ts action plan. Do y | ou agree with this | s? - We want to | support the city | 's park and ride | | | | | | - |
| extensions, includ | ding any other sites | that are identified | d in the City Mo | obility Plan or its | action plan. | | | | | | |
| | | T | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | I think the use of p centre will reduce | | _ | • | n of more sites o | lose to other | transport n | nodes which a | are easily acc | essible to t | the city |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 8 A | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to upda | te our policy on the | e Cycle and Footpa | ath Network to | provide criteria | for identifying | new routes. D | o you agre | e with this? | - Yes / No | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | I think it's a good i | dea to plan for the | future provisio | n of footpaths ar | nd cycleways pa | rticularly as cy | cling is inc | reasing. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Name | Kevin Roberts | son | | Email kevin@kr-d | levelopments.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / L | andowner | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developm | ents Group Limited | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 8 B | | | | | |
| • | • | | | | d cycling links around the city, we want to add the | |
| following routes | (along with our | existing safeguards) to | o our network as active travel | proposals to ensure that the | y are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 8 C | | | | | |
| We want City Pla | n 2030 to safeg | uard and add any othe | er strategic active travel links v | vithin any of the proposed or | ptions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 | |
| to include any ne | w strategic acti | ve travel links which n | nay be identified in the forthc | | ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified | |
| to include any ne | w strategic acti | • | nay be identified in the forthc | | ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified | |
| to include any ne through this cons | w strategic acti | ve travel links which n | nay be identified in the forthc | | ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified | |
| to include any ne through this cons Short Response | w strategic acti ultation. Do yo | ve travel links which n u agree with this? - Ye | nay be identified in the forthcos / No | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo | ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified | |
| to include any ne through this cons Short Response | w strategic acti ultation. Do yo | ve travel links which n u agree with this? - Ye | nay be identified in the forthc | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo | ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified | |
| to include any ne through this cons Short Response Explanation | w strategic acti ultation. Do yo Yes It's important t | ve travel links which n u agree with this? - Ye | nay be identified in the forthcos / No | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo | ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified | |
| to include any ne through this cons Short Response Explanation Choice | yes It's important t | ve travel links which nu agree with this? - Ye | nay be identified in the forthcosts / No to think strategically about fut | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo ure travel links. | | d |
| to include any ne through this cons Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Pla to include any ne | yes It's important t 8 C n 2030 to safeg w strategic acti | ve travel links which nu agree with this? - Ye to update the plan and uard and add any other ve travel links which n | nay be identified in the forthcosts / No to think strategically about futer strategic active travel links were | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo ure travel links. | ptions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 ort Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified | d |
| to include any nethrough this cons Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Plato include any ne | yes It's important t 8 C n 2030 to safeg w strategic acti | ve travel links which nu agree with this? - Ye to update the plan and uard and add any other ve travel links which n | nay be identified in the forthcosts / No to think strategically about fut er strategic active travel links was nay be identified in the forthcost | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo ure travel links. | ptions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 | d |
| to include any nethrough this cons Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Plato include any ne | Yes It's important t 8 C n 2030 to safeg w strategic activation. Do yo | ve travel links which nu agree with this? - Ye to update the plan and uard and add any other ve travel links which n | nay be identified in the forthcosts / No to think strategically about fut er strategic active travel links was nay be identified in the forthcost | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo ure travel links. | ptions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 | d |
| to include any nethrough this cons Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Plato include any nethrough this cons | Yes It's important t 8 C n 2030 to safeg w strategic activation. Do yo | ve travel links which nu agree with this? - Ye to update the plan and uard and add any other ve travel links which n | nay be identified in the forthcosts / No to think strategically about fut er strategic active travel links was nay be identified in the forthcost | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo ure travel links. | ptions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 | d |
