Choice	1 A	
On behalf of:	CW Properties	
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
Name	Manson Architects + Planners	Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Customer Ref:	00041 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info

Short Response	Not Answered
Explanation	Not Answered

Choice

1 B

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response	Not Answered
Explanation	Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supp	orting Info		
Name	Manson Architect	s + Planners		Email	ross.mansc	on@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultar	nt			L		
On behalf of:	CW Properties						
Choice	1 C						
We want to iden	tify areas that can b	e used for future	water management to	enable adaptation to c	limate chang	e. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	1 D						
We want to clear Yes / No	ly set out under wh	at circumstances t	the development of po	oor quality or underused	d open space	will be considered acceptable. Do you agree	with this? -
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered	L					
	L						
Choice	1 E						
		arge green space s	standard' which recogr	nises that as we grow co	ommunities w	vill need access to green spaces more than 5	nectares. Do
you agree with th							
Short Response	Net Annuared						
	Not Answered						

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supp	porting Info	
Name	Manson Archited	ts + Planners		Email	il ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	nt				
On behalf of:	CW Properties					
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	ify specific sites fo	r new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part	of new develop	opment sites and within open space in the urban are	a. Do you agree with
Short Response	Not Answered	1				
Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident this? - Upload (ma		r new allotments a	nd food growing, both as part	of new develop	opment sites and within open space in the urban are	a. Do you agree with
Short Response	No					
Explanation						
Chaica	4.6					
Choice	1 G		tates to shadta she a secondal	for an end	we allowed how the Decision of the third Yes (M	-
we want to ident	ify space for addit	ional cemetery pro	vision, including the potential	for green and v	woodland burials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	D
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Support	ting Info		
Name	Manson Architect	ts + Planners		Email	ross.manso	on@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultar	nt					
On behalf of:	CW Properties		L				
Choice	1 H						
We want to revis Do you agree wit	• •	ies and green spac	e designations to ensure that n	ew green spaces	have long t	term maintenance and management an	rangements in place.
		→					
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	2 A						
						their design will incorporate measures age and mobility issues as a key part of	-
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>					
Choice	2 B						
We want to revis Yes / No	e our policies on de	ensity to ensure th	at we make best use of the lim	ited space in our	city and tha	at sites are not under-developed. Do yo	u agree with this? -
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Suppo	orting Info	
Name	Manson Archit	tects + Planners		Email	ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consu	ltant				
On behalf of:	CW Properties	;				
Choice	2 C					
We want to revise	e our design and	l layout policies to acl	nieve ensure their layouts deliv	ver active trave	l and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / I	No
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	2 D					
We want all deve densities. Do you	•		to deliver quality open space a	nd public realm	n, useable for a range of activities, including drying space	e, without losing
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	3 A					
		sions to meet the zer	o carbon / platinum standards	as set out in th	ne current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we cou	ld require new
	•		• •		in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info	
Name	Manson Archite	ects + Planners		Email ross.mans	on@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consult	ant			
On behalf of:	CW Properties				
Choice	4 A				
					hting the key elements of design, layout, and transport,
education and he	althcare infrastru	cture development	should deliver. Do you agree	with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response					
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	4 B				
		••••	by our communities. City Plan with local communities to pre		Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support
Short Response	Not Answered				
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered Not Answered				
•					
Explanation	Not Answered				
Explanation Choice	Not Answered				
Explanation Choice We want City Plan	Not Answered 5 A n 2030 to direct d	•	-		ation, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where his? - Yes / No
Explanation Choice We want City Plan	Not Answered 5 A n 2030 to direct d	•	re there is existing infrastruct Id deliverable within the plan		• • • •
Explanation Choice We want City Plan	Not Answered 5 A n 2030 to direct d rastructure will be	•	-		• • • •

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Suppo	oorting Info
Name	Manson Archited	cts + Planners		Email	il ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	int			
On behalf of:	CW Properties				
Choice	5 B				
•			ity facilities are needed, and t ces. Do you agree with this? - Y		t be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	5 C				
	ct the desire to co- ree with this? - Yes		ity services close to the comm	unities they ser	erve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	5 D1				
We want to set o	ut in the plan whe	re development wil	I be expected to contribute to	ward new or ex	xpanded community infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG	G5-T	Suppo	rting Info	
Name	Manson Architect	s + Planners			Email	ross.manso	n@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	t		,		L	
On behalf of:	CW Properties						
Choice	5 D2						
We want to use c	umulative contribut	tion zones to dete	ermine infrastructu	re actions, cos	sts and delivery	y mechanism	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	5 E						
	• • • •	ry guidance and se	et out guidance for	developer co	ntributions wit	thin the plan	, Action Programme and in non-statutory guidance. Do
you agree with th	nis? - Yes / No						
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
Choice	6 A						
	e a new policy that current or planned p	•	-	-			oort usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info	
Name	Manson Architect	s + Planners		Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	it			
On behalf of:	CW Properties				
Choice	6 B				
		•	by walking, cycling and public t c transport. Do you agree with	transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This w h this? - Yes / No	ill determine
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	7 A				
		•	• • • •	alking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by are Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	ea, development
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	7 B				
We want to prote you agree with th	-	lopment of addition	onal car parking in the city cent	tre to support the delivery of the Council's city centre transformation	n programme. Do
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
					,

