Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson			Email
Response Type	Community Council			
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council			

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	I'm tempted to say	it's obvious: who could be against better health and wellbeing etc? The test is in the detail, and the trade-offs to be made.

Choice

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response Yes

1 B

Explanation I'm tempted to say it's obvious: who could be against better health and wellbeing etc? The test is in the detail, and the trade-offs to be made.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	e Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	
Choice	1 C	
We want to ident	ntify areas that can be used for future water management to enable adaptat	ion to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response		
Explanation	I'm tempted to say it's obvious: who could be against better health and well caveat that Edinburgh doesn't have any present or prospective water shorta	being etc? The test is in the detail, and the trade-offs to be made, but with the
Choice	1 D	
We want to clear Yes / No	rly set out under what circumstances the development of poor quality or un	derused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? -
Short Response	Νο	
Explanation		different things. If an open space is underused (how that's to be measured?), we ? How? Also, it might be in the public interest to improve the quality rather than
Choice	1 E	
	oduce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that as we his? - Yes / No	grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do

Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	I've said "yes", but I'd qualify that: I'd be wary of an inflexible standard. Why five? Why not four? Or six? or ten? Is it a matter of a trade-off between more	
	housing and less green space? Should it be the same everywhere in the city?	

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info		
Name	Andrew Anders	son		Email		
Response Type	Community Co	uncil				
On behalf of:	Trinity Commu	nity Council				
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	ify specific sites f	for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part	of new development sites and	within open space in the urba	an area. Do you agree with
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	I take it that the	re's unmet demand fo	or such sites. However, I'd wan	t to understand what else such l	and could be used for.	
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident this? - Upload (ma	• •	for new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part	of new development sites and	within open space in the urba	an area. Do you agree with
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	1 G					
We want to ident	ify space for add	itional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential	for green and woodland burials	s. Do you agree with this? - Ye	es / No
Short Response	No					
Explanation	I don't think this	would be a good use	of space, given the need and c	esirability of more open space f	or the living.	

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Anderson			Email	
Response Type	Community Cour	ncil			
On behalf of:	Trinity Communi	ity Council			
Choice	1 H				
We want to revise Do you agree wit		cies and green space	e designations to ensure that n	ew green spaces have long t	erm maintenance and management arrangements in place.
Short Response	No				
Explanation	This should be left	t to private landlord	ls. The Council's resources are su	ifficiently stretched without	taking on additional responsibilities.
Choice	2 A				
					their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts Yes /
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Common sense, b change.	out we need someth	ing much more specific about er	nergy use, which I take it to b	be the main way that there can be adaptation to climate

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	

2 B

We want to revise our policies on density to ensure that we make best use of the limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? -Yes / No

Short Response	No
Explanation	This seems inflexible, and not based on evidence. What's the current density in the city? How does that compare to other cities? I doubt if it's appropriate to have the same density for every development, irrespective of the demography of the likely residents. For example, one wouldn't expect the same density for a block of flats inhabited by single people and couples with no children as one would if its aimed at households with children, and possibly three generations under the same roof. There's also the inescapable reality of socio-economic aspects: some people can afford four-bedroomed detached or semi-detached houses with big gardens, but many can't, and/or don't want them.
Chaine	
Choice	2 C
We want to revis	e our design and layout policies to achieve ensure their layouts deliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes
Explanation	This is obviously sensible, and consistent with making the city one that helps people to keep fit and not too dependent on driving.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	

2 D

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drving space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response No Please see explanation of my answer to 2B: This seems inflexible, and not based on evidence. What's the current density in the city? How does that compare Explanation to other cities? I doubt if it's appropriate to have the same density for every development, irrespective of the demography of the likely residents. For example, one wouldn't expect the same density for a block of flats inhabited by single people and couples with no children as one would if its aimed at households with children, and possibly three generations under the same roof. There's also the inescapable reality of socio-economic aspects; some people can afford four-bedroomed detached or semi-detached houses with big gardens, but many can't, and/or don't want them. it might be necessary to have lower density (I don't like "losing densities".

