Customer Ref:	00398 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info
Name	David Smart	Email david@jsmart.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd	
Choice	1 A	
		wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and
deliver this netw	ork. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support	
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	1 B	
We want to chan	ge our policy to require all development (including change of use	e) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info		
		Response Ref.	ANUN-KUZU-GWZ1-K			
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsmart.	.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner				
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co	. (Contractors) PLC & C	C. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	1 C					
We want to iden	tify areas that c	an be used for future	water management to enable	e adaptation to climate change. D	o you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	1 D					
We want to clear Yes / No	ly set out under	what circumstances t	the development of poor qua	lity or underused open space will	l be considered acceptable. Do you a	gree with this? -
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Ch aire	4 5					
Choice	1 E					
We want to intro you agree with th		tra-large green space s	standard' which recognises th	at as we grow communities will n	need access to green spaces more tha	an 5 hectares. Do
Short Response	Not Answered					
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered					

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info		
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsma	art.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / La	andowner				
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co.	(Contractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	ify specific sites	for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part (of new development sites an	d within open space in the urban area. I	Do you agree with
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident	ify specific sites	for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part o	of new development sites an	d within open space in the urban area. I	Do you agree with
	ax size 3mb)					
this? - Upload (ma	ax size 3mb)					
this? - Upload (ma	ax size 3mb)					
this? - Upload (ma	ax size 3mb)					
this? - Upload (ma Short Response Explanation Choice	No 1 G	ditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential f	or green and woodland buri	als. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
this? - Upload (ma Short Response Explanation Choice	No 1 G	ditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential f	or green and woodland buri	als. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
this? - Upload (ma Short Response Explanation Choice	No 1 G ify space for ad	ditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential f	or green and woodland buri	als. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
this? - Upload (ma Short Response Explanation Choice We want to ident	No 1 G ify space for ad	ditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential f	or green and woodland buri	als. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to ident Short Response	No 1 G ify space for ad	ditional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential f	or green and woodland buri	als. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Support	ting Info						
Name	David Smart			Email	david@jsma	art.co.uk					
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner									
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co	. (Contractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd								
Choice	1 H										
			e designations to ensure th	at new green spaces	have long t	term main	itenanc	e and mana	gement arr	angement	s in place.
Do you agree wit	n this? - Yes/No)									
-											
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											
Choice	2 A										
	•		rough design and access sta res to address accessibility				_	•			-
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											
Choice	2 B										
We want to revis Yes / No	e our policies o	n density to ensure the	at we make best use of the	limited space in our	city and tha	at sites are	e not ur	ider-develo	ped. Do you	u agree wit	th this? -
Short Response	No										
Explanation		olicy on housing density	which seeks an appropriat	e density based on th	ne character	istics of th	ne surrc	unding area	a is more ap	propriate t	han vour
ļ	proposal.									1 F- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	, , , , , ,

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info		
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsm	nart.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Landov	wner				
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Con	tractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	2 C					
We want to revise	e our design and layo	out policies to acl	nieve ensure their layouts del	iver active travel and connec	tivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes	s / No
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	2 D					
	lopment, including st agree with this? - Ye		to deliver quality open space	and public realm, useable for	r a range of activities, including drying sp	pace, without losing
Short Response	No					
Explanation		•	ı have a prescriptive approach s, including drying space, you		cluding student housing, has quality operess.	n space and public
Choice	3 A					
	_		o carbon / platinum standard rd. Which standard should ne		ottish Building Regulations. Instead we on meet? - Which standard?	could require new
Short Response	Current Building S					
Explanation	areas in Scotland for	all parties involv	•	andard than those set in the B	sure there is a level of consistency across Building Standards you are creating differency areas and not others.	· ·

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info		
Name	David Smart		ANON ROZO GWZI R	Email david@jsm	aart co uk	
				Liliali david@jsii	iai t.co.ux	
Response Type	Developer / Lar					
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C	C. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	4 A					
					hting the key elements of design, layout,	, and transport,
education and he	althcare infrastru	ucture development	should deliver. Do you ag	ree with this? - Yes / No		
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	4 B					
			-	Plan 2030 will set out how Local I prepare Local Place Plans?	Place Plans can help us achieve great pla	ces and support
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
LAPIAHATIOH						
Choice	5 A					
-		•	_	ucture capacity, including educa lan period. Do you agree with th	ation, healthcare and sustainable transponis? - Yes / No	ort, or where

