| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U- | ·GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|---------|------------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | | | | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |

Choice 1 A

We want to connect our places, parks and green spaces together as part of a city-wide, regional, and national green network. We want new development to connect to, and deliver this network. Do you agree with this? - Select support / don't support

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Whilst the principle of incorporation of green and blue space into development is acceptable, the requirement for all development to incorporate both has to be assessed on a site by site basis as every development site and proposal is unique. In order to introduce an 'extra-large green space standard' the Local Development Plan would have to define what it means by a "new community". In order to achieve this space standard, land for that purpose would have to be identified over and above the allocation of land for built development to ensure that there is sufficient built development to meet housing requirements and pay for necessary supporting infrastructure including the space expected. As an example, if 1,000 new homes can be delivered on 30-40 hectares of land. then 40-45 hectares of land should be zoned for such development incorporating the space set out in Choice 1. The Local Development Plan will also have to set out, in detail, management expectations for such large areas of land. If it is a requirement imposed by the Council, then it is preferable that the Council takes on such responsibility. Aithrie fully supports the strategic expansion of Kirkliston for the purpose of delivering additional schools infrastructure. That being the case, Map 1 within the City of Edinburgh Council consultation document requires to be amended to remove the proposed expansion area from the Green Network as shown on that Map around the settlement.

Choice 1 B

We want to change our policy to require all development (including change of use) to include green and blue infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Support / Object

Short Response Not Answered

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | | | Email robin@ppc | a.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultan | t | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ |
| Choice | 1 C | | | | | |
| We want to ident | ify areas that can b | e used for future | water management to enable | adaptation to climate change | e. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 1 D | | | | | |
| We want to clearl Yes / No | ly set out under wha | at circumstances t | he development of poor qua | lity or underused open space | will be considered acceptable. Do you agree with this? - | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 1 E | | | | | 1 |
| We want to intro | duce a new 'extra-la | arge green space s | standard' which recognises th | at as we grow communities w | vill need access to green spaces more than 5 hectares. Do | |
| you agree with th | is? - Yes / No | | | | | |
| you agree with th | is? - Yes / No | | | | |] |
| | | | | | | |
| | Not Answered | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTI | HEW | | Email robin@ppca | a.co.uk | | | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consu | ultant | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estate | S | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 1 F | | | | | | | |
| We want to ident this? - Yes / No | fy specific sites | s for new allotments a | nd food growing, both as part | of new development sites an | d within o | pen space in | the urban area. | Do you agree with |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 1 F | | | | | | | |
| We want to ident this? - Upload (ma | | s for new allotments a | nd food growing, both as part | of new development sites an | d within o | pen space in | the urban area. | Do you agree with |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation | No | | | | | | | |
| Explanation Choice | 1 G | | | | | | | |
| Explanation Choice | 1 G | lditional cemetery pro | vision, including the potential | for green and woodland buri | als. Do you | ı agree with t | this? - Yes / No | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |

Choice 1 H

We want to revise our existing policies and green space designations to ensure that new green spaces have long term maintenance and management arrangements in place. Do you agree with this? - Yes/No

Short Response Not Answered

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|--|
| Name | ROBIN MATT | HEW | | Email robin@ppca.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Cons | ultant | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estate | es | | | |