| to include any nethrough this constitutions. Short Response Explanation Choice We want City Plato include any nethrough this constitutions. | Yes It's important t 8 C n 2030 to safeg w strategic activation. Do yo | ve travel links which nu agree with this? - Ye to update the plan and uard and add any other ve travel links which n | nay be identified in the forthcosts / No to think strategically about fut er strategic active travel links was nay be identified in the forthcost | oming City Plan 2030 Transpo ure travel links. | ptions for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 | d |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | |
|----------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | | Email kevin@kr-de | evelopments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | | | |
| Choice | 9 A | | | |
| | ult on designating Edinburgh, or parts perties for short-term lets. Do you agre | | - | nning permission will always be required for the change of |
| Short Response | Yes | | | |
| Explanation | I agree with this as a number of areas | within the city centre have become | ome over populated with sho | ort term lets which is detrimental to nearby residents. |
| Choice | 9 B | | | |
| | e a new policy on the loss of homes to to short-stay commercial visitor accom | • | • | ing permission is required for a change of use of residential |
| | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | |
| Explanation | For the benefit of existing residents w | ho are becoming detrimentally | affected. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info |
|------------------|---|---|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | |
| Choice | 10 A | |
| | | at student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create |
| sustainable comr | nunities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? | - Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | No | |
| Explanation | | |

| Choice | 10 B | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| We want to create a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | For the same reasons outlined in 10A above. | | | | | | | |

construction, development and investment industries in Edinburgh over the next decade.

over the next decade. As we try to rebuild the economy post Covid-19 I think such a restrictive policy will be detrimental to the economic prosperity of the

| | | | | _ | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Suppo | orting Info | | | |
| Name | Kevin Robertson | | | Email | kevin@kr-develo | pments.co.uk | | |
| Response Type | Developer / Lando | wner | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments | Group Limited | | | | | | |
| Choice | 10 C | | | | | | | |
| | e a new policy prom would be supporte | - | use of stand-alone out of cent vith this? - Yes / No | re retail units an | d commercial cent | tres, where their rede | velopment for | mixed use |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | o some of the stand alone retail view of the requirement for mo | | | • | if these could I | be redeveloped |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 11 A | | | | | | | |
| We want to ame | nd our policy to incre | ease the provision | n of affordable housing require | ement from 25% | to 35%. Do you ag | gree with this approac | h? - Yes / No | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | particularly in the ci | ity centre and urba | feasibility issues on a number an area.The policy as proposed oice 10.The new policy may be | will also make it | much more difficu | It for any new student | accommodation | on to be built in |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Suppo | orting Info | |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Name | Kevin Roberts | son | | Email | kevin@kr-c | levelopments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / L | andowner | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developm | ents Group Limited | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 11 B | | | | | |
| | | | | | criptive on th | ne required mix, including the percentage requirement for |
| family housing an | id support for t | he Private Rented Sect | or. Do you agree with this? - Yo | es / No | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | • | | • | y not be practical. I agree with the support for the Private ead to a slow delivery of this type of development in |
| | | npared to other cities the | | no type or devel | Spiritire will is | ead to a slow delivery of this type of development in |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 A | | | | | |
| Which option do | you support? - | Option 1/2/3 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Option 1 (Cour | ncil/ | | | | |
| Explanation | I prefer Option | 1. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B1 | | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any | of the proposed greer | field areas? (Please tick all tha | at apply) - Suppo | ort Greenfield | d - Support - Calderwood |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: Al | NON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | | Email kevin@kr-developments.co. | uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | | | |
| | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B2 | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of the proposed greenfiel | d areas? (Please tick all that ap | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkl | iston |
| | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | |
| Explanation | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B3 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of the proposed greenfiel | d areas? (Please tick all that ap | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Wes | t Edinburgh |
| Do you support o | r object to any of the proposed greenfiel | d areas? (Please tick all that ap | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Wes | t Edinburgh |
| | r object to any of the proposed greenfiel Not Answered | d areas? (Please tick all that ap | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Wes | t Edinburgh |
| | | d areas? (Please tick all that ap | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Wes | t Edinburgh |
| Short Response | | d areas? (Please tick all that ap | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Wes | t Edinburgh |
