Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn		Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant		
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group		

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

 Short Response
 Yes

 Explanation
 Agree in principle to the creation of a city-wide green network and provision of active travel routes to link these. Any requirements for new development to contribute towards the green network should be proportionate to the scale and type of development proposed.

Choice

1 B

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

 Short Response
 No

 Explanation
 Change of use proposals are likely to have limited opportunity to include green and blue infrastructure and should be excluded from this proposal. Any requirement to integrate green and blue infrastructure into new development proposals should be proportionate to the proposed development.

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	
Choice	1 C	
We want to ident	ify areas that can be used for future water management to enable a	daptation to climate change. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation	Unsure what is being proposed here or how this could change the cur	rent water management policies and guidance – further information required.
Choice	1 D	
We want to clear Yes / No	y set out under what circumstances the development of poor qualit	y or underused open space will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? -
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	Clear policy and guidance setting out criteria for when underused ope	en space can be developed is welcome.
Choice	1 E	
	1 E duce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that	as we grow communities will need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do
We want to intro	1 E duce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that	
We want to intro you agree with th	1 E duce a new 'extra-large green space standard' which recognises that	

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info		
Name	Sara Cockburn			Email scockburn@	Diceniprojects.com	
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	t				
On behalf of:	Ambassador Grou	р				
Choice	1 F					
We want to ident this? - Yes / No	ify specific sites for	new allotments a	nd food growing, both as pa	rt of new development sites an	d within open space in the urban are	a. Do you agree with
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	Agree in principle,	however, this shou	Ild be in appropriate location	s and not at the expense of lanc	d for delivery of new homes and emplo	oyment opportunities.
Choice	1 F					
	ify specific sites for	new allotments a	nd food growing, both as pa	rt of new development sites an	nd within open space in the urban are	a. Do you agree with
this? - Upload (ma	ax size 3mb)					
this? - Upload (ma Short Response	-					
	-					
Short Response	-					
Short Response Explanation Choice	No 1 G	onal cemetery pro	vision, including the potenti	al for green and woodland buri	als. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	0
Short Response Explanation Choice	No 1 G	onal cemetery pro	vision, including the potenti	al for green and woodland buri	ials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	0
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to ident	No 1 G	onal cemetery pro	vision, including the potenti	al for green and woodland buri	ials. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	0
Short Response Explanation Choice We want to ident	No 1 G ify space for additional of the second 			al for green and woodland buri structure including cemetery pr		0

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporti	ing Info]			
Name	Sara Cockburn	•			 cockburn@	iceniproie	cts.com			
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	·+			eeenbarrie	leemproje				
				Т						
On behalf of:	Ambassador Grou	ip								
Choice	1 H									
We want to revise Do you agree wit	• •	es and green spac	e designations to ensure that n	new green spaces h	nave long to	erm maint	enance and	managemen	t arrange	ements in place.
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation	Consider that this s	hould be dealt wit	th on a case by case basis, depe	nding on the cite-sp	pecific circu	umstances				
Choice	2 A									
		•	nrough design and access state ares to address accessibility for	-		•		•		•
Short Response	No									
Explanation	Although supportiv	e of the use of De	sign and Access Statements, De	sign Statements an	nd supporti	ng informa	ation, the ne	ed for this inf	formatior	should be
	0 11		posed development, and in line	0	• •	0				
	development is not	t considered propo	ortionate or necessary.							

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
	Sara Cockburn			
Name				Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	int		
On behalf of:	Ambassador Gro	up		
Choice	2 B			
We want to revis Yes / No	e our policies on de	ensity to ensure th	at we make best use of the li	limited space in our city and that sites are not under-developed. Do you agree with this? -
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation	We support in prir	nciple the aspiratio	n to encourage higher density	y development on appropriate, well-connected sites. The suggestion to include minimum
			-	al support in policy for higher densities of development on appropriate sites is welcomed
	but density must b	be considered on a	site by site basis in relation to	o the site's characteristics.
Choice	2 C			
We want to revis	e our design and la	ayout policies to ac	hieve ensure their layouts de	eliver active travel and connectivity links. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation				

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	

Choice

2 D

We want all development, including student housing, to deliver quality open space and public realm, useable for a range of activities, including drying space, without losing densities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	No
Explanation	In principle we agree with this but the specific type and quantity of open space and public realm should be assessed on a case by case basis and depending on the use. For example, student accommodation is likely to include communal washing and drying areas indoors and therefore outdoor drying space would not be necessary or appropriate in some locations.