Choice 3 A

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response	Platinum (zero car
Explanation	It's important to have ambitious targets: "per ardua ad astra" should be the motto. Zero carbon will be very hard to achieve, but we shouldn't aim lower.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	

4 A

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	I'm in favour of local autonomy, with as much subsidiarity as possible. However, we must recognise that there may be a tension between real autonomy and overarching city-wide goals. If there's a general prescriptive policy determining transport, infrastructure and the rest, what scope will there be for, say, Trinity Community Council to devise a plan that isn't consistent with this in some respects?			
Choice	4 B			
	ort Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support tions How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?			
Short Response	Not Answered			

Explanation Please see answer to 4A. I'm in favour of local autonomy, with as much subsidiarity as possible. However, we must recognise that there may be a tension between real autonomy and overarching city-wide goals. If there's a general prescriptive policy determining transport, infrastructure and the rest, what scope will there be for, say, Trinity Community Council to devise a plan that isn't consistent with this in some respects? I'd want the Council to provide resources and expertise to the extent possible.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	
Choice	5 A	
-	2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructur astructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan p	re capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
L -		
Short Response	Yes	

Explanation	This seems eminently sensible: if it ain't brokeAlso, give that resources are constrained, and likely to be so in the future also, it's important to concentrate
	them as effectively as possible.

Choice 5 B

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response	Yes
Explanation	I think this has been covered before, implicitly if not explicitly.
Choice	5 C
We want to refle	ct the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to

We want to reflect the desire to co-locate our community services close to the communities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need travel. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes
Explanation	It's desirable for people not to feel that the Council is too remote, and for them not to have to travel too far.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	
Choice	5 D1	
We want to set o	ut in the plan where development will be expected to contribute t	oward new or expanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	I think this is going over the same ground as earlier: it's obviously d	esirable for development and improved infrastructure to go hand in hand.
Choice	5 D2	
We want to use c	cumulative contribution zones to determine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanisms. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	If this isn't done, development won't be as cost effective as it could	and should be, and the true consequences of development won't be measured.
Choice	5 E	
We want to stop you agree with th		contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
·		
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	Things should be streamlined and made more efficient. This will be	more efficient and more cost effective.

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Ande	erson		Email	
Response Type	Community C	Council			
On behalf of:	Trinity Comm	nunity Council	<u>_</u>		
	, 				
Choice	6 A				
		•		et our targets for public transp e travel routes. Do you agree v	ort usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary vith this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	This is essentia	al if we're going to imp	rove the city's transport and e	environment.	
Choice	6 B				
			by walking, cycling and publ c transport. Do you agree w	-	nd planned transit interventions. This will determine
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	It's important	to know what's happen	ing a local level: this should b	be bottom up rather than top do	own.
Choice	7 A				
		•	• • • •	walking, cycling and public tran . Do you agree with this? - Yes	nsport. These targets could be set by area, development / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Most of this ha	as already been covered	d. Re on-street parking contro	ol, this has to be co-ordinated w	ith other measures.

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson			Email
Response Type	Community Council			
On behalf of:	Trinity Communit	y Council		
Choice	7 B			
We want to prote you agree with th	•	lopment of additi	onal car parking in the city cen	ntre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation programme. Do
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	Fine, and consisten would otherwise h		nvironmental goals, but of cour	rse we can't reduce car parking without providing sufficient alternatives for those who
Choice	7 C			
We want to upda agree with this? -		ies to control den	nand and to support parking fo	or bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	everyday items usu	ally readily availal	ole in all supermarkets is suppr	nd" mean? Is it a euphemism for "reduce demand"? As I write, a lot of demand for ressed because supply has diminished. That's not the most efficient or the fairest way to atever is being taken away or reduced.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	
Choice	7 D	
Mobility Plan or i		new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City ty's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and ts action plan.
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	An obvious thing to do, given the aim of reducing commuter and ot	her traffic.
Choice	8 A	
	0 A	
[ate our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteri	a for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
[a for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
We want to upda		a for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
We want to upda	ate our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteri	
We want to upda Short Response	ate our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteri Yes	
We want to upda Short Response	ate our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteri Yes	
We want to upda Short Response Explanation Choice As part of the City	Yes Within reason, anything that makes cycling easier and safer is desir 8 B y Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to	
We want to upda Short Response Explanation Choice As part of the City	Yes Within reason, anything that makes cycling easier and safer is desir 8 B y Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to	able. improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the
We want to upda Short Response Explanation Choice As part of the City	Yes Within reason, anything that makes cycling easier and safer is desir 8 B y Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to	able. improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the
We want to upda Short Response Explanation Choice As part of the City following routes	Yes Within reason, anything that makes cycling easier and safer is desir 8 B y Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active trave	improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the I proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	

8 C

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes
Explanation	Within reason, anything that makes cycling easier and safer is desirable.