| Short Response | | d areas? (Please tick all that ap | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Wes | t Edinburgh |
| Short Response Explanation | | d areas? (Please tick all that ap | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Wes | t Edinburgh |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered 12 B4 | | ply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Wes | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered 12 B4 | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered 12 B4 r object to any of the proposed greenfiel | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support o | Not Answered 12 B4 r object to any of the proposed greenfiel | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of Short Response | Not Answered 12 B4 r object to any of the proposed greenfiel | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting I | Info | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|
| Name | Kevin Roberts | son | | Email kevir | n@kr-developme | nts.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / L | andowner | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developm | ents Group Limited | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B5 | | | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any | of the proposed greer | nfield areas? (Please tick all the | at apply) - Support Gre | enfield - Support | - South East Edinburgh | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B6 | | | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any | of the proposed greer | nfield areas? (Please tick all the | at apply) - Support Gre | enfield - Object - | Calderwood | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | |
| • | Not Answered | | | | | | |
| • | Not Answered | | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered 12 B7 | | | | | | |
| Explanation Choice | 12 B7 | of the proposed greer | nfield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Gre | enfield - Object - | Kirkliston | |
| Explanation Choice | 12 B7 | of the proposed greer | nfield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Gre | enfield - Object - | Kirkliston | |
| Explanation Choice Do you support o | 12 B7 | of the proposed greer | nfield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Gre | enfield - Object - | Kirkliston | |
| Explanation Choice Do you support o | 12 B7 r object to any | of the proposed greer | nfield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Gre | enfield - Object - | Kirkliston | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Name | Kevin Roberts | son | | Email kevin@kr-develo | lopments.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / L | andowner | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developm | ents Group Limited | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B8 | | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any | of the proposed green | field areas? (Please tick all t | hat apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | bject - West Edinburgh | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B9 | | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any | of the proposed greer | field areas? (Please tick all t | hat apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | bject - East of Riccarton | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B10 | | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any | of the proposed green | field areas? (Please tick all t | hat apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | bject - South East Edinburgh | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | | |
| | | | |
| Choice | 12 BX | | |
| Do you support o | object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha | t apply) - Explain why | |
| | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | |
| Explanation | No | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Choice | 12 C | | |
| | 12 C enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | |
| | | eld file upload | |
| Do you have a gre | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | |
| Do you have a gre | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | |
| Do you have a gre | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | |
| Do you have a gre | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | |
| Do you have a gre | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | |
| Do you have a greeshort Response Explanation | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | |
| Do you have a gree Short Response Explanation Choice | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | | |
| Do you have a gree Short Response Explanation Choice | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | | |
| Do you have a gree Short Response Explanation Choice | enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi No 12 C enfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supp | orting Info | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Name | Kevin Roberts | son | | Email | kevin@kr-d | evelopmen | nts.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Developer / L | andowner | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developm | ents Group Limited | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 C | | | | | | | |
| Do you have a gre | eenfield site yo | u wish us to consider i | n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 D | | | | | | | |
| Do you have a bro | ownfield site yo | ou wish us to consider | in the proposed Plan? - Brown | field sites uploa | ad | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 13 A | | | | | | | |
| | | | for social enterprises, start-up agree with this? - Yes / No | s, culture and to | ourism, innov | ation and I | learning, and the low carb | on sector, where there |
| is a contribution (| o good growth | ioi Euilibuigii. Do you | agice with this: - tes / 140 | | | | | |
| Chart Bassansa | Vee | | | | | | | |
| • | Yes | | and the state of t | eta a Calla a segu | | | | |
| Explanation | i think these al | i contribute to the eco | nomic well-being and social fab | one or the city. | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-G | SWGU-1 | Sup | porting Info | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---|