Choice 2 A

We want all development (including change of use), through design and access statements, to demonstrate how their design will incorporate measures to tackle and adapt to climate change, their future adaptability and measures to address accessibility for people with varying needs, age and mobility issues as a key part of their layouts. - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Option A, the requirement for all development to have a Design & Access Statement, is contrary to national policy requirements on the submission of such documents. The Local Development Plan must be consistent with national policy and should be amended to remove this requirement. The requirement for a Citywide average density of 65 dwellings per hectare is unworkable. This would result in flatted development across every site to achieve that aim. This runs contrary to Scottish Planning Policy advice on the matter, will not deliver a range and choice of housing types and tenures and, as above, takes no account of site specific circumstances. Where the Council has identified that specific strategic infrastructure e.g. new schools are required to serve communities such as Kirkliston, then it must adopt a flexible and pragmatic approach to allow sufficient development to deliver such infrastructure if it is not in a position to fund and recharge. Education provision requires detailed individual site assessment that negates the ability to apply a citywide density expectation. This includes a flexible approach to the allocation of land. If this approach is not adopted, then the land in question will simply not be developed or not be developed in phase with the need to deliver infrastructure. In that way, existing communities will continue to suffer from lack of investment and be prevented from benefiting from such investment. This directs the Council to adopting a site by site analysis approach. The outer areas of Edinburgh should be considered at housing densities appropriate to the character of these areas. The preferred option put forward by the Council, as it stands would introduce very high blanket density development into areas such as Kirkliston thereby adding further services needs and significantly changing their general environment and sense of space and place. A standardised high density approach such as that proposed is not favoured by the housebuilding industry in any case. Providing a blanket density target or coverage is not conducive to planning of considered community provision, housing mix and types. Ageing population, young families and services for all need considered as part of wider solutions. Planning should allow for change and growth. If the Council refuses to accept the preferred option of site by site analysis then it should, as a minimum, apply a range of expectations based on "character areas" within the City starting at 30 dwellings per hectare. This requires further analysis of the City and avoids a generic standardised approach to a City that has significant spatial variation within it.

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | J | | Email robin@ppca | i.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultar | nt | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | |
| Choice | 2 B | | | | | |
| We want to revise Yes / No | e our policies on de | ensity to ensure th | at we make best use of the li | nited space in our city and that | t sites are not under-developed. Do yo | ou agree with this? - |
| Short Response | Not Answered | 1 | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 2 C | | | | | |
| We want to revise | e our design and la | yout policies to ac | hieve ensure their layouts de | liver active travel and connecti | vity links. Do you agree with this? - Ye | es / No |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 2 D | | | | | |
| | lopment, including agree with this? - \ | _ | to deliver quality open space | and public realm, useable for a | a range of activities, including drying s | space, without losing |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | , |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHE | W | | Email robin@ppo | ca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consult | ant | | | · |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |
| Ob all a | | | | | |
| Choice | 3 A | | | | |
| | _ | | o carbon / platinum standard ard. Which standard should ne | | ottish Building Regulations. Instead we could require new h meet? - Which standard? |
| | , | | | истогоринения и дання инд | |
| Short Response | Current Building | S | | | |
| Explanation | | | or all buildings and conversions | | latinum standards as set out in the current Scottish Building |
| | 110801111111111111111111111111111111111 | | p., | | |
| Choice | 4 A | | | | |
| \\/ | | | | | |
| | | | lace Briefs for areas and sites should deliver. Do you agree | | thting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, |
| | | | | | hting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, |
| | | | | | hting the key elements of design, layout, and transport, |
| education and he | No There is no legisla | ative requirement foulted on appropriate | should deliver. Do you agree | with this? - Yes / No reparation of Place Briefs – s | such documents should continue to be prepared by the ation governing their preparation and status within the |
| education and he | No There is no legisla Council and cons | ative requirement foulted on appropriate | should deliver. Do you agree | with this? - Yes / No reparation of Place Briefs — s | such documents should continue to be prepared by the |
| education and he | No There is no legisla Council and cons | ative requirement foulted on appropriate | should deliver. Do you agree | with this? - Yes / No reparation of Place Briefs — s | such documents should continue to be prepared by the |
| education and he Short Response Explanation Choice We want to supp | No There is no legisla Council and const Development Pla 4 B ort Local Place Pla | ative requirement foulted on appropriate | should deliver. Do you agree or community involvement in pely. The use of Local Place Plan | with this? - Yes / No reparation of Place Briefs – s s should be in line with legisla | such documents should continue to be prepared by the |
| education and he Short Response Explanation Choice We want to supp | No There is no legisla Council and const Development Pla 4 B ort Local Place Pla | ative requirement foulted on appropriate | or community involvement in pely. The use of Local Place Plan | with this? - Yes / No reparation of Place Briefs – s s should be in line with legisla | such documents should continue to be prepared by the ation governing their preparation and status within the |
| Short Response Explanation Choice We want to supp community ambig | No There is no legisla Council and const Development Pla 4 B ort Local Place Pla | ative requirement foulted on appropriate | or community involvement in pely. The use of Local Place Plan | with this? - Yes / No reparation of Place Briefs – s s should be in line with legisla | such documents should continue to be prepared by the ation governing their preparation and status within the |
| Short Response Explanation Choice We want to supp community ambi | No There is no legisla Council and const Development Pla 4 B ort Local Place Plations How should | ative requirement foulted on appropriate | or community involvement in pely. The use of Local Place Plan | with this? - Yes / No reparation of Place Briefs – s s should be in line with legisla | such documents should continue to be prepared by the ation governing their preparation and status within the |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-C | GW87-M | Suppo | rting Info | |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|-------|------------------|--|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEV | ROBIN MATTHEW | | | | robin@ppca.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consulta | nt | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | | |

Choice 5 A

We want City Plan 2030 to direct development to where there is existing infrastructure capacity, including education, healthcare and sustainable transport, or where potential new infrastructure will be accommodated and deliverable within the plan period. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Any developer contributions must be imposed according to the tests set out in the relevant Scottish Government Circular. The Education Infrastructure Appraisal referred to in this Section should be published as soon as possible and in advance of the Proposed Plan stage of the Local Development Plan. Developer contribution expectations must be transparent and detailed on a site by site basis within the Local Development Plan itself as opposed to published within subsequent Supplementary Planning Guidance. It must differentiate between sites and forms of development as every site will be different and different sites will have different priorities. For new education provision, the Local Development Plan must identify site(s) within the Plan as part of detailed briefs for strategic expansion areas with priority given to sites within City of Edinburgh Council ownership. It must not adopt a standard land transfer cost or expectation, as the current Local Development Plan does, because every site is different. Option A under Choice 5 is not deliverable because it will not provide a range and choice of housing types and tenures across the City which the City needs. Aithrie endorses Option C. subject to sufficient greenfield land release being provided, and welcomes the proposed provision of new education infrastructure in Kirkliston. Aithrie will contribute to such provision in a cooperative and proportional manner from land in its ownership allocated for appropriate development.

Choice 5 B

We want City Plan 2030 to set out where new community facilities are needed, and that these must be well connected to active travel routes and in locations with high accessibility to good sustainable public transport services. Do you agree with this? - Yes / NO

Short Response Not Answered

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHI | EW | | Email robin@ppca.co. | uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consul | tant | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |
| Choice | 5 C | | | | |
| We want to reflect travel. Do you agi | | | nity services close to the comm | unities they serve, supporting a l | nigh walk-in population and reducing the need to |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | |
| Choice | 5 D1 | | | | |
| We want to set or | ut in the plan wh | ere development wi | ll be expected to contribute to | ward new or expanded communi | ty infrastructure. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | |
| Choice | 5 D2 | | | | |
| We want to use c | umulative contri | bution zones to dete | rmine infrastructure actions, c | osts and delivery mechanisms. D | o you agree with this? - Yes / No |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHE | W | | Email robin@ppca.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consult | ant | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 5 E | | | | |
| We want to stop u | | tary guidance and se | et out guidance for developer | contributions within the plan, Action Programme and in no | on-statutory guidance. Do |
| | | | | | , |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Choice 6 A

We want to create a new policy that assesses development against its ability to meet our targets for public transport usage and walking and cycling. These targets will vary according to the current or planned public transport services and high-quality active travel routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

The principle of public transport use is accepted but services are generally deregulated and any imposition on the planning process should be backed up by a commitment to provision from operators. If that cannot be provided, then it should not be imposed on development. At this time, Kirkliston is on the periphery of the public transport system in Edinburgh both in terms of geography and service provision. However, it benefits from having a basis unused (at this time) public transport resource on its doorstep – the Almond Chord. Network Rail and the Scottish Government are currently in the process of examining options to reinstate the Almond Chord and its links to the Airport, Gyle shopping and commercial / employment areas and into Edinburgh with the possibility of new rail services operating on the Edinburgh – Stirling line. At this time, the Kirkliston East area is lacking access to sustainable transport links. The area adjacent to the rail line between the line and the M9 spur presents an opportunity for a new rail station and / or park and ride service that would provide the sustainable transport solution for the strategic expansion of the settlement. This would meet the Council objective to minimise the need to use the private car and would form part of a wider mixed use strategic solution for the expansion of Kirkliston. This is commended to the Council by Aithrie Estates.