Choice 3 A

We want all buildings and conversions to meet the zero carbon / platinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new development to meet the bronze, silver or gold standard. Which standard should new development in Edinburgh meet? - Which standard?

Short Response	Current Building S
Explanation	Planning policy should be aligned with Building Standards requirements for emissions rather placing more stringent requirements. Noted that currently at
	least 50% of the carbon reduction target is to be met through low and zero-carbon generating technologies. This is considered an ambitious target which
	should be maintained.

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	

Choice

4 A

We want to work with local communities to prepare Place Briefs for areas and sites within City Plan 2030 highlighting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, education and healthcare infrastructure development should deliver. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Not Answered
Explanation	Agree with working with local communities in line with current and forthcoming legislative requirements. Further information is required in relation to the role of communities in preparation of place briefs, which sites place briefs would be prepared for and timing of the preparation of place briefs.
Choice	4 B

We want to support Local Place Plans being prepared by our communities. City Plan 2030 will set out how Local Place Plans can help us achieve great places and support community ambitions. - How should the Council work with local communities to prepare Local Place Plans?

Short Response	Not Answered
Explanation	The Council should set clear parameters for producing local place plans with communities – clear definition of which areas these relate to and how they fit in
	with the context of the LDP should be set. Engagement should take place early on to ensure no delay to the implementation of the LDP vision.

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q		ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info			
Name	Sara Cockburn			Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com			
Response Type	Agent / Consu	ltant					
On behalf of:	Ambassador G	Group					
Choice	5 A						
		•	-	cture capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where In period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No			
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation	Park South at So development sh	outh Gyle are example hould be encouraged i	es of locations which are well n such locations. Where exist	sting and planned) should be the focus for new development. Ocean Terminal and Broadway I served by existing infrastructure and planned infrastructure improvements. New sting infrastructure capacity is not available to accommodate new development, a proactive, to deliver infrastructure solutions which are proportionate to the proposed development.			
Choice	5 B						
•			nity facilities are needed, and ces. Do you agree with this?	d that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high - Yes / NO			
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation							
Choice	5 C						
			nity services close to the com	nmunities they serve, supporting a high walk-in population and reducing the need to			
travel. Do you ag	ree with this? - \	Yes / No					

Short Response Yes

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info		
Name	Sara Cockburi	n		Email scockburn@	Piceniprojects.com	
Response Type	Agent / Consu	ultant				
On behalf of:	Ambassador (Group]		
Choice	5 D1					
We want to set o	ut in the plan w	vhere development wi	Il be expected to contribute to	oward new or expanded comr	munity infrastructure. Do you agree wit	h this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	Yes, however, t clear.	the proposed contribu	tion zones and levels should be	e subject to consultation with t	the development industry and the meth	odology should be
Choice	5 D2					
We want to use o	cumulative cont	ribution zones to dete	ermine infrastructure actions,	costs and delivery mechanism	ns. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	Yes, however, t clear.	the proposed contribu	tion zones and levels should be	e subject to consultation with t	the development industry and the meth	odology should be
Choice	5 E					
We want to stop	using suppleme	entary guidance and se	et out guidance for developer	contributions within the plan	, Action Programme and in non-statute	ory guidance. Do
you agree with th				·		
Short Response	Yes					
Explanation	Agree that this	should be set out in th	ne LDP and should be subject t	o public consultation.		