Choice 8 C

We want City Plan 2030 to safeguard and add any other strategic active travel links within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 to include any new strategic active travel links which may be identified in the forthcoming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified through this consultation. Do you agree with this? - Upload new cycle routes

Short Response No

9 A

Explanation

Choice

We want to consult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of use of whole properties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes
Explanation	There are far too many Airbnb properties in the city centre. They're bad for the environment and the local community, and they allow their owners to avoid
	paying the true cost of their activities, taking externalities into account.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	
Choice	9 B	
	te a new policy on the loss of homes to alternative uses. This new polic to short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do you	y will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response		
Explanation		e city centre. They're bad for the environment and the local community, and they g externalities into account. with particular emphasis on local residents.
Choice	10 A	
	e our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure that munities and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this? -	s student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create Yes / No
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	This is consistent with other measures being proposed. Edinburgh has t piecemeal development isn't permitted: it should all be as part of a stra	oo many students for its current population and infrastructure. It's vital that more ategic plan.
Choice	10 B	
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	te a new policy framework which sets out a requirement for housing or	all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with
Short Response	No	
Explanation	This seems unnecessarily prescriptive. As long as such developments ar	e consistent with the larger plan, I'd want more flexibility than this would allow.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z Supporting Info				
Name	Andrew Anderson Email				
Response Type	Community Council				
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council				
Choice	10 C				
	e a new policy promoting the better use of stand-alone out of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use would be supported. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No				
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Fine if (a) there's sufficient demand and (b) the infrastructure is adequate for the proposed housing.				
Choice	11 A				
We want to amer	nd our policy to increase the provision of affordable housing requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No				
Short Response	Νο				
Explanation	This approach is (a) too prescriptive (why 35%, and not, say, 40%, and what's the current %?) and (b) I'm dubious about this as a way to compensate for				
	market failure. There's also the rather important matter of a precise definition.				
Choice	11 B				
-	n 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for In support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No				
Short Response	No				
Explanation	See previous answer (This approach is (a) too prescriptive (why 35%, and not, say, 40%, and what's the current %?) and (b) I'm dubious about this as a way to compensate for market failure. There's also the rather important matter of a precise definition) This sounds like micro planning, with no solid empirical basis. What do we know about the match between the type of housing people want on the one hand and what exists on the other? And is the mismatch (to the extent that there is one) caused by market failure or excessive planning restrictions?				

Customer Ref:	: 00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	mail
Response Type	e Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	
Choice	12 A	
Which option do	o you support? - Option 1/2/3	
Short Response	P Option 3 (Blended	
Explanation	I'm inclined to support Option 2, but recognise that it raises serious environmen Some people want to live in a densely populated urban environment; others pr functioning market caters for both groups. There's also the matter of Covid-19: commuting, so making it more attractive for people to live out of town, since th journey times when they do.	efer to have a garden, more space and quieter surroundings. A properly its indirect long-term effects could be huge, including a reduction in
Choice	12 B1	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - S	Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B2	
Do you support o	or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - S	Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston
Short Response	e Yes	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Anderson	•		Email	
Response Type					—
On behalf of:	Trinity Community				
				1	
Choice	12 B3				
Do you support o	or object to any of th	e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edir	ıburgh
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
Choice	12 B4				
		e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - East of Rid	ccarton
		<u> </u>			
Short Response	Ves				
Explanation					
Explanation					
	40.05				
Choice	12 B5		(1.1.1		
Do you support o	or object to any of th	e proposed green	ifield areas? (Please tick all tha	t apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South Eas	t Edinburgh
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Ande	erson		Email	
Response Type	Community C	Council			
On behalf of:	Trinity Comm	nunity Council			
Choice	12 B6				
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	nat apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood	
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	12 B7				
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	nat apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston	
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	L	<u>.</u>			
Choice	12 B8				
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick all that	nat apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh	
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson			Email
Response Type	Community Counc	il		
On behalf of:	Trinity Community	Council		
Choice	12 B9			
Do you support o	r object to any of the	e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all t	that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	12 B10			
Do you support o	r object to any of the	e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all t	that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				
Choice	12 BX			
Do you support o	r object to any of the	e proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all t	that apply) - Explain why
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation				ve near any of these sites, but in principle support greenfield development as part of ded, since I question the projections for new housing, based as they are on out of date

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info		
Name	Andrew Anderso	n		Email		
Response Type	Community Cour	ncil				
On behalf of:	Trinity Communi	ty Council				
Choice	12 C					
Do you have a gre	eenfield site you w	rish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload		
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	12 C					
Do vou have a gre		ish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload	 	
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Choice	12 C					
		ich us to consider i	n the proposed Dian? Creenfi		 	
Do you nave a gre	enneld site you w	ish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi			
Short Response	No					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Ande	erson		Email	
Response Type	Community C	Council			
On behalf of:	Trinity Comm	nunity Council			
Choice	12 D				
Do you have a br	ownfield site yo	ou wish us to consider	in the proposed Plan? - Brow	wnfield sites upload	
Short Response Explanation	No				
Choice We want to creat	13 A	that provides support	for social enterprises start-u	ups, culture and tourism, innovation and learning, and the low carbon sector, where	there
	• •	• • • •	agree with this? - Yes / No		there
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	It's a bit paren	thood and apple pie, is	n't it? The devil will be in the o	e detail, but let's get too prescriptive.	
Choice	14 A				
inclusive, sustaina	able growth. W		an area of search' which allow	ructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to so ows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Seems sensible	e, although let's not for	rget that "the best use" is subj	piective.	