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | 7 |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|---|--|---------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHE | EW | | Email robin@ppca.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consult | tant | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 6 B | | | | |
| | _ | | | | |
| We want to use P | lace Briefs to set | | by walking, cycling and public ic transport. Do you agree wit | transport based on current and planned transit interventions. This with this? - Yes / No | ill determine |
| We want to use P | lace Briefs to set | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ill determine |
| We want to use P appropriate park | lace Briefs to set ing levels to supp | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ill determine |

Choice 7 A

We want to determine parking levels in development based on targets for trips by walking, cycling and public transport. These targets could be set by area, development type, or both and will be supported by other measures to control on-street parking. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response No

Explanation

It is not within the remit of the Council as planning authority to determine that an individual need own a car or not. The proposed density increase reduces car parking space in new development and is an attempt by the Council to misuse planning policy to restrict car ownership. Not all parts of the city are well served by public transport and there is no guarantee that such services can, or will, be provided. This will simply lead to cars being parked in other established areas. The City needs to provide car parking in places where transport nodes to the city centre are provided with full consultation with adjacent authorities. "New communities" assumed to include the proposed strategic expansion of Kirkliston, could include a public transport hub to allow better connectivity to the City. Park and ride extensions and a joined up bus / tram / train network and services have to be provided. As noted above, and at this time, the Kirkliston East area is lacking access to sustainable transport links. The area adjacent to the rail line between the line and the M9 spur presents an opportunity for a new rail station and / or park and ride service that would provide the sustainable transport solution for the strategic expansion of the settlement. This would meet the Council objective to minimise the need to use the private car and would form part of a wider mixed use strategic solution for the expansion of Kirkliston. This is commended to the Council by Aithrie Estates. Unless and until, the above is resolved satisfactorily, minimum parking standards should be retained.

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Suppo | orting Info | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | , | | Email | robin@ppca.co | .uk | | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultan | nt | | | | | | - |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 7 B | | | | | | | |
| We want to prote you agree with th | • | lopment of addition | onal car parking in the city cen | tre to support t | he delivery of th | e Council's city cen | tre transformation | n programme. Do |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 7 C | | | | | | | |
| We want to update agree with this? - | | ies to control dem | nand and to support parking fo | r bikes, those w | vith disabilities a | nd electric vehicles | via charging infra | structure. Do you |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 7 D | | | | | | | |
| Mobility Plan or it | s action plan. Do y | ou agree with this | ure by safeguarding sites for r ? - We want to support the cit I in the City Mobility Plan or it | y's park and ride | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |
| Choice | 8 A | |
| We want to upda | te our policy on the Cycle and Footpath Network to provide criteria | for identifying new routes. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |

Choice 8 B

As part of the City Centre Transformation and other Council and partner projects to improve strategic walking and cycling links around the city, we want to add the following routes (along with our existing safeguards) to our network as active travel proposals to ensure that they are delivered. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No

Short Response Not Answered

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | |
| Choice | 8 C | | |
| to include any ne | | within any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2 pming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are ident | |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | |
| Choice | 8 C | | |
| to include any ne | | vithin any of the proposed options for allocated sites. We also want the City Plan 2 pming City Plan 2030 Transport Appraisal, the City Mobility Plan, or which are ident | |
| Short Response Explanation | No | | |
| Choice | 9 A | | |
| | ult on designating Edinburgh, or parts of Edinburgh, as a 'Short Term perties for short-term lets. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / N | n Let Control Area' where planning permission will always be required for the chan No | ige of |
| - | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | |
| | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |
| | | |
| Choice | 9 B | |
| | | olicy will be used when planning permission is required for a change of use of residential |
| flats and houses t | o short-stay commercial visitor accommodation or other uses. Do y | ou agree with this? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | |
| | | |
| Choice | 10 A | |
| | our policy on purpose-built student housing. We want to ensure the outlies and looks after student's wellbeing. Do you agree with this | hat student housing is delivered at the right scale and in the right locations, helps create ? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | |
| | | |
| Choice | 10 B | |
| | | g on all sites over a certain size coming forward for development. Do you agree with |
| | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U- | -GW87-M | Sı | pporting Info | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | | | | Er | nail robin@pp | pca.c | o.uk | | | | | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | t | | | | | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 10 C | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | e a new policy prom would be supported | - | | | e retail unit | s and commerc | cial c | entres, w | here thei | r redevel | opment f | for mixed | d use |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 11 A | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to ame | nd our policy to incre | ease the provision | of affordable | housing require | ment from | 25% to 35%. Do | o you | agree w | ith this a | pproach? | - Yes / N | 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | Aithrie Estates woul downsizers and free | | • | d affordable hou | sing target | hould include a | an el | ement of | housing f | or older g | generatio | ns to acc | ommodate |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 11 B | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | n 2030 to require a n | | | | | rescriptive on | the r | equired | mix, inclu | ding the | percenta | ge requir | rement for |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |
| | | |