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info	
Name	Sara Cockburn			Email scockburn	@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consult	ant			
On behalf of:	Ambassador Gr	oup			
Choice	6 A				
	• •	•		et our targets for public trans e travel routes. Do you agree	port usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Agree in principle	e.			
Choice	6 B				
		• ·	by walking, cycling and publ ic transport. Do you agree w	•	and planned transit interventions. This will determine
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation	Agree in principle locational factors	•	pe offered so that car parking	provision can be justified in re	lation to the proposed development requirements and
Choice	7 A				
		•	• • • •	walking, cycling and public tra . Do you agree with this? - Ye	ansport. These targets could be set by area, development s / No
Short Response	Νο				
Explanation	This approach se			arking standards should be re re this is justified against certa	viewed and there should be flexibility built in to the policy to ain criteria.

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supportin	ig Info					
Name	Sara Cockbur	'n		Email sco	ockburn@	iceniproje	cts.com			
Response Type	Agent / Cons	ultant								
On behalf of:	Ambassador	Group								
Choice	7 B									
We want to prote you agree with th	-	development of additi	onal car parking in the city cen	tre to support the d	lelivery of	the Counc	cil's city cer	tre transforn	nation progra	imme. Do
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation										
Choice	7 C									
We want to upda agree with this? -		policies to control den	nand and to support parking fo	or bikes, those with o	disabilities	s and elect	tric vehicles	via charging	infrastructur	e. Do you
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation										
Choice	7 D									
Mobility Plan or i	ts action plan.	Do you agree with this	ure by safeguarding sites for n ? - We want to support the city d in the City Mobility Plan or its	y's park and ride inf						
Chart Despense	Vac									
	Yes									
Explanation										

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info	
Name	Sara Cockbur	'n		Email scockburn@icenip	projects.com
Response Type	Agent / Cons	ultant			
On behalf of:	Ambassador	Group			
	ŀ				
Choice	8 A				
We want to updat	te our policy o	n the Cycle and Footpa	th Network to provide criter	ria for identifying new routes. Do you	agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation		<u>\</u>			
Choice	8 B				
					ng links around the city, we want to add the
following routes (along with our	r existing safeguards) t	o our network as active trave	el proposals to ensure that they are d	elivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes				
Explanation					
Choice	8 C				
to include any new	w strategic act	-	nay be identified in the forth		for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2030 raisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are identified
L					
Short Response	Yes				

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-	GPQH-Q	Suppo	orting Info					
Name	Sara Cockburn				Email	scockburn@	@iceniproje	ects.com			
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	t									
On behalf of:	Ambassador Grou	р			Ţ						
Choice	8 C										
to include any nev	a 2030 to safeguard w strategic active tr ultation. Do you agi	avel links which n	nay be identifie	d in the forthco	•		•			•	
Short Response	No										
Explanation	I										
Choice	9 A										
	Ilt on designating Ed perties for short-terr		-			ea' where pla	anning per	mission wil	always be red	quired for th	e change of
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											
Choice	9 B										
	e a new policy on th o short-stay comme			•	•	•		ssion is requ	lired for a cha	inge of use o	f residential
Short Response	Not Answered										
Explanation											

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn			Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	t		
On behalf of:	Ambassador Grou	р		
Choice	10 A			
			housing. We want to ensure t llbeing. Do you agree with this	that student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps crea s? - Yes / No
Short Response	No			
Explanation			ld be built for and managed by d college students, rather than l	a university or college – some sites may be developed by student accommodation being for one specifically.
Choice	10 B			
We want to creat this? - Yes / No	e a new policy fram	ework which sets	out a requirement for housing	g on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with
Short Response	No			
Explanation	Not all sites of this	size will be suitab	le for housing and this could res	strict the supply of new hotels, offices, retail and leisure development across the city, to

its detriment. Generally, mixed-use development should be supported across the city but this proposal is too prescriptive.