Customer Ref:	: 00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z Supp	porting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson Ema	il en la companya de la companya de
Response Type	e Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	
Choice	14 B	
	nove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to t this approach? - Yes / No	he south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do
Short Response	e Yes	
Explanation	The existing safeguard seems unnecessarily restrictive.	
Choice	14 C	
	lan 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the "crosswinds runway" for o you agree with this approach? - Yes / No	the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway
Short Response	e Yes	
Explanation	See answer above (The existing safeguard seems unnecessarily restrictive) There's	also the matter of future demand at the the airport
Choice	15 A	
	ntinue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect ar ping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree w	
Short Response	e Yes	
	Once more, this is a rather bland statement of business as usual: unobjectionable i mean. Trying to promote Kirkcaldy or Haddington as "regional cores"? There are big future ; the assumption seems to be that the future will be like the present, only m	ger questions underlying this about tourism and other activities in the

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Anderson		Email	
Response Type	Community Council			
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council			

Choice 15 B

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response	No
Explanation	Too prescriptive, too top down. What about asking the residents of local centres, bearing in mind that if someone opens a shop that doesn't meet local demand it will go out of business.
Choice	15 C
We want to revie	w our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling

access to local services in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No

 Short Response
 Yes

 Explanation
 The wording here could be clearer: I take it to mean that boundary changes should be made if not to do so would make walking and cycling less convenient. This does prompt questions about the purpose and rigidity of such boundaries in the first place.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson	Email
Response Type	Community Council	
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council	
Choice	15 D	

We want to continue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate balance of uses within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres and set out guidance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No

Short Response	The use of Supple
Explanation	I'm in favour of flexibility wherever possible.
Choice	15 E
We want to supp this approach? - `	ort new hotel provision in local, town, commercial centres and other locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with (es / No
Short Response	No
Explanation	This seems at odds with much of the thinking behind the overall plan. Edinburgh seems to have more hotels than it needs: it's the familiar boom and bust cycle at work. The effects of Covid-19 may well exacerbate this, although there will no doubt be a (possibly short-lived) surge in bookings at some point.
Choice	15 G
	ek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No
Short Response	No
Explanation	Too prescriptive. What alternative uses are meant? I can think of one: housing, which would be desirable. And how does the Council intend to reduce the amount of shopping space? By setting arbitrary targets?

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info		
Name	Andrew Anderson			Email		
Response Type	Community Council					
On behalf of:	Trinity Comm	unity Council				
Choice	16 A1					
We want to conti and local centres.		-	office locations at Edinburgh	Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	A tentative "yes". What support will there be? Active, or passive, by not objecting to new proposals? There's also the matter of the premise about premises: Zoom meetings, anyone? It seems very likely that Covid-19 will be the tipping point, saving space, money, energy, pollution and time for all involved. (And what's a "strategic" location? Does it simply mean "big"?)					
Choice	16 A2					
We want to supp	ort office develo	opment at commercia	al centres as these also provide	e accessible locations Yes / No		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	See above					
	Zoom meetings		ery likely that Covid-19 will be t	y not objecting to new proposals? There's also the matter of the premise about premises: the tipping point, saving space, money, energy, pollution and time for all involved. (And		

Same caveats.

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref: AN	NON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Anderson		Email	
Response Type	Community Council			
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council			
Choice	16 A3			
We want to stren	gthen the requirement within the city ce	ntre to provide significant	office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	No			
Explanation	- ·		ent necessarily include offices? And how major is "major"? As so often with these market decide, within the limits of an overall plan.	
	questions, there is a mustiating vagueness			
Choice	16 A4			_
		ice location to remove are	as with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No	
		ice location to remove are	as with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No	
We want to ame		ice location to remove are	as with residential development consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No	
We want to ame	nd the boundary of the Leith strategic off			
We want to ame	nd the boundary of the Leith strategic off			
We want to ame	nd the boundary of the Leith strategic off			
We want to ame	nd the boundary of the Leith strategic off			
We want to ame Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered I don't have view about this, but am imme	ediately on the alert when		
We want to ame Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered I don't have view about this, but am imme	ediately on the alert when	I read "strategic". What does it mean?	
We want to ame Short Response Explanation Choice	Not Answered I don't have view about this, but am imme	ediately on the alert when	I read "strategic". What does it mean?	
We want to amen Short Response Explanation Choice We want to conti	Not Answered I don't have view about this, but am imme 16 A5 inue to support office development in oth	ediately on the alert when	I read "strategic". What does it mean? ewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Yes / No	