Choice 12 Δ

Which option do you support? - Option 1/2/3

Short Response Not Answered

Explanation

Contrary to assertion, the SESPlan Strategic Development Plan remains the Strategic Development Plan for the area for the period to 2032. This is within the 10 year time horizon of this Local Development Plan and should be used as a basis for housing land supply targets. The Scottish Government, in refusing to Approve the replacement Strategic Development Plan (Strategic Development Plan 2), refused to Approve any part of it, including the housing supply targets from the Housing Need & Demand Analysis contained therein. As such, these targets should be disregarded. The City of Edinburgh Council Option 1, as proposed, should be disregarded as it does not provide a range and choice of housing sites across the City and requires significant CPO land assembly which can take years. It also excludes market housing delivery. Option 2 will not deliver brownfield regeneration. The Council must release a mix of greenfield and brownfield land opportunities and maximise the use of its own landholdings for residential development or supporting infrastructure .g. new schools i.e. an expanded form of Option 3. With respect to supporting infrastructure, contribution requirements are key to understanding site viability including education, connections to utilities and services, roads, existing fabric and community. These should be tied into the grant and timing of delivery for planning permissions in line with changes brought about by the Planning (Scotland) Act 2019. The City of Edinburgh Council needs to take a fully flexible approach to the delivery of housing by all suitable providers including market housing developers. From the above, Aithrie endorses the proposed approach taken on Map 15 (blended approach) as presented in the draft consultation document.

Choice 12 B1

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Calderwood

Short Response

Not Answered

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|--|--|---|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |
| | | |
| Choice | 12 B2 | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that a | pply) - Support Greenfield - Support - Kirkliston |
| | | |
| Short Response | Yes | |
| Explanation | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Choice | 12 B3 | |
| | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that a | pply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh |
| Do you support o | r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that a | pply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh |
| | r object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that a | pply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh |
| | | pply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh |
| Short Response | | pply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh |
| Short Response | | pply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh |
| Short Response | | pply) - Support Greenfield - Support - West Edinburgh |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered 12 B4 | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered 12 B4 Trobject to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that a | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of | Not Answered 12 B4 Trobject to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that a | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of Short Response | Not Answered 12 B4 Trobject to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that a | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | |
|---|--|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | | | Email robin@ppca.co.u | ık | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultan | t | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ |
| Choice | 12 B5 | | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of th | ne proposed green | ifield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Su | pport - South East Edinburgh | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B6 | | | | | |
| | | ne proposed green | ifield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | ject - Calderwood | |
| | | ne proposed green | ifield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | ject - Calderwood | |
| | r object to any of th | ne proposed green | ifield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | ject - Calderwood | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of th | ne proposed green | ifield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | ject - Calderwood | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of th | ne proposed green | ifield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | ject - Calderwood | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of th | ne proposed green | ifield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | ject - Calderwood | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of th | ne proposed green | ifield areas? (Please tick all th | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | ject - Calderwood | |
| Do you support o Short Response Explanation Choice | r object to any of th Not Answered 12 B7 | | | at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob at apply) - Support Greenfield - Ob | | |
| Do you support o Short Response Explanation Choice | r object to any of th Not Answered 12 B7 | | | | | |
| Do you support o Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support o | r object to any of th Not Answered 12 B7 | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | |
|---|--|--------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | | | Email robin@ppca.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultan | t | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B8 | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of th | ne proposed greenf | ield areas? (Please tick all | ll that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - West Edinburgh | |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 B9 | | | | |
| Do you support o | r object to any of th | ne proposed greenf | ield areas? (Please tick all | ll that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - East of Riccarton | |
| | | | | | |
| , , | | | | | |
| | Not Answered | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | | | | | |
| Short Response | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered 12 B10 | | ield areas? (Please tick all | ll that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered 12 B10 | | ield areas? (Please tick all | ll that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | Not Answered 12 B10 r object to any of th | | ield areas? (Please tick all | ll that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support o | Not Answered 12 B10 r object to any of th | | ield areas? (Please tick all | ll that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice Do you support of Short Response | Not Answered 12 B10 r object to any of th | | ield areas? (Please tick all | ll that apply) - Support Greenfield - Object - South East Edinburgh | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |

Choice 12 BX

Do you support or object to any of the proposed greenfield areas? (Please tick all that apply) - Explain why

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Aithrie further endorses the principles set out for Kirkliston on page 40 and the areas of land identified for residential development on page 41 (Map 12 – Area 3: Kirkliston) with specific reference to land in Aithrie ownership between the rail line and M9 spur north of the settlement. Aithrie welcomes the opportunity to deliver a new secondary school in Kirkliston with the advantages that would bring to the settlement including the ned to reduce travel requirements to Queensferry High School and other secondary schools. The area of land proposed to be allocated would create a strong Green Belt boundary and could incorporate the landscape principles set out in the consultation draft document. Aithrie endorses a master planned approach to the expansion of the settlement. To that end, Aithrie is willing to work collectively with the Council, adjacent landowners and the community to deliver a coordinated masterplan for Kirkliston that will deliver new infrastructure necessary for the settlement. As noted above, Aithrie does not support the proposed average density of 65 units per hectare as this is not appropriate for a place led approach to expansion of the settlement. Aithrie endorses a mixed use approach to strategic expansion including community infrastructure. Sufficient land must be identified in the Local Development Plan Proposed Plan stage, including that in Aithrie ownership, to meet required physical, community and social infrastructure requirements especially given the costs of new schools provision. Aithrie fully endorses improved transport linkages to and from Kirkliston as part of this process. Aithrie acknowledges the fact that substantial new housing development in South Queensferry means that Queensferry High School will not have the capacity to continue to accommodate pupils from Kirkliston Primary School. Aithrie recognises the wider community benefits of delivery of a new secondary school at Kirkliston. It notes that there is no site identified for a new secondary school and there is currently no funding in place – thus requiring such a school to be funded by development and developer contributions. Aithrie supports the Council statement that securing a site for a new secondary school with capacity for at least 900 pupils in Kirkliston is a more viable and deliverable option compared to elsewhere.

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Support | ing Info | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------|--|----------|--|
| Name | ROBIN MATTH | IEW | | Email r | obin@ppca. | .co.uk | | | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consul | ltant | | | | | | <u>.</u> | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | ; | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 C | | | | | | | | |
| Do you have a gro | eenfield site you | wish us to consider i | n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 C | | | | | | | | |
| Do you have a gre | eenfield site vou | wish us to consider in | n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | | | | | |
| , 8. | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | - | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | - | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | - | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | - | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | No 12 C | wish us to consider in | n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | No 12 C | wish us to consider i | n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice | No 12 C eenfield site you | wish us to consider i | n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice Do you have a green | No 12 C eenfield site you | wish us to consider i | n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | | | | | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice Do you have a green Short Response | No 12 C eenfield site you | wish us to consider in | n the proposed Plan? - Greenfi | eld file upload | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | | Email robin@ppc | ca.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 12 D | | | | |
| Do you have a br | ownfield site you wish us to conside | r in the proposed Plan? - Brown | field sites upload | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | No | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 13 A | | | | |
| | e a new policy that provides suppor to good growth for Edinburgh. Do yo | | s, culture and tourism, inno | vation and learning, and the low carbon s | sector, where there |
| | | - | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |

Choice 14 A

We want City Plan 2030 to support the best use of existing public transport infrastructure in West Edinburgh and accommodate the development of a mix of uses to support inclusive, sustainable growth. We will do this through 'an area of search' which allows a wide consideration of future uses within West Edinburgh without being tied to individual sites. Do you support this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