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00398 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info
Name	David Smart	Email david@jsmart.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd	
Choice	5 B	
_	2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and thood sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - You	nat these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high es / NO
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	5 C	
	t the desire to co-locate our community services close to the commu ee with this? - Yes / No	unities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	5 D1	
We want to set ou	it in the plan where development will be expected to contribute tow	ward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supp	orting Info		
Name	David Smart			Email	david@jsmart.co.u	k	
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner					
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co	. (Contractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd				
	,						
Choice	5 D2						
We want to use cu	ımulative cont	ribution zones to dete	rmine infrastructure actions,	costs and delive	ry mechanisms. Do y	ou agree with this? - Yes / N	lo
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	5 E						
We want to stop ι you agree with thi		entary guidance and se	et out guidance for developer	contributions w	ithin the plan, Action	Programme and in non-sta	tutory guidance. Do
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	6 A						
		•	ment against its ability to mee	_			These targets will vary
-	-	-					
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT	-K	Supporting Info		
Name	David Smart				Email david@jsm	nart.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner					
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co	. (Contractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd				
Choice	6 B						
						and planned transit interventions. This	will determine
appropriate parki	ng levels to su	pport high use of publi	c transport. Do you a	agree with thi	s? - Yes / No		
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	7 A						
					g, cycling and public tra ou agree with this? - Ye	ansport. These targets could be set by a s / No	rea, development
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	7 B						
		dovolonment of additi	onal car parking in th	a city contro t	a support the delivery	of the Council's city centre transformation	on programme. Do
you agree with th	•	development of additi	onarcar parking in th	ie city centre t	o support the delivery (of the council's city tentre transformation	on programme. Do
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info	
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsmart.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Lando	owner			
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Co	ontractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd		
Choice	7 C				
We want to upda agree with this? -		cies to control dem	nand and to support pa	king for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehic	les via charging infrastructure. Do you
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	7 D				
Mobility Plan or i	ts action plan. Do y	ou agree with this		s for new park and ride and extensions, including any the city's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding son or its action plan.	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	8 A				
We want to upda	te our policy on the	e Cycle and Footpa	th Network to provide	riteria for identifying new routes. Do you agree with th	nis? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Suppor	ting Info				
Name	David Smart			Email	david@jsma	art.co.uk	 		
Response Type	Developer / La	indowner							
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co.	(Contractors) PLC & C.	& W. Assets Ltd						
Choice	8 B								
•			uncil and partner projects to ir our network as active travel p		_		•		
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	8 C								
to include any nev	w strategic activ	•	r strategic active travel links w ay be identified in the forthco s / No					-	
	-								
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Choice	8 C								
to include any nev	w strategic activ	e travel links which m	r strategic active travel links w ay be identified in the forthco load new cycle routes					-	
Short Response	No								
Explanation									

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Suppor	rting Info							
Name	David Smart			Email	david@jsm	art.co.uk						
Response Type	Developer / Lar	ndowner										
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd									
Choice	9 A											
We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No												
use of whole prop	erties for short-t	erm lets. Do you agr	ee with this approach? - Yes / I	No								
	<u> </u>											
Short Response	Not Answered											
Explanation												
Choice	9 B											
			o alternative uses. This new po	•	•		sion is requi	red for a chang	ge of use of resi	idential		
flats and houses t	o short-stay com	mercial visitor accor	nmodation or other uses. Do yo	ou agree with th	is? - Yes / No	0						
Short Response	Not Answered											
Explanation												
Choice	10 A											
		•	housing. We want to ensure the		ing is delive	red at the i	right scale ar	nd in the right	locations, help:	s create		
sustainable comn	nunities and look	s after student's wei	lbeing. Do you agree with this?	' - Yes / NO								
Short Response	Not Answered											
Explanation	Not Answered											

Customer Ref: Name Response Type		Supporting Info Email david@jsmart.co.uk
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd	
Choice	10 B	
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	e a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing	g on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered	
Choice	10 C	
	te a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centr g would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	re retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered	