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting	g Info		
Name	Sara Cockburn			Email sco	ockburn@	Piceniprojects.com	
Response Type	Agent / Consult	tant					
On behalf of:	Ambassador Gr	roup					
Choice	10 C						
We want to creat	e a new policy pr	romoting the better	use of stand-alone out of cent	re retail units and co	ommercia	I centres, where their redevelopment for mix	ed use
ncluding housing	would be suppo	rted. Do you agree v	vith this? - Yes / No				
Short Response	Yes						
Explanation			•			l units, such as Ocean Terminal, for mixed-use	0
	locations and to	avoid vacant space,	olanning policy should support			to changing demands. To make the best use o s including residential (including housing, flats	
	Living), office spa	ace, leisure, hotels a	nd short stay apartments.				
Choice	11 A						
We want to ame	nd our policy to in	ncrease the provisio	n of affordable housing requir	ement from 25% to 3	35%. Do v	you agree with this approach? - Yes / No	
			<u></u>				
Short Response	No						
Explanation		0	0	o ,		bility. Affordable housing policy should remain 5% affordable housing across all sites in the ci	

considered appropriate to increase delivery of housing, including affordable housing, across the board.

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	
Choice	11 B	

Choice

We want City Plan 2030 to require a mix of housing types and tenures – we want the plan to be prescriptive on the required mix, including the percentage requirement for family housing and support for the Private Rented Sector. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	No
Explanation	This approach would be overly prescriptive. A mixed tenure approach to housing is considered appropriate but the tenure and type of housing will depend on the site's location and context, we do not consider that this should be enforced through policy.

Choice	12 A
Which option do	you support? - Option 1/2/3
Short Response	Option 3 (Blended
Explanation	A mix of brownfield and greenfield sites should be allocated to deliver the necessary housing land requirements and ensure a range of housing choice across the City.

Choice 12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPC	QH-Q	Supp	orting Info				
Name	Sara Cockburn				Email	scockburn@	iceniproje	cts.com		
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	t								
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	0								
Choice	12 B2									
Do you support o	r object to any of the	e proposed green	field areas? (Pleas	se tick all that a	pply) - Supp	ort Greenfield	- Support	- Kirkliston		
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation										
Choice	12 B3									
Do you support o	r object to any of the	e proposed green	field areas? (Pleas	se tick all that a	pply) - Supp	ort Greenfield	- Support	- West Edinbu	ırgh	
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation										
Choice	12 B4									
Do you support o	r object to any of the	e proposed green	field areas? (Pleas	se tick all that a	pply) - Supp	ort Greenfield	l - Support	- East of Ricca	rton	
Short Response	Not Answered									
Explanation										

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	
Choice	12 B5	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - South East Edinburgh
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B6	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Calderwood
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	12 B7	
Do you support o	r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that	apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - Kirkliston
Short Response	Not Answered	
Explanation		

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info	
Name	Sara Cockburn			Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com	
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	nt			
On behalf of:	Ambassador Gro	ир			
Choice	12 B8				
Do you support o	r object to any of t	the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburg	şh
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	12 B9				
Do you support o	r object to any of t	the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccart	on
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					
Choice	12 B10				
Do you support o	r object to any of t	the proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all that	at apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edi	nburgh
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation					

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info	
Name	Sara Cockburn			Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com	
Response Type	Agent / Consulta	nt			
On behalf of:	Ambassador Gro	up			
				~	
Choice	12 BX				
Do you support o	r object to any of t	he proposed green	field areas? (Please tick all the	at apply) - Explain why	
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation		_			
Choice	12 C				
Do you have a gro	enfield site you w	ish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenf	ield file upload	
	*				
Short Response	-				
Short Response Explanation	-				
	-				
	-				
	-				
Explanation	No 12 C	ish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenf	ield file upload	
Explanation	No 12 C	ish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenf	ield file upload	
Explanation	No 12 C eenfield site you w	ish us to consider i	n the proposed Plan? - Greenf	ield file upload	