Customer Ref:	00041 Response	e Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info
Name	Manson Architects + Planner	rs	Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type			
On behalf of:	CW Properties		
On Benan OI.			
Choice	7 C		
We want to upda agree with this? -		trol demand and to support parking	for bikes, those with disabilities and electric vehicles via charging infrastructure. Do you
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation	Not Answered		
Choice	7 D		
Mobility Plan or i	ts action plan. Do you agree w		new park and ride and extensions, including any other sites that are identified in the City ity's park and ride infrastructure by safeguarding sites for new park and ride and its action plan.
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation	Not Answered		
Choice	8 A		
We want to upda	ate our policy on the Cycle and	Footpath Network to provide criter	ia for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation	Not Answered		

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info	
Name	Manson Archi	tects + Planners		Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consu	ıltant			
On behalf of:	CW Propertie	S			
Choice	8 B				
				mprove strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we war proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this	
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	8 C				
to include any nev	w strategic activ	•	nay be identified in the forthco	vithin any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also wan oming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or	-
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered	ł			
Choice	8 C				
to include any nev	w strategic activ	ve travel links which n	•	vithin any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also wan oming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or	•
Short Response	No				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info
Name	Manson Archit	tects + Planners		Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consu	ltant		
On behalf of:	CW Properties			
	,			
Choice	9 A			
	-		s of Edinburgh, as a 'Short ⁻ ree with this approach? - Ye	Term Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the change of /es / No
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	Not Answered			
Choice	9 B			
				ew policy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	Not Answered			
Choice	10 A			
		-	housing. We want to ensu Ilbeing. Do you agree with	ure that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	Not Answered			

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info
Name	Manson Architect	s + Planners		Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	t		
On behalf of:	CW Properties			
Choice	10 B			
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	e a new policy fram	ework which sets	out a requirement for h	housing on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with
	1			
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	Not Answered			
Choice	10 C			
	e a new policy prom would be supporte			of centre retail units and commercial centres, where their redevelopment for mixed use
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	Not Answered			
Choice	11 A			
We want to ame	nd our policy to incr	ease the provision	of affordable housing	requirement from 25% to 35%. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	Not Answered			

Customer Ref:	00041 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T Su	Supporting Info
Name	Manson Architects + Planners	Er	Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consultant		
On behalf of:	CW Properties		

Choice 11 B

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Not Answered
Explanation	Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00041 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info
Name	Manson Architects + Planners	Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	CW Properties	I
ol :		
Choice	12 A	
Which option do	you support? - Option 1/2/3	
Short Response	Option 1 (Council/	
Explanation	urban area. Delivering 17,600 homes in the urban area as part of mixed-use development loyment land and contribute to the housing land supply up to 2032. A resourceful and iving, employment and amenity uses. CW Properties encourages City of Edinburgh an area, particularly through the creation of Place Briefs for strategic sites. Place Briefs ng-led mixed use development in the urban area. To ensure the Place Briefs are thetic of development viability and ensure that planning constraints do not prevent the tablished townscape of an area will inform new development proposals, however the that land, as a resource, is not squandered. The delivery of high density private housing the Development Plan requires a minimum of 35% affordable housing. If City of re 2032 then housing density in the urban area must be increased where possible. The ease. Over the lifetime of the current development plan, Edinburgh's greenbelt has iodiversity and crop growth, the urban areas must be fully examined and the housing ailable, including land. By locating more housing in the urban areas instead of the y long journeys. Higher density housing and mixed use development should therefore be ease and places of employment are within close walking distance. CW Properties urban area and considers Option 1 as a reasonable and proactive approach to building	

Customer Ref:	00041 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info	
Name	Manson Architects + Planners	Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultant		
On behalf of:	CW Properties		
Choice	12 B1		
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood	
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation			
Choice	12 B2		
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston	
Short Response	Not A noward		
Short Response	Not Answered		
Explanation	Not Answered		
-	Not Answered		
-	Not Answered		
-	12 B3		
Explanation		apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh	
Explanation	12 B3	apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh	
Explanation	12 B3 r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh	
Explanation Choice Do you support o	12 B3 r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all tha	apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh	