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Anderson			Email	
Response Type	Community Counc	cil			
On behalf of:	Trinity Community	/ Council			
Choice	16 A5				
We want to conti consider in the pr		e development ir	other accessible locations of	elsewhere in the urban area. Do you agree? - Do you hav	e an office site you wish us to
Short Response					
Explanation					
Choice	16 B				
We want to ident	ify sites and locatio	ns within Edinbur	gh with potential for office	development. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No	
Short Response	No				
Explanation		•	on to do this; they will then unnecessary burdens?	make proposals for CEC to consider. At present the Counci	I doesn't have enough staff for its
Choice	16 C				

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short ResponseI support no changExplanationThis question is badly worded. To be clear, what my choice means is that I'm opposed to what I understand the "loss of office policy" to be, because I don't see why an office that no one wants any more can't be turned into something else, including housing.

Customer Ref:	00165	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info	
Name	Andrew Anders	son		Email	
Response Type	Community Co	uncil			
On behalf of:	Trinity Commu	nity Council			
					_
Choice	16 E1				
We want to ident Support - Leith St			ss and industrial sites to provide	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
Choice	16 E2				
We want to ident Support - Newbrid		new modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provide	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
Choice	16 E3				
We want to ident Support - Newcra			ss and industrial sites to provide	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -	
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson		Email
			Eman
Response Type	Community Council		7
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council		
Choice	16 E4		
We want to identi Support - The Cros		ess and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -
Short Response	Vec		
-			
Explanation			
Choice	16 E5		
We want to identi		ess and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
We want to identi	fy proposals for new modern busine	ess and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
We want to identi	fy proposals for new modern busine ategic Business Centre	ess and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
We want to identi support - Leith Str Short Response	fy proposals for new modern busine ategic Business Centre	ess and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
We want to identi support - Leith Str	fy proposals for new modern busine ategic Business Centre	ess and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
We want to identi support - Leith Str Short Response Explanation	fy proposals for new modern busine ategic Business Centre	ess and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
We want to identi support - Leith Str Short Response Explanation Choice We want to identi	fy proposals for new modern busine ategic Business Centre Not Answered 16 E6 fy proposals for new modern busine	- -	le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not le necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
We want to identi support - Leith Str Short Response Explanation Choice	fy proposals for new modern busine ategic Business Centre Not Answered 16 E6 fy proposals for new modern busine	- -	

Explanation

Customer Ref:	00165 Respons	e Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson		Email
Response Type	Community Council		
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council		
Choice	16 E7		
	ify proposals for new modern ighall Industrial Estate.	business and industrial sites to pro	ovide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered		
Choice	16 E8		
We want to ident support - The Cro		business and industrial sites to pro	ovide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered		
Choice	16 EX		
We want to ident	ify proposals for new modern	business and industrial sites to pro	ovide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered This seems sensible, provided from this unduly dirigiste way		gid. For example, suppose there's no demand for new business space at a site? Let's get way

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson		Email
Response Type	Community Council		
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council		
Choice	16 F		
amount expected		on what constitutes flexible busing	ban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the ess space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering
aujacent uses, ser	vicing and visibility. Do you agree?	- 103 / 110	
aujacent uses, ser	vicing and visibility. Do you agree?	- 1037 140	
	No	- 1037 100	

Short Response	NO
Explanation	See previous answers: this is far too prescriptive. Remember that a proposal for development in April 2020 makes no sense unless it's going to meet a demand in, say, 2025. Who knows precisely what that demand will be? Who knows what "the amount expected to be re-provided" should be? (In case you're wondering, the answer is "no one".)
Choice	16 G
We want to conti	nue to protect industrial estates that are designated under our current policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	No
Explanation	Again, too prescriptive. What do they need to be protected against?
Choice	16 H
We want to intro	duce a policy that provides criteria for locations that we would support city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes
Explanation	Such hubs are a good idea, but once again there's a danger of over-prescriptiveness and a less than optimal use of finite planning resources. How many criteria? Would they be amendable as experience showed that they could be improved?

Customer Ref:	00165 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GW5F-Z	Supporting Info
Name	Andrew Anderson		Email
Response Type	Community Council		
On behalf of:	Trinity Community Council		