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-(GPQH-Q	Supp	orting Info				
Name	Sara Cockburn				Emai	scockburn@	iceniprojec	ts.com		
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	nt								
On behalf of:	Ambassador Grou	р	·							
Choice	12 C									
Do you have a gro	eenfield site you wis	sh us to consider i	n the proposed	Plan? - Greenfi	eld file upload					
Short Response	No									
Explanation										
Choice	12 D									
Do you have a br	ownfield site you w	ish us to consider	in the proposed	d Plan? - Brown	field sites uploa	ad				
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation										
Choice	13 A									
					s, culture and t	ourism, innova	ation and le	earning, and th	ne low carbon	sector, where there
is a contribution	o good growth for I	Edinburgh. Do you	agree with this	s? - Yes / No						
Short Response	Yes									
Explanation										

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info	
Name	Sara Cockburi	n		Email scockburn@	Diceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consu	ultant			
On behalf of:	Ambassador (Group			
	,				
Choice	14 A				
inclusive, sustain	able growth. We		an area of search' which allow	<u> </u>	accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support ure uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	14 B				
We want to remo you agree with th	-	• •	for the Royal Highland Show	ground site to the south of the	e A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	14 C				
-		ate the Airport's contir this approach? - Yes /		nds runway" for the developm	ent of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	Not Answered	<u> </u>			

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	

Choice 15 A

We want to continue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland providing shopping, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism activities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response	Not Answered
Explanation	Policy should set out appropriate uses and for each level of centre. In line with the town centre first approach, the city centre should be protected and enhanced as the regional core of South East Scotland. The role and function of other centres should be set out with consideration for how circumstances may change over the Plan period. It is important that Policy is flexible to allow Centres, to adapt, respond to changes and to remain relevant in the future. Policy should recognise that Commercial Centres such as Ocean Terminal can offer established and well-connected sites which can support mixed uses including residential and office use to ensure their vitality and viability.
Choice	15 B

New shopping and leisure development will only be allowed within our town and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. Outwith local centres, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas where there is evidence of a lack of food shopping within walking distance. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response	No
Explanation	Commercial Centres including Ocean Terminal have an important role to play in providing retail, leisure, community and visitor facilities. Commercial Centres will need to adapt to meet changing needs. Ocean Terminal is a Commercial Centre which is located in an easily accessible location via existing and public transport, including the safeguarded tram extension route and walking / cycling routes. Ocean Terminal serves a growing population in the Waterfront area. Policy should allow new shopping and leisure development, as well as new hotel and tourist accommodation, residential and business development in this area, as well as in town and local centres.

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	e Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	
Choice	15 C	
	ew our existing town and local centres including the potential for new identi ervices in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mobility Plan	
Short Response	e Not Answered	
Explanation		
Choice	15 D	
balance of uses w	tinue to prepare and update supplementary guidance for our town centres t within our centres to maintain their vitality, viability and deliver good placer lance within the plan. Which approach do you support? - Yes / No	naking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres
Short Response	Retail guidance in	
Explanation	Guidance should be set out in the Plan and should be subject to public consu	ltation
Explanation	Guidance should be set out in the Flan and should be subject to public const	
Choice	15 E	
We want to supp this approach? - \	• • •	ons with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	Ocean Terminal is an existing Commercial Centre with good public transport including short-stay apartments.	links. This centre could support new hotel and tourist accommodation provision

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	
Choice	15 G	

We could also seek to reduce the quantity of retail floorspace within centres in favour of alternative uses such as increased leisure provision and permit commercial centres to accommodate any growing demand. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes
-	Commercial Centres should be permitted to accommodate any growing demand for retail and leisure floorspace. Commercial Centres including Ocean Terminal are well-established locations which are readily accessible via public transport links. Ocean Terminal serves an existing and growing population in the north of Edinburgh and increased retail and leisure floorspace should be permitted where there is demand for this.