Explanation

Whilst Choice 14, delivering west Edinburgh, is not site specific at this time such a strategic approach is supported in principle by Aithrie. The area of search includes Kirkliston so ties in with the site specific support and references in response to Choice 12 above. The proposed approach will deliver strategic infrastructure in this part of the City necessary to support economic growth thereby bringing benefits to a wide range of local communities. At this time, the Kirkliston East area is lacking access to sustainable transport links. The area adjacent to the rail line between the line and the M9 spur presents an opportunity for a new rail station and / or park and ride service that would provide the sustainable transport solution for the strategic expansion of the settlement. This would meet the Council objective to minimise the need to use the private car and would form part of a wider mixed use strategic solution for the expansion of Kirkliston. This is commended to the Council by Aithrie Estates. Aithrie fully supports the use of City Region Deal funding to improve strategic transport provision both in terms of the delivery of a park and ride and or new rail station on the Almond Chord line and within the A8 / A89 corridor that end.

Choice 14 B

We want to remove the safeguard in the existing plan for the Royal Highland Showground site to the south of the A8 at Norton Park and allocate the site for other uses. Do you agree with this approach? - Yes / No

Short Response

Not Answered

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW8 | 7-M Supporting Info |
|---|---|--|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |
| Choice | 14 C | |
| | n 2030 to allocate the Airport's contingency runway, the you agree with this approach? - Yes / No | "crosswinds runway" for the development of alternative uses next to the Edinburgh Gateway |
| | , | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | | |
| | | |
| Choice | 15 A | |
| | | |
| | inue to use the national 'town centre first' approach. City ng, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism a | Plan 2030 will protect and enhance the city centre as the regional core of south east Scotland ctivities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| | | |
| providing shoppi | ng, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism a | |
| providing shopping Short Response | ng, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism a | |
| providing shopping Short Response | ng, commercial leisure, and entertainment and tourism a | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice New shopping an | Not Answered Not Answered 15 B Ind leisure development will only be allowed within our to | |
| Short Response Explanation Choice New shopping an Outwith local cen | Not Answered Not Answered 15 B Ind leisure development will only be allowed within our to | ctivities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No wn and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. |
| Short Response Explanation Choice New shopping an Outwith local cen | Not Answered Not Answered 15 B Ind leisure development will only be allowed within our to | ctivities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No wn and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. |
| Short Response Explanation Choice New shopping an Outwith local cen | Not Answered Not Answered 15 B Ind leisure development will only be allowed within our to other, small scale proposals will be permitted only in areas | ctivities. Do you agree with this? - Yes / No wn and local centres (including any new local centres) justified by the Commercial Needs study. |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |
| | | |
| Choice | 15 C | |
| | w our existing town and local centres including the potential for r vices in outer areas, consistent with the outcomes of the City Mo | new identified centres and boundary changes where they support walking and cycling obility Plan. Do you agree? - Yes / No |
| | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | |
| | | |
| Choice | 15 D | |
| | | |
| balance of uses w | | n centres to adapt to changing retail patterns and trends, and ensure an appropriate ood placemaking. Instead we could stop using supplementary guidance for town centres |
| | and the second s | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | |
| | | |
| Choice | 15 E | |
| | | ther locations with good public transport access throughout Edinburgh. Do you agree with |
| this approach? - \ | | and routions with good public transport access throughout Lambargin 20 you agree with |
| | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supp | orting Info | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|-------------------|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|---|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEV | V | | Emai | robin@ppc | ca.co.uk | | | | | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consulta | nt | | | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 15 G | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | orspace within centres in faw with this approach? - Yes / N | | e uses such as | s increase | d leisure p | rovision and | permit co | mmercial centres | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | inue to support off . Do you agree? - Y | _ | office locations at Edinburg | h Park/South Gyl | e, the Interna | ational Bu | siness Gat | eway, Leith, | the city ce | entre, and in town | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to supp | ort office developr | ment at commercia | I centres as these also provi | de accessible loca | ations Yes / | [/] No | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | _ |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON- | KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | |
|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | | Email robin@ppca | a.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A3 | | | | |