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info	
Name	Manson Archi	itects + Planners		Email ross.manso	on@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consu	ıltant			
On behalf of:	CW Propertie	S	1		
Choice	12 B4				
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - East of Riccarton
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	12 B5				
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Support - South East Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	12 B6				
Do you support o	r object to any	of the proposed greer	field areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield	d - Object - Calderwood
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	00041 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info
Name	Manson Architects + Planners	Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	CW Properties	
Choice	12 B7	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B8	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B9	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Suppo	orting Info	
Name	Manson Archite	cts + Planners		Email	ross.manso	n@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	ant				
On behalf of:	CW Properties					
Choice	12 B10					
Do you support o	r object to any of	the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	t apply) - Suppo	ort Greenfield	- Object - South East Edinburgh
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	12 BX					
Do you support o	r object to any of	the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	t apply) - Explai	n why	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	12 C					
Do you have a gre	enfield site you w	vish us to consider ir	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfie	eld file upload		
Short Response Explanation	No					

Customer Ref:	00041 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Suppo	orting Info		
Name	Manson Architects + Planners		Email	ross.manson@mans	onplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultant					
On behalf of:	CW Properties					
	12 C enfield site you wish us to consider ir	n the proposed Plan? - Greenfie	ld file upload			
Short Response Explanation	No					
Choice	12 C					
Do you have a gre	enfield site you wish us to consider in	the proposed Plan? - Greenfie	ld file upload			
Short Response Explanation	No					
Choice	12 D					
Do you have a bro	wnfield site you wish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Brownf	ield sites uploa	ad		
Short Response Explanation	No					

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Inf	o					
Name	Manson Archite	cts + Planners		Email ross.m	anson@man	sonplanning.co.uk				
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	ant								
On behalf of:	CW Properties	CW Properties								
Choice	13 A									
			for social enterprises, start-up agree with this? - Yes / No	os, culture and tourism, i	nnovation a	nd learning, and the low o	arbon sector, where there			
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation	ation Not Answered									
Choice	14 A									
inclusive, sustain	able growth. We w		ting public transport infrastru an area of search' which allow No			-				
		_								
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation	Not Answered									
Choice	14 B									
	ove the safeguard his approach? - Yes		for the Royal Highland Showg	round site to the south o	of the A8 at I	Norton Park and allocate t	the site for other uses. Do			
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation	Not Answered									

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info	
Name	Manson Arch	itects + Planners		Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consu	ultant			
On behalf of:	CW Propertie	25			
Choice	14 C				
-		ate the Airport's contir this approach? - Yes /		inds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinbu	urgh Gateway
	100.08.00				
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	15 A				
				30 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	east Scotland
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered	<u>_</u>			
Choice	15 B				
				local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commerci there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do	-
L					
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info		
Name	Manson Architect	s + Planners		Email ross.manson	@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	t				
On behalf of:	CW Properties					
Choice	15 C					
			including the potential for ne the outcomes of the City Mobi		dary changes where they support wal	king and cycling
	TVICES IN OUTER areas		the outcomes of the city wool		/ 10	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	15 D					1
balance of uses w	vithin our centres to	maintain their vit			etail patterns and trends, and ensure a uld stop using supplementary guidanc	
	· ·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					
						,
Choice	15 E					
We want to supp this approach? - `	•	sion in local, town	, commercial centres and othe	r locations with good public t	ransport access throughout Edinburgh	. Do you agree with
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info				
Name	Manson Architec	Manson Architects + Planners		Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk				
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	nt						
On behalf of:	CW Properties							
						_		
Choice	15 G							
	•	•	•		s increased leisure provision and permit co	ommercial centres		
to accommodate	any growing dema	nd. Do you agree	with this approach? - Yes / No					
		1						
•	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	16 A1							
We want to cont	inue to support off	ice use at strategio	office locations at Edinburgh	Park/South Gyle, the International Park/South Gyle, the International Park Park Park Park Park Park Park Park	ational Business Gateway, Leith, the city co	entre, and in town		
and local centres	. Do you agree? - Y	es / No						
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							
Choice	16 A2					1		
We want to supp	ort office developm	nent at commercia	I centres as these also provid	e accessible locations Yes	/ No			
Short Response	Not Answered							
Explanation	Not Answered							