| Name | Kevin Robertso | on | | | Ema | il kevin@kr-d | evelopmen | ts.co.uk | | | |
| Response Type | Developer / La | indowner | | | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developme | ents Group Limited | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 14 A | | | | | | | | | | |
| inclusive, sustaina | able growth. We | rt the best use of exist will do this through this approach? - Yes / | 'an area of search | • | | _ | | | • | | t |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | It will add to the | e land supply for futu | re growth of the o | city. | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 14 B | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to remo | _ | d in the existing plan es / No | for the Royal Hig | ghland Showgr | ound site to t | he south of the | e A8 at Nort | on Park and a | llocate the sit | te for other uses. Do | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | It is a good strat | tegic site and has bee | n safeguarded fo | r long enough v | without any fi | m proposals co | oming forwa | ard. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 14 C | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | te the Airport's contine his approach? - Yes / | | the "crosswind | s runway" for | the developm | ent of alter | native uses ne | xt to the Edir | nburgh Gateway | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | If there is no pro | ospect for any future | airport related de | evelopment. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Suppo | rting Info | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Name | Kevin Robert | son | | Email | kevin@kr-d | evelopme | nts.co.uk | | |
| Response Type | Developer / L | _andowner | | _ | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developm | nents Group Limited | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 15 A | | | | | | | | |
| | | | first' approach. City Plan 2030 ment and tourism activities. Do | • | | - | e as the regional co | ore of south | east Scotland |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | It is essential t | oo have a thriving tow | n centre in Scotland's capital cit | у. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 15 B | | | | | | | | |
| | | onment will only he al | lowed within our town and loc | al centres (inclu | ding any nev | v local cent | tras) justified by th | e Commercia | al Needs study |
| | | • | mitted only in areas where the | | | | | | - |
| Short Response | No | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | permit develo | pment outwith local ce | not always be possible to provintres. The importance of food stores to be allowed where ther | stores has never | been so well | highlighte | ed than during the c | | • |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 15 C | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | including the potential for new | | | - | nges where they su | pport walkir | ng and cycling |
| | | | | , | | , | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | e to review the existing | | | | | | | |
| EAplanation | Te THAKES SETISE | to review the existing | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | |
| | | |
| Choice | 15 D | |
| balance of uses w | | centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate od placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres |
| Short Response | The use of Supple | |
| Explanation | It's an evolving and changing sector and it will be easier to adapt an | d change if it is Supplementary Guidance. |
| | | |
| Choice | 15 E | |
| We want to supporthis approach? - Y | | er locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | It makes sense for new hotels to be in locations with good public tra | ansport access. |
| | | |
| Choice | 15 G | |
| | ek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favo any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No | ur of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | The retail sector is in decline and it is essential that the new plan morentres. | akes provision for dealing with this change and to support the regeneration of town |
| | CETTU E3. | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Suppo | orting Info | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | | | Email | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk | | | | | | |
| Response Type | Developer / L | andowner | | | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | of: KR Developments Group Limited | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to conti and local centres | | _ | office locations at Edinburgh I | Park/South Gyle | e, the Internat | tional Busi | iness Gateway | , Leith, the city o | entre, and in town | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | The well know support them. | • | e locations in Edinburgh are fur | idamentally imp | ortant for the | e economic | c wellbeing of t | the city and it is | essential to | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to supp | ort office devel | opment at commercia | l centres as these also provide | accessible loca | tions Yes / | No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | This should res | ult in lower car journe | ys, reduce carbon emissions an | d create a more | sustainable e | environme | nt. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to stren | gthen the requ | irement within the cit | y centre to provide significant | office floorspac | e within majo | or mixed-u | ise developme | ents. Do you agre | ee? - Yes / No | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | _ | is but other forms of d Iker Experience on Prir | evelopment should also be con ices Street). | sidered on their | merits partic | ularly whe | ere there is an o | economic or othe | er proven benefits | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk | | | | | | |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A4 | | | | | | | | |