Choice 16 A1

We want to continue to support office use at strategic office locations at Edinburgh Park/South Gyle, the International Business Gateway, Leith, the city centre, and in town and local centres. Do you agree? - Yes / No

Short Response	Yes
Explanation	Office use should continue to be supported at strategic office locations including South Gyle. The Plan should acknowledge that these locations are preferred
	locations for office use in the City and that mixed-use development (commercial, leisure, housing, hotels) in these locations would be appropriate to
	complement the principle office / business use.

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group
Choice	16 A2
We want to supp	ort office development at commercial centres as these also provide accessible locations Yes / No
Short Response	Yes
Explanation	Commercial Centres such as Ocean Terminal provide accessible locations for the provision of office space. Policy should support office use in Commercial Centres in light of the accessibility of this space and changes in retail trends which may mean more vacant retail space in commercial centres which could be adapted to accommodate alternative uses and to increase the vibrancy of the Centre.
Choice	16 A3
	16 A3 gthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren	
We want to stren	gthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response	gthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response	gthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response	gthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice	gthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No Not Answered
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice	gthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No Not Answered 16 A4
We want to stren Short Response Explanation Choice We want to amer	gthen the requirement within the city centre to provide significant office floorspace within major mixed-use developments. Do you agree? - Yes / No Not Answered 16 A4

Customer Ref:	01701 Response	Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info	
Name	Sara Cockburn		Email scockburn@iceniproje	cts.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant			
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group			
Choice	16 A5			
We want to conti	nue to support office developm	ent in other accessible locations els	ewhere in the urban area. Do you agree	? - Yes / No
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation	Ocean Terminal and the surface urban area and in an accessible		ixed-uses including potentially for office	development. It is a brownfield site, within the
Choice	16 A5			
We want to conti consider in the pr		ent in other accessible locations els	ewhere in the urban area. Do you agree	? - Do you have an office site you wish us to
Short Response	Yes			
Explanation				
Choice	16 B			
We want to ident	ify sites and locations within Ed	linburgh with potential for office de	velopment. Do you agree with this? - Ye	s/No
Short Response	Not Answered			
Explanation		• • •		oach. There should be a general presumption in ich meet locational requirements for businesses.

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info	
Name	Sara Cockburn		Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com	
Response Type	Agent / Consultant			
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group			

Choice 16 C

We want to introduce a loss of office policy to retain accessible office accommodation. This would not permit the redevelopment of office buildings other than for office use, unless existing office space is provided as part of denser development. This would apply across the city to recognise that office locations outwith the city centre and strategic office locations are important in meeting the needs of the mid-market. Or we could Introduce a 'loss of office' policy only in the city centre. - Yes / No

Short Response I support no chang

Explanation Policy should retain flexibility so that existing offices can be redeveloped for alternative uses, should the need for the office space reduce. This would allow for mixed-use development, including potentially housing, to progress on sites where demand for office has diminished. It is important to maintain adequate office space across the City but this should not be at the expense of creating mixed-use areas that can support multiple uses and promote sustainability e.g. having hotels or housing co-located with offices. It is important to note that some existing office buildings are not currently suitable for office use and the cost to convert these buildings into office space which meets current Building Control and DDA standards would be unviable, for example some listed buildings. Introducing a loss of office policy is not considered a practical / implementable policy.

Choice 16 E1

We want to identify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provide necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No -Support - Leith Strategic Business Centre

Short Response Not Answered

Customer Ref:	01701	Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info		
Name	Sara Cockburn			Email scockburn	@iceniprojects.com	
Response Type	Agent / Consultan	t				
On behalf of:	Ambassador Grou	р				
Choice	16 E2					
We want to ident Support - Newbri		w modern busine	ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at th	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No -
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E3					
	tify proposals for neadighall Industrial Esta		ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at th	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No -
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 E4					
We want to ident Support - The Cro		w modern busines	ss and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at th	e following locations. Do you agree? - Ye	s / No -
Short Response	Not Answered					