Customer Ref: Name Response Type		Supporting Info Email david@jsmart.co.uk
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd	
Choice	11 A	
We want to ame	nd our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing require	ement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No
Short Response	No	
Explanation	mean that less affordable housing will be delivered. Increasing the accould mean that the landowner decides not to sell the site for a hous would mean that the site was not brought forward for housing devel	% will have a negative impact on the development of housing sites and therefore, will iffordable housing percentage will have a negative impact on the land value and this sing use and continue with the existing use and/or sell the site for a non-housing use. This lopment and thereby no affordable housing would be achieved. Where as if the re come forward for housing development and affordable housing would have been
Choice	11 B	

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response No

Explanation

1. We are not sure what you mean here by "support for the Private Rented Sector"? Therefore it is difficult to agree or disagree with this statement.2. There is already a percentage requirement for family housing - are you decreasing or increasing this this requirement?3. On your comment on "The affordable housing should be tenure blind and should be a representative mix of the housing types and sizes which make up the total development", this suggests that the affordable housing should be spread over a development, where as our long experience with RSL's is that they prefer the affordable housing to be concentrated in one area of a development for ease of management. Also our experience with RSL's is that they prefer certain housing types and sizes over others due to the different tenure markets they deal in and the grant funding different housing types and sizes obtain. By being too prescriptive on this point will be to the detriment of the RSL involved.

Customer Ref:	00398 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info
Name	David Smart	Email david@jsmart.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd	
Choice	12 A	
Which option do	you support? - Option 1/2/3	
Short Response	Option 3 (Blended	
Explanation		ments, which will tend to be flatted housing developments, and greenfield housing ats, and thereby providing a proper mix of housing types and sizes.2. We would prefer a
Choice	12 B1	
		et annie) Compant Craanfield Compant Caldemond
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all the	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood
Charl Barrer	Mar.	
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B2	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all the	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	00398 Res	sponse Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info		
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsm	art.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Landowne	er				
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contrac	ctors) PLC & C.	. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	12 B3					
Do you support o	or object to any of the pro	oposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - West Edinburgh	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	12 B4					
		oposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - East of Riccarton	
		oposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - East of Riccarton	
	or object to any of the pro	oposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - East of Riccarton	
Do you support o	or object to any of the pro	oposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - East of Riccarton	
Do you support o	or object to any of the pro	oposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - East of Riccarton	
Do you support o	or object to any of the pro	oposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - East of Riccarton	
Do you support o	or object to any of the pro	oposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - East of Riccarton	
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Yes 12 B5				d - Support - East of Riccarton	
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Yes 12 B5					
Do you support of Short Response Explanation	Yes 12 B5 or object to any of the pro					

Customer Ref:	00398 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info
Name	David Smart	Email david@jsmart.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd	
Choice	12 B6	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B7	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B8	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	00398 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info	
Name	David Smart	Email david@jsmart.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Landowner		
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd		
Choice	12 B9		
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton	
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation			
Choice	12 B10		
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh	
Short Response	Not Answered		
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered		
·	Not Answered		
·	Not Answered		
·	Not Answered 12 BX		
Explanation Choice		at apply) - Explain why	
Explanation Choice	12 BX	at apply) - Explain why	
Explanation Choice Do you support o	12 BX	at apply) - Explain why	
Explanation Choice Do you support o	12 BX r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	at apply) - Explain why	