| We want to amer | nd the boundary of the Leith strategic o | ffice location to remove areas | with residential developm | nent consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | It makes sense to remove areas that have | ve residential permission. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A5 | | | | | | | | |
| We want to conti | nue to support office development in o | ther accessible locations else | where in the urban area. Do | o you agree? - Yes / No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | We need to make provision for other bu | isinesses that can't or would r | refer to locate elsewhere in | n the city | | | | | |
| Explanation | We need to make provision for other se | Joinesses that carre or would p | refer to focute elsewhere in | Time city. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Chaine | 46. 45 | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A5 | diamental de la contraction de | h | 2.0 | • | | | | |
| we want to conti consider in the pr | | ther accessible locations else | where in the urban area. Do | o you agree? - Do you have an office site | e you wish us to | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info |
|------------------|--|--|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 B | |
| We want to ident | ify sites and locations within Edinburgh with potential for office dev | relopment. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | As for 16A. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 C | |
| | | n. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office ld apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and |
| | • | e could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | I support no chang | |
| Explanation | | and flexibility to adapt to changing needs. It is better to retain flexibility rather than to |
| | have a rigid loss of office policy city wide or in the city centre which v | will inhibit the city's ability to change to meet future needs. |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 E1 | |
| | fy proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide rategic Business Centre | e necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk |
| Response Type | e Developer / Landowner | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 E2 | |
| We want to ident Support - Newbri | ntify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide neces ridge | ssary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 16 E3 | |
| | ntify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide neces raighall Industrial Estate. | ssary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - |
| | <u> </u> | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 E4 | |
| | ntify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide neces rosswinds Runway | ssary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - |
| | | |
| Short Response | e Yes | |
| Explanation | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U- | GWGU-1 | Sup | porting Info | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | n | | | Ema | il kevin@kr-d | developm | ents.co.uk | | | | |
| Response Type | Developer / Lan | downer | | | | | | | | | <u>.</u> | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developmen | its Group Limited | ` | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ify proposals for I rategic Business C | new modern busines Centre | s and industria | l sites to provide | e necessary fl | oorspace at the | e followin | g location | is. Do you | agree? - Ye | es / No - E | Do not |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to ident support - Newbri | | new modern busines | s and industria | Il sites to provide | e necessary fl | oorspace at the | e followin | g location | is. Do you | agree? - Ye | es / No - C | Do not |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ify proposals for 1 ighall Industrial E | new modern busines state. | s and industria | I sites to provide | e necessary fl | oorspace at the | e followin | ng location | ıs. Do you | agree? - Ye | es / No - [| Do not |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU-1 | Supporting Info |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 E8 | |
| We want to ident support - The Cro | | de necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not |
| | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | | |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 EX | |
| We want to ident | ify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provid | de necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why |
| | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | It is essential for the city to make provision for future business and i economic success of the city. | industrial sites to ensure it can attract and accommodate new business needs for the |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 F | |
| | | urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the |
| | | siness space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering |
| adjacent uses, sei | rvicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No | |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | We need more space for new business both in the city and in new g | reenfield releases to create more sustainable communities. |
| | | |

| Customer Ref: | 00928 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWGU | J-1 Supporting Info |
|------------------|--|--|
| Name | Kevin Robertson | Email kevin@kr-developments.co.uk |
| Response Type | Developer / Landowner | |
| On behalf of: | KR Developments Group Limited | |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 G | |
| We want to conti | nue to protect industrial estates that are designated unde | er our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | I think it is important to protect the existing industrial estareplaced elsewhere. | tes but think redevelopment proposals can be permitted when the loss of floorspace can be |
| | | |
| Choice | 16 H | |
| We want to intro | duce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we w | ould support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | These are becoming an essential part of everyday life with | the growth of online sales. |