Customer Ref:	01701 Response	Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info		
Name	Sara Cockburn		Email scockburn@icenipro	ojects.com	
Response Type	Agent / Consultant				
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group				
Choice	16 E5				
	ify proposals for new modern b rategic Business Centre	ousiness and industrial sites to provi	de necessary floorspace at the following	ng locations. Do you agree? - Yes /	No - Do not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	16 E6				
We want to ident support - Newbrid		ousiness and industrial sites to provi	de necessary floorspace at the following	ng locations. Do you agree? - Yes /	No - Do not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered				
Choice	16 E7				
	ify proposals for new modern b ighall Industrial Estate.	ousiness and industrial sites to provi	de necessary floorspace at the following	ng locations. Do you agree? - Yes /	No - Do not
Short Response	Not Answered				
Explanation	i				

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info
Name	Sara Cockburn	Email scockburn@iceniprojects.com
Response Type	Agent / Consultant	
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group	
Choice	16 E8	
We want to ident support - The Cro		e necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Yes / No - Do not
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	16 EX	
We want to ident	tify proposals for new modern business and industrial sites to provid	e necessary floorspace at the following locations. Do you agree? - Explain why
Short Response Explanation	Not Answered	
Choice	16 F	
amount expected		rban sites and considered in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. We want to set out the ness space, and how to deliver it, including the location on-site, and considering
Short Response	Yes	
Explanation	Guidance on these aspects would be welcome. Policy should still reta specific circumstances.	ain flexibility to allow appropriate developments to occur on sites depending on site

Customer Ref:	01701 Response Ref:	ANON-KU2U-GPQH-Q	Supporting Info			
Name	Sara Cockburn		Email scockburn(@iceniprojects.com		
Response Type	Agent / Consultant					
On behalf of:	Ambassador Group					
Choice	16 G					
We want to conti	nue to protect industrial estates that	t are designated under our curr	ent policy on Employment S	ites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you agree?	- Yes / No	
Chart David and						
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						
Choice	16 H					
We want to intro	duce a policy that provides criteria fo	or locations that we would supp	ort city-wide and neighbou	rhood goods distribution hubs. Do you ag	ree? - Yes / No	
Short Response	Not Answered					
Explanation						

Iceni Projects Ltd obo Ambassador Group Ltd

Response to:

• Choice 12 – Building our New Homes and Infrastructure

12D. Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed plan?

• Choice 16 (Part 1) – Delivering Office Floor Space

16A.5 – Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed plan?

Broadway Park South, shown in red on the map below, is part of the Strategic Office Location at South Gyle.

We consider that office use should continue to be supported at this site, however, policy should also offer flexibility for alternative uses such as residential to be supported at this site in recognition of the sustainable, well-connected location.

We request that the Plan acknowledges that mixed-use development (commercial, leisure, housing, hotels) may also be appropriate in Strategic Office Locations such as Broadway Park South, to complement the principle office / business use. This would meet the Council's aspiration to see mixed-use development and residential development in accessible, brownfield locations.



Iceni Projects Ltd obo Ambassador Group Ltd

Response to:

• Choice 12 – Building our New Homes and Infrastructure

12D. Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed plan?

• Choice 16 (Part 1) – Delivering Office Floor Space

16A.5 – Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed plan?

Ocean Terminal, 98 Ocean Drive, Edinburgh, EH6 6JJ is a brownfield site which could deliver new housing and office space in a highly accessible location, alongside retail, leisure facilities and tourist facilities through conversion of the existing space and new build development. The existing centre including surface level car park could support a mix of uses within the existing building or as new build development within the site, including:

- New homes (for private sale, for rent, for students);
- Hotel and short stay accommodation such as serviced apartments;
- Office space;
- Leisure and food and drink;
- Tourist facilities;
- Retail space.

The site, shown bounded in red on the map below, comprises Ocean Terminal and the surface level car park and is designated a Commercial Centre in the adopted LDP.