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Suppo	rting Info		
Name	David Smart			Email	david@jsma	art.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner					
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co	. (Contractors) PLC & C	& W. Assets Ltd				
Choice	12 C						
Do you have a gro	eenfield site yo	u wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Green	field file upload			
Short Response	No						
Explanation							
Choice	12 C						
Do you have a gro	eenfield site yo	u wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Green	field file upload			
Short Response	No						
Explanation							
Choice	12 C						
Do you have a gro	eenfield site yo	u wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Green	field file upload			
Short Response	No						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info		
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsmart.co.u	uk	
Response Type	Developer / La	indowner				
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co.	(Contractors) PLC & C	C. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	12 D					
Do you have a bro	ownfield site you	u wish us to consider	in the proposed Plan? - Brow	nfield sites upload		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	13 A					
			for social enterprises, start-u agree with this? - Yes / No	ps, culture and tourism, innovation a	and learning, and the low carbon	sector, where there
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	14 A					
inclusive, sustaina	able growth. We		'an area of search' which allo	ucture in West Edinburgh and accomws a wide consideration of future use	-	
individual Sites. D	o you support ti	ins approach: - 165/	140			
Short Response	Vac					
and t veshouse	162					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting	g Info	
Name	David Smart			Email dav	/id@jsma	rt.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner				
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co	. (Contractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	14 B					
We want to remo	_		for the Royal Highland Showgr	ound site to the sout	th of the	A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	New uses for the	his area should be enco	ouraged.			
Choice	14 C					
•		ite the Airport's contir		s runway" for the de	evelopme	ent of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway
interchange. Do y	ou agree with	inis approach: - res /	NO			
Short Response	Voc					
Explanation Explanation		his area should be enco	auragod			
Explanation	new uses for th	ilis area siloulu be elici	Jurageu.			
Choice	15 A					
			first' approach. City Plan 2030 ment and tourism activities. Do	•		city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland No
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00398 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info
Name	David Smart	Email david@jsmart.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd	
Choice	15 B	
		centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. e is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes /
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	15 C	
	w our existing town and local centres including the potential for new i	identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling y Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	15 D	
		tres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate

Short Response Not Answered

and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Suppo	orting Info				
Name	David Smart			Email	david@jsma	art.co.uk			
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner							_
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co.	. (Contractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd						
Choice	15 E								
We want to supp this approach? - \	•	rovision in local, town	, commercial centres and other	r locations with	good public	transport	access throug	hout Edinburgh.	Do you agree with
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
·									
Choice	15 G								
		•	orspace within centres in favou with this approach? - Yes / No	r of alternative	uses such as	increased	leisure provis	ion and permit c	ommercial centres
Short Response	Not Answered								
Explanation									
Chaine	46 84								
Choice	16 A1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1/2 1/2 1					
and local centres		_	office locations at Edinburgh P	ark/South Gyle	, the Internat	ional Busi	ness Gateway	,, Leith, the city o	centre, and in town
	7								
Short Response	Yes								
Explanation		velopment is required f	or the City						
-Aprailation	Office dev	c.opinent is required t	or the city.						

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info		
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsma	art.co.uk	
Response Type	Developer / Landov	wner				
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Con	ntractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	16 A2					
We want to supp	ort office developme	ent at commercia	I centres as these also provide	accessible locations Yes / I	No	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	New office developr	ment is required f	or the City.			
Choice	16 A3					
Choice We want to strer		ent within the city	y centre to provide significant	office floorspace within majo	r mixed-use developments. Do you agre	ee? - Yes / No
		ent within the city	y centre to provide significant	office floorspace within majo	r mixed-use developments. Do you agre	ee? - Yes / No
		ent within the city	y centre to provide significant	office floorspace within majo	r mixed-use developments. Do you agre	ee? - Yes / No
We want to stren	gthen the requireme			office floorspace within majo	r mixed-use developments. Do you agre	ee? - Yes / No
We want to stren	Yes			office floorspace within majo	or mixed-use developments. Do you agre	ee? - Yes / No
We want to stren	Yes			office floorspace within majo	or mixed-use developments. Do you agre	ee? - Yes / No
We want to stren	Yes			office floorspace within majo	or mixed-use developments. Do you agre	ee? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice	Yes New office developm	ment is required f	or the City.		ent consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No	ee? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice	Yes New office developm	ment is required f	or the City.			ee? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice We want to ame	Yes New office developm 16 A4 nd the boundary of the	ment is required f	or the City.			ee? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice	Yes New office developm 16 A4 nd the boundary of the	ment is required f	or the City.			ee? - Yes / No

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info				
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsma	art.co.uk			
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner						
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd							
Choice	16 A5							
We want to conti	nue to support	office development in	other accessible locations else	where in the urban area. Do	you agree? - Yes / No			
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	New office dev	relopment is required f	or the City.					
Choice	16 A5							
	We want to continue to support office development in other accessible locations elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed Plan?							
Short Response								
Explanation								
Choice	16 B							
We want to ident	ify sites and loo	cations within Edinbur	gh with potential for office dev	elopment. Do you agree wit	h this? - Yes/No			
Short Response	Yes							
Explanation	New office dev	New office development is required for the City.						