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info		
Name	Manson Architect	ts + Planners		Email ross.mansor	n@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultar	nt				
On behalf of:	CW Properties					
Choice	16 A3					
We want to stren	gthen the requirem	nent within the cit	y centre to provide significant	office floorspace within majo	or mixed-use developments. Do you agree	e? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered					
Choice	16 A4					
We want to ame	nd the boundary of	the Leith strategic	office location to remove area	as with residential developme	ent consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered					
E-main attack						
Explanation	Not Answered					
Explanation	Not Answered	1				
Explanation	Not Answered	1				
Choice	Not Answered	1				
Choice	16 A5	ice development ir	n other accessible locations else	ewhere in the urban area. Do	o you agree? - Yes / No	
Choice	16 A5	ice development ir	n other accessible locations else	ewhere in the urban area. Do	o you agree? - Yes / No	
Choice	16 A5	ice development ir	n other accessible locations else	ewhere in the urban area. Do	o you agree? - Yes / No	
Choice We want to conti	16 A5 nue to support offi	ice development ir	n other accessible locations else	ewhere in the urban area. Do	o you agree? - Yes / No	

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U	-GPG5-T	Suppo	orting Info	
Name	Manson Architec	ts + Planners			Email	ross.manson@mar	nsonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	nt					
On behalf of:	CW Properties						
Choice	16 A5						
	••	ice development in	n other accessi	ble locations els	ewhere in the ur	ban area. Do you ag	gree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to
consider in the pr	oposed Plan?						
Short Response		7					
Explanation							
explanation							
Choice	16 B						
Ne want to ident	ify sites and locati	ons within Edinbu	rgh with poten	tial for office de	velopment. Do y	ou agree with this?	- Yes/No
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						
	·						
Choice	16 C						
	duce a loss of offic	e policy to retain a	ccessible office	e accommodatio	n. This would no	ot permit the redeve	elopment of office buildings other than for office

Short Response	Not Answered
Explanation	Not Answered

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5	5-T	Suppo	orting Info	
Name	Manson Architec	ts + Planners			Email	ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	nt					
On behalf of:	CW Properties						
Choice	16 E1						
	ify proposals for ne rategic Business Ce		ss and industrial sites	s to provide nece	essary floo	prspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes	s / No -
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	16 E2						
We want to ident Support - Newbri		ew modern busines	ss and industrial sites	s to provide nece	essary floo	prspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes	s / No -
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	16 E3						
		ew modern busines	s and industrial sites	s to provide nece	essary floo	prspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes	s / No -
	ighall Industrial Es			•			
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info		
Name	Manson Architect	ts + Planners		Email ross.manson@m	ansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultar	nt				
On behalf of:	CW Properties					
				~		
Choice	16 E4					
We want to ident Support - The Cro		ew modern busine	ss and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the follo	owing locations. Do you agree? - Ye	es / No -
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E5					
	ify proposals for ne rategic Business Ce		ss and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the follo	owing locations. Do you agree? - Ye	es / No - Do not
		T				
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E6					
We want to ident support - Newbri		ew modern busine	ss and industrial sites to provid	le necessary floorspace at the follo	owing locations. Do you agree? - Ye	es / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Suppo	orting Info		
Name	Manson Architect	ts + Planners		Email	ross.manson@mansonplanni	ing.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultar	nt					
On behalf of:	CW Properties						
Choice	16 E7						
	ify proposals for ne ighall Industrial Est		s and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floo	orspace at the following location	ons. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation							
Choice	16 E8						
We want to ident support - The Cro		ew modern busines	s and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floo	orspace at the following location	ons. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered	Ī					
Explanation		1					
Choice	16 EX						
We want to ident	ify proposals for ne	w modern busines	s and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floo	orspace at the following location	ons. Do you agree? - Ex	plain why
Short Response	Not Answered						
Explanation	Not Answered						

Customer Ref:	00041	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GP	G5-T	Supporting Info
Name	Manson Archite	Manson Architects + Planners			Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	ant			
On behalf of:	CW Properties				
	F				
Choice	16 F				
amount expected	l to be re-provided	• •	what constitutes	•	rban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the ness space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	16 G				
We want to conti	nue to protect ind	lustrial estates that	are designated ur	nder our curre	ent policy on Employment Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	16 H				
We want to intro	duce a policy that	provides criteria fo	r locations that w	e would supp	oort city-wide and neighbourhood goods distribution hubs. Do you agree? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				

Customer Ref:	00041 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPG5-T	Supporting Info	
Name	Manson Architects + Planners		Email ross.manson@mansonplanning.co.uk	
Response Type	Agent / Consultant			
On behalf of:	CW Properties			