The site is located in the heart of Leith surrounded by mixed uses including residential, office, tourism, leisure and cultural uses. There are a significant number of new developments and residential units coming forward in the surrounding area.

The site is 20 minutes from the city centre via frequent bus services. The extension of the Tram line to Ocean Terminal will increase the accessibility of the site further. A proposed tram stop is to be located adjacent to the entrance. Active travel links including the core path network and National Cycle routes connect the site to surrounding areas.

We request that Ocean Terminal be recognised as a Commercial Centre with the potential to deliver new homes and office space in the future. The demand for retail and leisure space at Ocean Terminal is likely to change over time and it is vital that the policies set out in City Plan 2030 support the adaptation of accessible brownfield sites such as Ocean Terminal to provide a mix of uses in the future. This flexibility will ensure creation of vibrant, sustainable places and spaces and contribute towards the regeneration of Leith and the waterfront.

We request the Leith Strategic Office Location boundary be extended to include Ocean Terminal to reflect potential for this site to be redeveloped to potentially provide office space alongside other mixed-uses including retail, food and drink, leisure, tourist accommodation and facilities.

Iceni Projects Ltd obo Ambassador Group Ltd

Response to:

• Choice 12 – Building our New Homes and Infrastructure

12D. Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed plan?

• Choice 16 (Part 1) – Delivering Office Floor Space

16A.5 – Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed plan?

Broadway Park South, shown in red on the map below, is part of the Strategic Office Location at South Gyle.

We consider that office use should continue to be supported at this site, however, policy should also offer flexibility for alternative uses such as residential to be supported at this site in recognition of the sustainable, well-connected location.

We request that the Plan acknowledges that mixed-use development (commercial, leisure, housing, hotels) may also be appropriate in Strategic Office Locations such as Broadway Park South, to complement the principle office / business use. This would meet the Council's aspiration to see mixed-use development and residential development in accessible, brownfield locations.



Iceni Projects Ltd obo Ambassador Group Ltd

Response to:

• Choice 12 – Building our New Homes and Infrastructure

12D. Do you have a brownfield site you wish us to consider in the proposed plan?

• Choice 16 (Part 1) – Delivering Office Floor Space

16A.5 – Do you have an office site you wish us to consider in the proposed plan?

Ocean Terminal, 98 Ocean Drive, Edinburgh, EH6 6JJ is a brownfield site which could deliver new housing and office space in a highly accessible location, alongside retail, leisure facilities and tourist facilities through conversion of the existing space and new build development. The existing centre including surface level car park could support a mix of uses within the existing building or as new build development within the site, including:

- New homes (for private sale, for rent, for students);
- Hotel and short stay accommodation such as serviced apartments;
- Office space;
- Leisure and food and drink;
- Tourist facilities;
- Retail space.

The site, shown bounded in red on the map below, comprises Ocean Terminal and the surface level car park and is designated a Commercial Centre in the adopted LDP.



The site is located in the heart of Leith surrounded by mixed uses including residential, office, tourism, leisure and cultural uses. There are a significant number of new developments and residential units coming forward in the surrounding area.

The site is 20 minutes from the city centre via frequent bus services. The extension of the Tram line to Ocean Terminal will increase the accessibility of the site further. A proposed tram stop is to be located adjacent to the entrance. Active travel links including the core path network and National Cycle routes connect the site to surrounding areas.

We request that Ocean Terminal be recognised as a Commercial Centre with the potential to deliver new homes and office space in the future. The demand for retail and leisure space at Ocean Terminal is likely to change over time and it is vital that the policies set out in City Plan 2030 support the adaptation of accessible brownfield sites such as Ocean Terminal to provide a mix of uses in the future. This flexibility will ensure creation of vibrant, sustainable places and spaces and contribute towards the regeneration of Leith and the waterfront.

We request the Leith Strategic Office Location boundary be extended to include Ocean Terminal to reflect potential for this site to be redeveloped to potentially provide office space alongside other mixed-uses including retail, food and drink, leisure, tourist accommodation and facilities.