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info			
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsmart.co.	uk		
Response Type	Developer / La	andowner					
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd						
Choice	16 C						
use, unless existin	ng office space is	s provided as part of o	denser development. This wou	ld apply across the city to recognis	elopment of office buildings other than for office e that office locations outwith the city centre and policy only in the city centre Yes / No		
Short Response Explanation	I support no cha	ang					
Choice	16 E1						
We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre							
Short Response Explanation	Yes						
Choice	16 E2						
We want to identi Support - Newbrid		new modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provide	e necessary floorspace at the follow	ving locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -		
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info		
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsmart.co.uk		
Response Type	Developer / L	andowner				
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co	. (Contractors) PLC & C	C. & W. Assets Ltd			
Choice	16 E3					
We want to ident Support - Newcra			ss and industrial sites to provi	ide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E4					
We want to ident Support - The Cro			ss and industrial sites to provi	ide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E5					
We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not support - Leith Strategic Business Centre						
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00398	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info			
Name	David Smart			Email david@jsma	Email david@jsmart.co.uk		
Response Type	Developer / Lando	wner					
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Cor	ntractors) PLC & C	. & W. Assets Ltd				
Choice	16 E6						
We want to identi support - Newbrid		w modern busine	ss and industrial sites to pro	vide necessary floorspace at the	following locations. Do you agree? - Y	es / No - Do not	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	16 E7						
	fy proposals for nev ghall Industrial Esta		ss and industrial sites to pro	vide necessary floorspace at the	following locations. Do you agree? - Y	res / No - Do not	
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	16 E8						
We want to identi support - The Cros		w modern busine	ss and industrial sites to pro	vide necessary floorspace at the	following locations. Do you agree? - Y	res / No - Do not	
Short Response	Not Answered						

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00398 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info
Name	David Smart	Email david@jsmart.co.uk
Response Type	Developer / Landowner	
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd	

Choice 16 FX

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

We are the largest private industrial landlord in the City through our wholly owned subsidiary property holding company, C. & W. Assets Ltd. Several of our existing industrial estates have been proposed for potential housing/mixed use developments which we support. Many of these industrial estates will be close to the end of their building cycle life in the near future. Also many of these industrial estates are in areas which are now predominantly residential use in nature. It is, however, important that the stock of industrial accommodation is maintained as in many instances industrial units are the cheapest business accommodation available. Therefore, it is critical that Edinburgh Council ensures that there is adequate industrial development land supply available so we. in the private sector, can provide much needed new industrial stock and thereby release existing older industrial estates on brownfield land for housing/mixed use development. The new industrial development land supply must be in a well located area near to major transport links and have the correct infrastructure readily available.

Choice 16 F

We want to ensure new business space is provided as part of the redevelopment of urban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the amount expected to be re-provided, clearer criteria on what constitutes flexible business space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering adjacent uses, servicing and visibility. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response No

Explanation

1. We do not believe that providing a token amount of business space on a brownfield housing/mixed use site is viable and should not be adopted. 2. New business space on greenfield sites of scale should be promoted.

Customer Ref:	00398 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GWZT-K	Supporting Info					
Name	David Smart	Email david@jsmart.co.uk					
Response Type	Developer / Landowner						
On behalf of:	J. Smart & Co. (Contractors) PLC & C. & W. Assets Ltd						
Choice	16 G						
We want to conti	nue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our curr	ent policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No					
Short Response	No						
Explanation	This protection should not be continued for older industrial estates that are at the end of their building cycle life and could provide much needed brownfield development sites, as long as this is coupled with a much needed land supply of new industrial development sites with proximity to transport links and infrastructure.						
Choice	16 H						
We want to introduce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No							
Short Response	No						
Explanation	We would require to see further detail on this proposed policy so we	e could provide a proper response.					





El Sub Sta

SUPERMARKET

PLANNING LOCATION PLAN HD1056 (PL)001

Date 01.04.20 0m 10m 20m Scale Drawn By Chekd By 1:1250@A3 JH MM 50m

,		APPLICATIO	
!		APPLICATION BOUNDARY	





DRAWN djm

DATE 16:03:07

LP01 ./siteplanfootpathup

North Leith Sands Lindsay Road, Edinburgh