| We want to stren | gthen the requirement within the city centre | to provide significant o | ffice floorspace within majo | r mixed-use developments. Do you agre | e? - Yes / No |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A4 | | | | |
| We want to ame | d the boundary of the Leith strategic office lo | ocation to remove areas | s with residential developm | ent consent. Do you agree? - Yes / No | |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A5 | | | | |
| We want to conti | nue to support office development in other a | ccessible locations else | where in the urban area. Do | you agree? - Yes / No | |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Name | ROBIN MATTH | HEW | | Email robin@ppc | a.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consu | ıltant | | | - | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | S | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 A5 | | | | | |
| We want to conti | | office development in | other accessible locations el | sewhere in the urban area. Do | o you agree? - Do you have an office site you wish us to |) |
| · | • | | | | | |
| Short Response | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 B | | | | | |
| We want to ident | ify sites and loc | ations within Edinbur | gh with potential for office d | evelopment. Do you agree wit | th this? - Yes/No | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 C | | | | | |
| use, unless existir | ng office space i | s provided as part of o | lenser development. This wo | ould apply across the city to re | e redevelopment of office buildings other than for office ecognise that office locations outwith the city centre an office' policy only in the city centre Yes / No | |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Su | pporting Info | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | 1 | | En | nail robin@ppc | a.co.uk | | | | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultan | nt | | | | | | | ' | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | ify proposals for ne rategic Business Ce | | s and industrial sites to p | provide necessary | floorspace at the | e following | g locations. | Do you agre | ee? - Yes / | No - |
| Short Response Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E2 | | | | | | | | | |
| We want to ident Support - Newbri | | w modern busines | s and industrial sites to p | provide necessary | floorspace at the | e following | g locations. | Do you agre | ee? - Yes / | No - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | ify proposals for ne ighall Industrial Est | | s and industrial sites to p | orovide necessary | floorspace at the | e following | g locations. | Do you agre | ee? - Yes / | No - |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | | Email robin@ppca. | .co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E4 | | | | |
| We want to ident Support - The Cro | | ess and industrial sites to provid | le necessary floorspace at the | following locations. Do you agree? - | Yes / No - |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E5 | | | | |
| | ify proposals for new modern busir rategic Business Centre | ess and industrial sites to provid | le necessary floorspace at the | following locations. Do you agree? - | Yes / No - Do not |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E6 | | | | |
| We want to ident support - Newbrid | | ess and industrial sites to provid | le necessary floorspace at the | following locations. Do you agree? - | Yes / No - Do not |
| | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U- | -GW87-M | Suppo | orting Info | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHE | W | | | Email | robin@ppca | a.co.uk | | | | | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consulta | ant | | ſ | - | | | | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ify proposals for n ighall Industrial Es | new modern busines state. | s and industria | al sites to provido | e necessary floo | orspace at the | following | locations. | Do you agree | ? - Yes / | No - Do not | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 E8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | new modern busines | s and industria | al sites to provide | e necessary floo | orspace at the | following | locations. | Do vou agree | ? - Yes / | No - Do not | |
| support - The Cro | | | | | | | | | , , , , , | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 EX | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | new modern busines | s and industria | al sites to provide | e necessary floo | orspace at the | following | locations. | Do you agree | ? - Expla | nin why | |
| | | | | • | · | • | | | | <u> </u> | · | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 | Response Ref: | ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info | | |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHE | W | | Email robin@pp | oca.co.uk | |
| Response Type | Agent / Consulta | ant | | | | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | | | | | |
| | | | | · | | |
| Choice | 16 F | | | | | |
| amount expected | l to be re-provide | | what constitutes flexible busi | | in Place Briefs for greenfield sites. W liver it, including the location on-sit | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 G | | | | | |
| We want to conti | inue to protect inc | dustrial estates that | are designated under our cur | rent policy on Employment | Sites and Premises (Emp 8). Do you | agree? - Yes / No |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Choice | 16 H | | | | | |
| We want to intro | duce a policy that | provides criteria fo | r locations that we would sup | port city-wide and neighbo | urhood goods distribution hubs. Do | you agree? - Yes / No |
| | | | | | | |
| Short Response | Not Answered | | | | | |
| Explanation | Not Answered | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Customer Ref: | 01745 Response Ref: ANON-KU2U-GW87-M | Supporting Info |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Name | ROBIN MATTHEW | Email robin@ppca.co.uk |
| Response Type | Agent / Consultant | |
| On behalf of: | Aithrie Estates | |

